

PARTICLE RATIOS IN ENERGETIC HADRON COLLISIONS^{*}

James D. Bjorken

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and

Glennys R. Farrar

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109

ABSTRACT

We construct a simple statistical model in order to estimate, for very energetic collisions, the ratios of particles with various quantum numbers produced with center-of-mass momenta less than a few GeV. Two conclusions are that (1) isobar decay can account for a large fraction of the SU(3) violation observed experimentally, and (2) at high transverse momentum the dominance of pions diminishes.

(Submitted to The Physical Review, Comments and Addenda.)

* Supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

In this paper we construct an extremely simple statistical picture of hadron production in the central region of rapidity. It illustrates how several features of the produced particle spectrum in hadron collisions can be understood without resorting to sophisticated dynamical models. While we are not sure whether this is a model which can be used for serious quantitative study, we do believe it contains qualitative features of some generality.

The principal feature of the model is its simplemindedness. Imagine that as a result of the high energy collision, the interaction region is a chaotic reservoir of partons: quarks and antiquarks. A quark leaving the zone of interaction must pick up at least one neighbor parton from the reservoir in order to make a hadron. Assuming there are equal numbers of quarks and antiquarks of each variety in the reservoir, there is a 50% chance that the q grabs a \bar{q} . We assume that at this point the $q\bar{q}$ system is satisfied and departs for infinity as a meson M . In the other half of the cases the qq system must grab again, and there is again a 50% chance of satisfaction, now as a qqq baryon state B . Proceeding thus, we have the configurations listed below

Configuration	M or B	Probability
$q\bar{q}$	M	1/2
qqq	B	1/4
$qqq\bar{q}$	M	1/8
$qqq\bar{q}q$	B	1/16
...

Evidently the ratio M/B equals 2. [Dynamics of a more sophisticated model could modify the value of this ratio, probably tending to enhance it, but perhaps this is a good first approximation.] Continuing our policy of making the simplest possible assumption to see how far it gets us, we assume that the M and B are produced in the SU(6) 35 and 56 representations, according to statistical weights. Then computation of the particle ratios in the central rapidity plateau (integrating over p_{\perp}) is reduced to bookkeeping. The ledger is presented in Table I. Combining the totals of Table IA (weighted by $2/3$) with the totals of Table IC (weighted by $1/3$) gives the number of particles per emitted hadron listed below:

Particle	π^+	π^0	K^+	K_L	η	p	n
Number Emitted	0.5	0.53	0.11	0.09	0.02	0.09	0.07

The substantial deviation from exact SU(3) symmetry comes about because much of the statistical weight lies in ρ , K^* , Δ , and Y^* , all of which produce pions in their decay. We see that after the resonance and weak decays have taken place the ratios are $K^-/\pi^- = 22\%$ and $\bar{p}/K^- = 89\%$, not desperately far from the experimental values of $\sim 7\%$ and $\sim 100\%$ respectively.¹ Thus we draw our first conclusion: a large part of the observed SU(3) breaking in the central region may be due simply to isobar decay.²

A similar exercise can be carried out for inclusive distributions at high p_{\perp} . [In order to stay in the central rapidity plateau we must take $2p_{\perp}/\sqrt{s} \ll 1$, where $\pi^+/\pi^- \sim 1$, $p/\bar{p} \sim 1$, etc.] This time we will take account of the experimental fact¹ that the invariant cross section falls rapidly with increasing

p_{\perp} , apparently as p_{\perp}^{-n} . The exponent may or may not be the same for M and B, but we continue to assume that the 35 and 56 are produced according to statistical weights. The bookkeeping for decaying resonances is a bit more tedious because of the steeply falling spectrum. If the probability of finding a child³ C of momentum xP_{μ} , given a parent of momentum P_{μ} , is scale invariant; i. e.

$$\frac{dN_c}{dx} = g_c^P(x), \quad (1)$$

then a simple calculation shows that the ratio of children to parents at a given p_{\perp} is:

$$\frac{\text{child}}{\text{parent}} = \int_0^1 dx x^{n-2} g_c^P(x), \quad (2)$$

independent of p_{\perp} . Empirically n is large ($\gtrsim 8$) so that the high p_{\perp} region is dominated by two-body decays in which the observed child is emitted forward in the parent center-of-mass frame.

The high- p_{\perp} ledger is given in Table II for $n = 6, 8,$ and 10 . We see that high- p_{\perp} pions from Δ -decay, ρ -decay, etc. are strongly suppressed. Consequently the fraction of kaons and baryons in the charged particle spectrum increases from low p_{\perp} to high p_{\perp} , and then stabilizes. For example, continuing to take $M/B = 2$ and assuming $n = 8$ for baryons and mesons, we find at high p_{\perp} : $K^-/\pi^- \sim 95\%$, $\eta/\pi^0 \sim 57\%$ and \bar{p}/K^- (spectrometer) $\sim 68\%$, while if strange baryons are observed $\bar{\Lambda}^0/\bar{p} \sim 170\%$ and $\Omega^-/\bar{p} \sim 88\%$. It is important to remember, when comparing these results with data, that they are only applicable in the central rapidity plateau. At ISR energies a plateau

is not yet developed for the \bar{p} 's, so asymptopia has not quite been reached experimentally. Nonetheless the general trend, that at high p_{\perp} there are relatively more kaons and baryons, is in line with the data.

Evidently by leaving the ratio M/B and/or the ratio of strange to non-strange quarks in the reservoir arbitrary we could considerably improve the agreement with experiment, if that were our purpose. Instead, we choose to abstract the following qualitative conclusions:

- 1) In order to understand particle ratios it may be useful to take isobar production into consideration.
- 2) Most of the SU(3) breaking in the central plateau can be accounted for by the known SU(3) violation in the decay of resonances.
- 3) The spectrum at high p_{\perp} is richer in kaons and baryons than at low p_{\perp} , because a decaying isobar gives most of its momentum to the heavier child.
- 4) There is a parent-child relation at high p_{\perp} : if the parent distribution falls as a power, p_{\perp}^{-n} , and the decay distribution of children relative to the parent obeys Feynman scaling as in Eq. (1), then the distribution of children falls with the same power n . One implication of this parent-child relation is that if all high- p_{\perp} hadrons are progeny (via scale-invariant cascade processes) of the same parent (e. g. quark parton or gluon), then they will all have the same power-law dependence on p_{\perp} . Hence measurement of the exponent n for various kinds of hadrons may test whether they can be regarded as produced from a single parent.

While we have focused on hadron-hadron collisions above, the same idea may have applicability to hadron production in high energy e^+e^- annihilation and in the plateau regions in deep inelastic electroproduction and neutrino production.

We thank David Horn for an interesting discussion.

Table Captions

- I. Particle spectra integrated over p_{\perp} :
- A. The number of $\pi^+ = \pi^- = \pi^0$, $K^+ = K^-$, K_L , and η per emitted 35 meson, allowing for resonance and K_S decay.
- B. The number of $\pi^+ = \pi^- = \pi^0$, p, n, Λ^0 , $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^-$, $\Xi^0 = \Xi^-$, and Ω^- per emitted 56, averaged over baryons and antibaryons. This table includes the effects of resonance decays but not of weak decays, and therefore we call it a "bubble chamber" ledger.
- C. The number of $\pi^- = \pi^+$, π^0 , p and n per emitted 56, averaged over baryons and antibaryons, after all decays have taken place. We call it a "spectrometer" ledger.
- II. Particle spectra at high p_{\perp} , but in the central rapidity region ($2p_{\perp}/\sqrt{s} \ll 1$), resulting from 35 and 56 production with an invariant cross section falling as p_{\perp}^{-n} . Results are given for $n = 6, 8, 10$. We keep only contributions of 5% or more and therefore ignore three-body decays.
- A. Relative numbers of $\pi^- = \pi^+ = \pi^0$, $K^- = K^+$, K_L , and η at a given p_{\perp} , per emitted 35 meson, allowing for resonance and K_S decay.
- B. "Bubble-chamber" ledger for baryon parents: relative numbers of $\pi^- = \pi^+ = \pi^0$, p = n, $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^-$, Λ^0 , $\Xi^0 = \Xi^-$, and Ω^- at a given p_{\perp} , per emitted 56, averaged over parent baryons and antibaryons.
- C. "Spectrometer" ledger for baryon parents: relative numbers of $\pi^- = \pi^+ = \pi^0$, p, and n at a given p_{\perp} , per emitted 56, averaged over parent baryons and antibaryons.

TABLE I

A.

Parent	Stat. Wt.	π^+/M	K^+/M	K_L/M	η/M
π, ρ, ω	15/35	0.65	0	0	0
K, K*	16/35	0.42	0.25	0.25	0
ϕ	3/35	0.39	0.50	0.33	0
η	1/35	0	0	0	1.0
Weighted Total		0.50	0.16	0.14	0.03

B.

Parent	Stat. Wt.	π^+/B	p/B	n/B	Λ^0/B	Σ^+/B	Ξ^0/B	Ω^-/B
N, Δ	20/56	0.27	0.25	0.25	0	0	0	0
Λ, Σ, Y^*	20/56	0.20	0	0	0.38	0.06	0	0
Ξ, Ξ^*	12/56	0.22	0	0	0	0	0.25	0
Ω^-	4/56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.50
Weighted Total		0.21	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.02	0.05	0.04

C.

Parent	Stat. Wt.	π^-/B	π^0/B	p/B	n/B
N, Δ	20/56	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.25
Λ, Σ, Y^*	20/56	0.52	0.50	0.27	0.20
Ξ, Ξ^*	12/56	0.81	1.06	0.33	0.17
Ω^-	4/56	0.83	1.33	0.33	0.17
Weighted Total		0.52	0.60	0.28	0.21

TABLE II

A.

Parent	Stat. Wt.	n	π^+/M	K^+/M	K_L/M	η/M
π, ρ	12/35	6	0.17	0	0	0
		8	0.14	0	0	0
		10	0.13	0	0	0
ω	3/35	—	0	0	0	0
K, K*	16/35	6	0	0.11	0.11	0
		8	0	0.10	0.10	0
		10	0	0.09	0.09	0
ϕ	3/35	6	0	0.05	0.03	0
		8	0	0.02	0.01	0
		10	0	0.01	0.01	0
η	1/35	—	0	0	0	1.0
Weighted Total		6	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.03
		8	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03
		10	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03

TABLE II - continued

B.

Parent	Stat. Wt.	n	π^-/B	P/B	Λ^0/B	Σ^+/B	Ξ^0/B	Ω^-/B
N, Δ	20/56	6	0	0.14	0	0	0	0
		8	0	0.12	0	0	0	0
		10	0	0.10	0	0	0	0
Λ, Σ, Y^*	20/56	6	0	0	0.23	0.05	0	0
		8	0	0	0.19	0.05	0	0
		10	0	0	0.17	0.05	0	0
Ξ, Ξ^*	12/56	6	0	0	0	0	0.18	0
		8	0	0	0	0	0.16	0
		10	0	0	0	0	0.15	0
Ω^-	4/56	—	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
Weighted Total		6	0	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.04	0.04
		8	0	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.04
		10	0	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04

TABLE II - continued

C.

Parent	Stat. Wt.	n	π^-/B	p/B	n/B
N, Δ	20/56	6	0	0.14	0.14
		8	0	0.12	0.12
		10	0	0.10	0.10
Λ, Σ, Y^*	20/56	6	0	0.10	0.08
		8	0	0.07	0.06
		10	0	0.05	0.04
Ξ, Ξ^*	12/56	6	0	0.01	0.01
		8	0	0	0
		10	0	0	0
Ω^-	4/56	—	0	0	0
Weighted Total		6	0	0.09	0.08
		8	0	0.07	0.06
		10	0	0.05	0.05

References

1. A recent review with up-to-date references to the data is that of M. Jacob, CERN preprint CERN-TH-1683 (1973).
2. Many people have undoubtedly noticed this. We know D. Horn and S. Nussinov have reached similar conclusions (private communications).
3. The perceptive reader will guess that this word has been chosen with care.