SLAC-PUB-10992

February 2005

Search for Strange-Pentaquark Production in e+e- Annihilation at sqrt{s}=10.58 GeV and in Upsilon(4S) Decays

B. Aubert, et. al.

for the BaBar Collaboration

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309

Work supported by Department of Energy contract DE–AC03–76SF00515.

BABAR-PUB-04/047
SLAC-PUB-10992
hep-ex/0502004

Search for Strange-Pentaquark Production in e^+e^- Annihilation at $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV

B. Aubert,[1] R. Barate,[1] D. Boutigny,[1] F. Couderc,[1] Y. Karyotakis,[1] J. P. Lees,[1] V. Poireau,[1] V. Tisserand,[1] A. Zghiche,[1] E. Grauges-Pous,[2] A. Palano,[3] A. Pompili,[3] J. C. Chen,[4] N. D. Qi,[4] G. Rong,[4] P. Wang,[4] Y. S. Zhu,[4] G. Eigen,[5] I. Ofte,[5] B. Stugu,[5] G. S. Abrams,[6] A. W. Borgland,[6] A. B. Breon,[6] D. N. Brown,[6] J. Button-Shafer,[6] R. N. Cahn,[6] E. Charles,[6] C. T. Day,[6] M. S. Gill,[6] A. V. Gritsan,[6] Y. Groysman,[6] R. G. Jacobsen,[6] R. W. Kadel,[6] J. Kadyk,[6] L. T. Kerth,[6] Yu. G. Kolomensky,[6] G. Kukartsev,[6] G. Lynch,[6] L. M. Mir,[6] P. J. Oddone,[6] T. J. Orimoto,[6] M. Pripstein,[6] N. A. Roe,[6] M. T. Ronan,[6] W. A. Wenzel,[6] M. Barrett,[7] K. E. Ford,[7] T. J. Harrison,[7] A. J. Hart,[7] C. M. Hawkes,[7] S. E. Morgan,[7] A. T. Watson,[7] M. Fritsch,[8] K. Goetzen,[8] T. Held,[8] H. Koch,[8] B. Lewandowski,[8] M. Pelizaeus,[8] K. Peters,[8] T. Schroeder,[8] M. Steinke,[8] J. T. Boyd,[9] J. P. Burke,[9] N. Chevalier,[9] W. N. Cottingham,[9] M. P. Kelly,[9] T. E. Latham,[9] F. F. Wilson,[9] T. Cuhadar-Donszelmann,[10] C. Hearty,[10] N. S. Knecht,[10] T. S. Mattison,[10] J. A. McKenna,[10] D. Thiessen,[10] A. Khan,[11] P. Kyberd,[11] L. Teodorescu,[11] A. E. Blinov,[12] V. E. Blinov,[12] V. P. Druzhinin,[12] V. B. Golubev,[12] V. N. Ivanchenko,[12] E. A. Kravchenko,[12] A. P. Onuchin,[12] S. I. Serednyakov,[12] Yu. I. Skovpen,[12] E. P. Solodov,[12] A. N. Yushkov,[12] D. Best,[13] M. Bruinsma,[13] M. Chao,[13] I. Eschrich,[13] D. Kirkby,[13] A. J. Lankford,[13] M. Mandelkern,[13] R. K. Mommsen,[13] W. Roethel,[13] D. P. Stoker,[13] C. Buchanan,[14] B. L. Hartfiel,[14] A. J. R. Weinstein,[14] S. D. Foulkes,[15] J. W. Gary,[15] O. Long,[15] B. C. Shen,[15] K. Wang,[15] D. del Re,[16] H. K. Hadavand,[16] E. J. Hill,[16] D. B. MacFarlane,[16] H. P. Paar,[16] Sh. Rahatlou,[16] V. Sharma,[16] J. W. Berryhill,[17] C. Campagnari,[17] A. Cunha,[17] B. Dahmes,[17] T. M. Hong,[17] A. Lu,[17] M. A. Mazur,[17] J. D. Richman,[17] W. Verkerke,[17] T. W. Beck,[18] A. M. Eisner,[18] C. J. Flacco,[18] C. A. Heusch,[18] J. Kroseberg,[18] W. S. Lockman,[18] G. Nesom,[18] T. Schalk,[18] B. A. Schumm,[18] A. Seiden,[18] P. Spradlin,[18] D. C. Williams,[18] M. G. Wilson,[18] J. Albert,[19] E. Chen,[19] G. P. Dubois-Felsmann,[19] A. Dvoretskii,[19] D. G. Hitlin,[19] I. Narsky,[19] T. Piatenko,[19] F. C. Porter,[19] A. Ryd,[19] A. Samuel,[19] S. Yang,[19] S. Jayatilleke,[20] G. Mancinelli,[20] B. T. Meadows,[20] M. D. Sokoloff,[20] F. Blanc,[21] P. Bloom,[21] S. Chen,[21] W. T. Ford,[21] U. Nauenberg,[21] A. Olivas,[21] P. Rankin,[21] W. O. Ruddick,[21] J. G. Smith,[21] K. A. Ulmer,[21] J. Zhang,[21] L. Zhang,[21] A. Chen,[22] E. A. Eckhart,[22] J. L. Harton,[22] A. Soffer,[22] W. H. Toki,[22] R. J. Wilson,[22] Q. Zeng,[22] B. Spaan,[23] D. Altenburg,[24] T. Brandt,[24] J. Brose,[24] M. Dickopp,[24] E. Feltresi,[24] A. Hauke,[24] H. M. Lacker,[24] E. Maly,[24] R. Nogowski,[24] S. Otto,[24] A. Petzold,[24] G. Schott,[24] J. Schubert,[24] K. R. Schubert,[24] R. Schwierz,[24] J. E. Sundermann,[24] D. Bernard,[25] G. R. Bonneaud,[25] P. Grenier,[25] S. Schrenk,[25] Ch. Thiebaux,[25] G. Vasileiadis,[25] M. Verderi,[25] D. J. Bard,[26] P. J. Clark,[26] F. Muheim,[26] S. Playfer,[26] Y. Xie,[26] M. Andreotti,[27] V. Azzolini,[27] D. Bettoni,[27] C. Bozzi,[27] R. Calabrese,[27] G. Cibinetto,[27] E. Luppi,[27] M. Negrini,[27] L. Piemontese,[27] A. Sarti,[27] F. Anulli,[28] R. Baldini-Ferroli,[28] A. Calcaterra,[28] R. de Sangro,[28] G. Finocchiaro,[28] P. Patteri,[28] I. M. Peruzzi,[28] M. Piccolo,[28] A. Zallo,[28] A. Buzzo,[29] R. Capra,[29] R. Contri,[29] G. Crosetti,[29] M. Lo Vetere,[29] M. Macri,[29] M. R. Monge,[29] S. Passaggio,[29] C. Patrignani,[29] E. Robutti,[29] A. Santroni,[29] S. Tosi,[29] S. Bailey,[30] G. Brandenburg,[30] K. S. Chaisanguanthum,[30] M. Morii,[30] E. Won,[30] R. S. Dubitzky,[31] U. Langenegger,[31] J. Marks,[31] U. Uwer,[31] W. Bhimji,[32] D. A. Bowerman,[32] P. D. Dauncey,[32] U. Egede,[32] J. R. Gaillard,[32] G. W. Morton,[32] J. A. Nash,[32] M. B. Nikolich,[32] G. P. Taylor,[32] M. J. Charles,[33] G. J. Grenier,[33] U. Mallik,[33] A. K. Mohapatra,[33] J. Cochran,[34] H. B. Crawley,[34] J. Lamsa,[34] W. T. Meyer,[34] S. Prell,[34] E. I. Rosenberg,[34] A. E. Rubin,[34] J. Yi,[34] N. Arnaud,[35] M. Davier,[35] X. Giroux,[35] G. Grosdidier,[35] A. Höcker,[35] F. Le Diberder,[35] V. Lepeltier,[35] A. M. Lutz,[35] T. C. Petersen,[35] M. Pierini,[35] S. Plaszczynski,[35] M. H. Schune,[35] G. Wormser,[35] C. H. Cheng,[36] D. J. Lange,[36] M. C. Simani,[36] D. M. Wright,[36] A. J. Bevan,[37] C. A. Chavez,[37] J. P. Coleman,[37] I. J. Forster,[37] J. R. Fry,[37] E. Gabathuler,[37] R. Gamet,[37] D. E. Hutchcroft,[37] R. J. Parry,[37] D. J. Payne,[37] C. Touramanis,[37] C. M. Cormack,[38] F. Di Lodovico,[38] C. L. Brown,[39] G. Cowan,[39] R. L. Flack,[39] H. U. Flaecher,[39] M. G. Green,[39] P. S. Jackson,[39] T. R. McMahon,[39] S. Ricciardi,[39] F. Salvatore,[39] M. A. Winter,[39] D. Brown,[40] C. L. Davis,[40] J. Allison,[41] N. R. Barlow,[41] R. J. Barlow,[41] M. C. Hodgkinson,[41] G. D. Lafferty,[41] M. T. Naisbit,[41] J. C. Williams,[41] C. Chen,[42] A. Farbin,[42] W. D. Hulsbergen,[42] A. Jawahery,[42] D. Kovalskyi,[42] C. K. Lae,[42] V. Lillard,[42] D. A. Roberts,[42] G. Blaylock,[43] C. Dallapiccola,[43] S. S. Hertzbach,[43] R. Kofler,[43] V. B. Koptchev,[43] T. B. Moore,[43] S. Saremi,[43] H. Staengle,[43]

S. Willocq,[43] R. Cowan,[44] K. Koeneke,[44] G. Sciolla,[44] S. J. Sekula,[44] F. Taylor,[44] R. K. Yamamoto,[44] P. M. Patel,[45] S. H. Robertson,[45] A. Lazzaro,[46] V. Lombardo,[46] F. Palombo,[46] J. M. Bauer,[47] L. Cremaldi,[47] V. Eschenburg,[47] R. Godang,[47] R. Kroeger,[47] J. Reidy,[47] D. A. Sanders,[47] D. J. Summers,[47] H. W. Zhao,[47] S. Brunet,[48] D. Côté,[48] P. Taras,[48] H. Nicholson,[49] N. Cavallo,[50,*] F. Fabozzi,[50,*] C. Gatto,[50] L. Lista,[50] D. Monorchio,[50] P. Paolucci,[50] D. Piccolo,[50] C. Sciacca,[50] M. Baak,[51] H. Bulten,[51] G. Raven,[51] H. L. Snoek,[51] L. Wilden,[51] C. P. Jessop,[52] J. M. LoSecco,[52] T. Allmendinger,[53] G. Benelli,[53] K. K. Gan,[53] K. Honscheid,[53] D. Hufnagel,[53] H. Kagan,[53] R. Kass,[53] T. Pulliam,[53] A. M. Rahimi,[53] R. Ter-Antonyan,[53] Q. K. Wong,[53] J. Brau,[54] R. Frey,[54] O. Igonkina,[54] M. Lu,[54] C. T. Potter,[54] N. B. Sinev,[54] D. Strom,[54] E. Torrence,[54] F. Colecchia,[55] A. Dorigo,[55] F. Galeazzi,[55] M. Margoni,[55] M. Morandin,[55] M. Posocco,[55] M. Rotondo,[55] F. Simonetto,[55] R. Stroili,[55] C. Voci,[55] M. Benayoun,[56] H. Briand,[56] J. Chauveau,[56] P. David,[56] L. Del Buono,[56] Ch. de la Vaissière,[56] O. Hamon,[56] M. J. J. John,[56] Ph. Leruste,[56] J. Malclès,[56] J. Ocariz,[56] L. Roos,[56] G. Therin,[56] P. K. Behera,[57] L. Gladney,[57] Q. H. Guo,[57] J. Panetta,[57] M. Biasini,[58] R. Covarelli,[58] M. Pioppi,[58] C. Angelini,[59] G. Batignani,[59] S. Bettarini,[59] M. Bondioli,[59] F. Bucci,[59] G. Calderini,[59] M. Carpinelli,[59] F. Forti,[59] M. A. Giorgi,[59] A. Lusiani,[59] G. Marchiori,[59] M. Morganti,[59] N. Neri,[59] E. Paoloni,[59] M. Rama,[59] G. Rizzo,[59] G. Simi,[59] J. Walsh,[59] M. Haire,[60] D. Judd,[60] K. Paick,[60] D. E. Wagoner,[60] N. Danielson,[61] P. Elmer,[61] Y. P. Lau,[61] C. Lu,[61] V. Miftakov,[61] J. Olsen,[61] A. J. S. Smith,[61] A. V. Telnov,[61] F. Bellini,[62] G. Cavoto,[61,62] A. D'Orazio,[62] E. Di Marco,[62] R. Faccini,[62] F. Ferrarotto,[62] F. Ferroni,[62] M. Gaspero,[62] L. Li Gioi,[62] M. A. Mazzoni,[62] S. Morganti,[62] G. Piredda,[62] F. Polci,[62] F. Safai Tehrani,[62] C. Voena,[62] S. Christ,[63] H. Schröder,[63] G. Wagner,[63] R. Waldi,[63] T. Adye,[64] N. De Groot,[64] B. Franek,[64] G. P. Gopal,[64] E. O. Olaiya,[64] R. Aleksan,[65] S. Emery,[65] A. Gaidot,[65] S. F. Ganzhur,[65] P.-F. Giraud,[65] G. Graziani,[65] G. Hamel de Monchenault,[65] W. Kozanecki,[65] M. Legendre,[65] G. W. London,[65] B. Mayer,[65] G. Vasseur,[65] Ch. Yèche,[65] M. Zito,[65] M. V. Purohit,[66] A. W. Weidemann,[66] J. R. Wilson,[66] F. X. Yumiceva,[66] T. Abe,[67] D. Aston,[67] R. Bartoldus,[67] N. Berger,[67] A. M. Boyarski,[67] O. L. Buchmueller,[67] R. Claus,[67] M. R. Convery,[67] M. Cristinziani,[67] G. De Nardo,[67] J. C. Dingfelder,[67] D. Dong,[67] J. Dorfan,[67] D. Dujmic,[67] W. Dunwoodie,[67] S. Fan,[67] R. C. Field,[67] T. Glanzman,[67] S. J. Gowdy,[67] T. Hadig,[67] V. Halyo,[67] C. Hast,[67] T. Hryn'ova,[67] W. R. Innes,[67] M. H. Kelsey,[67] P. Kim,[67] M. L. Kocian,[67] D. W. G. S. Leith,[67] J. Libby,[67] S. Luitz,[67] V. Luth,[67] H. L. Lynch,[67] H. Marsiske,[67] R. Messner,[67] D. R. Muller,[67] C. P. O'Grady,[67] V. E. Ozcan,[67] A. Perazzo,[67] M. Perl,[67] B. N. Ratcliff,[67] A. Roodman,[67] A. A. Salnikov,[67] R. H. Schindler,[67] J. Schwiening,[67] A. Snyder,[67] A. Soha,[67] J. Stelzer,[67] J. Strube,[54,67] D. Su,[67] M. K. Sullivan,[67] J. Va'vra,[67] S. R. Wagner,[67] M. Weaver,[67] W. J. Wisniewski,[67] M. Wittgen,[67] D. H. Wright,[67] A. K. Yarritu,[67] C. C. Young,[67] P. R. Burchat,[68] A. J. Edwards,[68] S. A. Majewski,[68] B. A. Petersen,[68] C. Roat,[68] M. Ahmed,[69] S. Ahmed,[69] M. S. Alam,[69] J. A. Ernst,[69] M. A. Saeed,[69] M. Saleem,[69] F. R. Wappler,[69] W. Bugg,[70] M. Krishnamurthy,[70] S. M. Spanier,[70] R. Eckmann,[71] H. Kim,[71] J. L. Ritchie,[71] A. Satpathy,[71] R. F. Schwitters,[71] J. M. Izen,[72] I. Kitayama,[72] X. C. Lou,[72] S. Ye,[72] F. Bianchi,[73] M. Bona,[73] F. Gallo,[73] D. Gamba,[73] L. Bosisio,[74] C. Cartaro,[74] F. Cossutti,[74] G. Della Ricca,[74] S. Dittongo,[74] S. Grancagnolo,[74] L. Lanceri,[74] P. Poropat,[74,†] L. Vitale,[74] G. Vuagnin,[74] F. Martinez-Vidal,[2,75] R. S. Panvini,[76,†] Sw. Banerjee,[77] B. Bhuyan,[77] C. M. Brown,[77] D. Fortin,[77] K. Hamano,[77] P. D. Jackson,[77] R. Kowalewski,[77] J. M. Roney,[77] R. J. Sobie,[77] J. J. Back,[78] P. F. Harrison,[78] G. B. Mohanty,[78] H. R. Band,[79] X. Chen,[79] B. Cheng,[79] S. Dasu,[79] M. Datta,[79] A. M. Eichenbaum,[79] K. T. Flood,[79] M. Graham,[79] J. J. Hollar,[79] J. R. Johnson,[79] P. E. Kutter,[79] H. Li,[79] R. Liu,[79] A. Mihalyi,[79] Y. Pan,[79] R. Prepost,[79] P. Tan,[79] J. H. von Wimmersperg-Toeller,[79] J. Wu,[79] S. L. Wu,[79] Z. Yu,[79] M. G. Greene,[80] and H. Neal[80]

(The *BABAR* Collaboration)

[1]*Laboratoire de Physique des Particules, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France*
[2]*IFAE, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain*
[3]*Università di Bari, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-70126 Bari, Italy*
[4]*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, China*
[5]*University of Bergen, Inst. of Physics, N-5007 Bergen, Norway*
[6]*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*
[7]*University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom*
[8]*Ruhr Universität Bochum, Institut für Experimentalphysik 1, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*
[9]*University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom*
[10]*University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1*
[11]*Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom*
[12]*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*
[13]*University of California at Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, USA*
[14]*University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA*

[15]University of California at Riverside, Riverside, California 92521, USA
[16]University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA
[17]University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA
[18]University of California at Santa Cruz, Institute for Particle Physics, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA
[19]California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA
[20]University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA
[21]University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA
[22]Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA
[23]Universität Dortmund, Institut fur Physik, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
[24]Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, D-01062 Dresden, Germany
[25]Ecole Polytechnique, LLR, F-91128 Palaiseau, France
[26]University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom
[27]Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy
[28]Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy
[29]Università di Genova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-16146 Genova, Italy
[30]Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA
[31]Universität Heidelberg, Physikalisches Institut, Philosophenweg 12, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany
[32]Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
[33]University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA
[34]Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-3160, USA
[35]Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, F-91898 Orsay, France
[36]Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA
[37]University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 72E, United Kingdom
[38]Queen Mary, University of London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom
[39]University of London, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
[40]University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40292, USA
[41]University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
[42]University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
[43]University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA
[44]Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
[45]McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2T8
[46]Università di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-20133 Milano, Italy
[47]University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
[48]Université de Montréal, Laboratoire René J. A. Lévesque, Montréal, Quebec, Canada H3C 3J7
[49]Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Massachusetts 01075, USA
[50]Università di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche and INFN, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
[51]NIKHEF, National Institute for Nuclear Physics and High Energy Physics, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
[52]University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
[53]Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA
[54]University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA
[55]Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy
[56]Universités Paris VI et VII, Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, F-75252 Paris, France
[57]University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA
[58]Università di Perugia, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-06100 Perugia, Italy
[59]Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Fisica, Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
[60]Prairie View A&M University, Prairie View, Texas 77446, USA
[61]Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
[62]Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-00185 Roma, Italy
[63]Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
[64]Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom
[65]DSM/Dapnia, CEA/Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[66]University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA
[67]Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94309, USA
[68]Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-4060, USA
[69]State University of New York, Albany, New York 12222, USA
[70]University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA
[71]University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA
[72]University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75083, USA
[73]Università di Torino, Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale and INFN, I-10125 Torino, Italy
[74]Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
[75]IFIC, Universitat de Valencia-CSIC, E-46071 Valencia, Spain
[76]Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, USA
[77]University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3P6
[78]Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

[79] *University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA*
[80] *Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA*
(Dated: February 2, 2005)

We search for strange pentaquark states that have been previously reported by other experiments — the $\Theta(1540)^+$, $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$, and $\Xi_5(1860)^0$ — in 123 fb^{-1} of data recorded with the *BABAR* detector at the PEP-II e^+e^- storage ring. We find no evidence for these states and set 95% confidence level upper limits on the number of $\Theta(1540)^+$ and $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$ pentaquarks produced per e^+e^- annihilation into $q\overline{q}$ and per $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay. For $q\overline{q}$ events these limits are about eight and four times lower, respectively, than the rates measured for ordinary baryons of similar mass.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 12.15.Hh, 11.30.Er

Ten experimental groups have recently reported narrow enhancements near 1540 MeV/c^2 in the invariant mass spectra for nK^+ or pK^0_s [1–10]. The minimal quark content of a state that decays strongly to nK^+ is $dduu\overline{s}$; therefore, these mass peaks have been interpreted as a possible pentaquark state, called $\Theta(1540)^+$. A single experiment (NA49) has reported a narrow resonance near 1862 MeV/c^2 in the invariant mass spectra for $\Xi^-\pi^-$ and $\Xi^-\pi^+$ [11]. The minimal quark content of the $\Xi^-\pi^-$ final state is $dssd\overline{u}$. Therefore, the latter two mass peaks have also been interpreted as possible pentaquark states, named $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$ and $\Xi_5(1860)^0$, with the latter being a mixture of $ussu\overline{u}$ and $ussd\overline{d}$. On the other hand, a number of experiments that observe large samples of strange baryons with mass similar to that of the $\Theta(1540)^+$ (*e.g.,* $\Lambda(1520) \to pK^-$) see no evidence for the $\Theta(1540)^+$ [12]; a number of experiments that observe large samples of the nonexotic Ξ^- baryon do not observe the $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$ or $\Xi_5(1860)^0$ states [12].

We report the results of inclusive searches for $\Theta^+ \to pK^0_s$, $\Xi_5^{--} \to \Xi^-\pi^-$, and $\Xi_5^0 \to \Xi^-\pi^+$ in e^+e^- annihilation data, where we expect equal production of the charge conjugate states; their inclusion is implied throughout this Letter. The data were recorded with the *BABAR* detector [13] at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy e^+e^- storage ring located at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The data sample represents an integrated luminosity of 123 fb^{-1} collected at an e^+e^- center-of-mass (CM) energy at or just below the mass of the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance.

The *BABAR* detector is described in detail in Ref. 13. We use charged tracks reconstructed in the five-layer silicon vertex tracker and the 40-layer drift chamber. The charged-particle momentum resolution is $(\sigma(p_T)/p_T)^2 = (0.0013p_T)^2 + 0.0045^2$, where p_T is the momentum transverse to the beam axis measured in GeV/c. Particles are identified as pions, kaons, or protons with a combination of the energy-loss measured in the two tracking detectors and the Cherenkov angles measured in the detector of internally reflected Cherenkov radiation. We use all events accepted by our trigger, which is more than 99% efficient for both $e^+e^- \to q\overline{q}$ and $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$ events.

To evaluate the efficiency and mass resolution for reconstructing pentaquarks, we simulate pentaquark signals with the JETSET [14] Monte Carlo generator by substituting a particle with the mass, width, and decay mode of a hypothetical pentaquark for an existing baryon already simulated by JETSET. We use large control samples of known particles identified in data to correct small inaccuracies in the performance predicted by the GEANT-based [15] detector simulation. The invariant-mass resolution for the decay modes studied in this analysis ranges from less than 2 MeV/c^2 to approximately 8 MeV/c^2, depending on the final state and the momentum of the pentaquark candidate.

We reconstruct Θ^+ candidates in the pK^0_S decay mode, where $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$. A sample of K^0_S candidates is obtained from all pairs of oppositely charged tracks we identify loosely as pions (with more than 99% efficiency and [70–90]% rejection of K and p depending on momentum) that pass within 6 mm of each other. Each candidate is required to have a reconstructed trajectory passing within 6 mm of the interaction point (IP) in the plane transverse to the beam direction and within 32 mm of the IP along the beam direction, a positive flight distance, defined as the projection on its momentum direction of a vector from its point of closest approach to the beam axis to its decay point, and an invariant mass within 10 MeV/c^2 of the nominal K^0_S mass. We also require the helicity angle θ_H of the candidate, defined as the angle between the π^+ and the $\pi^+\pi^-$ flight directions in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ rest frame, to satisfy $|\cos\theta_H| < 0.8$, reducing background from Λ^0 decays and photon conversions.

We combine these K^0_S candidates with tracks we identify as p or $\overline{p}$ (with [55–99]% efficiency and [95–99]% rejection of π and K) that extrapolate within 15 mm (10 cm) of the IP in the plane transverse to (along) the beam direction. The invariant-mass distribution of pK^0_S pairs in data is shown in Fig. 1. No enhancement is seen near the mass of the reported $\Theta(1540)^+$ (inset in Fig. 1). There is a clear peak containing 98,000 entries at 2285 MeV/c^2 from $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^0_S$, with a mass resolution below 6 MeV/c^2.

We consider several additional criteria that might reduce background to a pentaquark signal. Increasing the required flight distance of the K^0_S candidates increases the Λ_c^+ signal-to-background ratio, but does not reveal any additional structure. We also tried requiring at least one K^- and/or $\bar{p}$ candidate in the event. The Λ_c^+ signal is still visible and there is no sign of a pentaquark peak.



FIG. 1: Distribution of the pK_S^0 invariant mass for combinations satisfying the criteria described in the text. The same data are plotted for the full kinematically allowed pK_S^0 mass range and, in the inset, with statistical uncertainties and a suppressed zero on the vertical scale, for the mass range in which the $\Theta(1540)^+$ has been reported.

To enhance our sensitivity to any production mechanism that gives a pK_S^0 momentum spectrum in the CM frame (p^*) different from that of the background, we split the data into ten subsamples according to the value of p^* for the pK_S^0 candidate. The ten p^* ranges are 500 MeV/c wide and cover values from 0 to 5 GeV/c, the kinematic limit for a particle of mass 1700 MeV/c^2. The background is lower at high p^*, so we are more sensitive to mechanisms that produce harder spectra. There is no evidence of a pentaquark signal in any p^* range.

We quantify these null results for a Θ^+ mass of 1540 MeV/c^2. We fit a signal-plus-background function to the pK_S^0 invariant-mass distribution for candidates in each p^* range. We use a p-wave Breit-Wigner lineshape convolved with a resolution function derived from the Λ_c^+ data and simulation. The latter is a sum of two Gaussian distributions with a common center and an overall root-mean-squared-deviation (RMS) ranging from 2.5 MeV/c^2 at low p^* to 1.8 MeV/c^2 at high p^*; this is narrower than the Λ_c^+ resolution due to the proximity of 1540 MeV/c^2 to pK_S^0 threshold. The best upper limit of 8 MeV/c^2 [5] on the natural width Γ of the Θ^+ is larger than our pK_S^0 mass resolution, and Γ could be very small. Therefore, we use $\Gamma = 1$ MeV/c^2 and $\Gamma = 8$ MeV/c^2 in the fit and quote results for each assumed width. We account for broad structures (known and unknown resonances, reflections) in the pK_S^0 mass distribution by using a wide mass range, from threshold to 1800 MeV/c^2, and a seventh-order polynomial times a threshold function for the background shape; seventh is the lowest order giving an acceptable χ^2.

For the nominal selection criteria, we find that in each p^* range the fit quality is good and the signal is consistent with zero. We consider systematic effects in the fitting procedure by varying the signal and background functions and fit range; changes in the signal yield are negligible compared with the statistical uncertainties. Varying the mass assumed for the Θ^+ has effects consistent with expected statistical variations. The other selection criteria give similar results. Since the nominal selection results in the smallest absolute uncertainties after efficiency corrections, we use it to set upper limits on the production cross section.

We convert the signal yield in each range of p^* into a cross section by dividing by the reconstruction and selection efficiency, the $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ branching fraction, the integrated luminosity, and the p^* range. If the Θ^+ decays strongly, we expect only two possible decay modes, nK^+ and pK^0, with very similar Q values, so we assume $\mathcal{B}(\Theta^+ \to pK_S^0) = 1/4$. The efficiency for the simulated pentaquark signal varies from 13% at low p^* to 22% at high p^*. The efficiency calculation is verified by measuring the differential cross section for Λ_c^+ production in the combination of $q\bar{q}$ ($q = d, u, s, c$) and $\Upsilon(4S)$ events represented in our data.

The resulting differential cross sections are shown for $\Gamma = 1$ MeV/c^2 and for $\Gamma = 8$ MeV/c^2 in Fig. 2. The error bars include the relative systematic uncertainties on the luminosity (1%) and efficiency (4.9% dominated by the uncertainties on track and displaced-vertex reconstruction efficiencies). We derive an upper limit on the Θ^+ production cross section for each p^* range under the assumption that it cannot be negative: a Gaussian function centered at the measured value with RMS equal to the total uncertainty is integrated from zero to infinity, and the point at which the integral reaches 95% of this total is taken as the limit. These 95% confidence level (CL) upper limits are also shown in Fig. 2.



FIG. 2: The measured differential production cross sections (symbols) and corresponding 95% CL upper limits (lines) for Θ^+ (top) and Ξ_5^{--} (bottom), assuming natural widths of $\Gamma = 1$ MeV/c^2 (solid) and at the current experimental upper limit (open/dashed), as functions of CM momentum.

We derive model-independent upper limits on the total number of pentaquarks produced per $q\overline{q}$ event and per $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay by summing the differential cross section over the kinematically allowed p^* range for $q\overline{q}$ events (entire p^* range) and for B meson decays ($p^* < 2.5$ GeV/c), respectively, taking into account the correlation in the systematic uncertainty. The central value and the 95% CL upper limit on the total Θ^+ (plus $\overline{\Theta}^-$) production cross section for the p^* range from 0 to 5 GeV/c are shown in Table I. Dividing this limit and the corresponding limit for the p^* range from 0 to 2.5 GeV/c by the cross section for $e^+e^- \to q\overline{q}$ and for $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$, respectively, we calculate limits on the number of pentaquarks per event, given in Table I. For the maximum width ($\Gamma = 8$ MeV/c^2), we obtain a 95% CL upper limit roughly a factor of eight below the typical values measured for ordinary octet and decuplet baryons of the same mass [16].

We search, as well, for the reported $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$ and $\Xi_5(1860)^0$ states decaying into a Ξ^- and a charged pion, where $\Xi^- \to \Lambda^0\pi^-$ and $\Lambda^0 \to p\pi^-$. We reconstruct $\Lambda^0 \to p\pi^-$ candidates from all pairs of charged tracks that satisfy loose proton and pion indentification requirements and pass within 6 mm of each other. The Λ^0 candidate must have a positive flight distance from the IP and an invariant mass within 10 MeV/c^2 of the nominal Λ^0 mass. These Λ^0 candidates are combined with an additional negatively charged track passing loose pion identification requirements to form Ξ^- candidates, which are required to form a good vertex, to have a positive flight distance from the IP, and to have an invariant mass within 20 MeV/c^2 of the nominal Ξ^- mass. The flight distance of the Λ^0 candidate from the $\Lambda^0\pi^-$ vertex is required to be positive. This selection yields 290,000 Ξ^- candidates with a peak signal-to-background ratio of 23 in the $\Lambda^0\pi^-$ mass distribution. Finally, we combine the Ξ^- candidates with an additional charged track consistent with coming from the IP and passing loose pion identification requirements. The cosine of the angle between the reconstructed Ξ^- trajectory, extrapolated back to the IP, and the additional track is required to be less than 0.998. This last requirement is especially important, since the Ξ^- is charged and has a long lifetime; if it has a long flight distance, it can produce a reconstructed track that, if combined with itself, forms a false peak in the invariant-mass distribution. The reconstruction efficiency for the simulated pentaquark signal varies from 6.5% at low p^* to 12% at high p^*.

The invariant-mass distributions for $\Xi^-\pi^-$ and for $\Xi^-\pi^+$ combinations are shown in Fig. 3. In the $\Xi^-\pi^+$ mass spectrum, we see clear peaks for the $\Xi(1530)^0$ and $\Xi_c(2470)^0$ baryons, but no other structure is visible. There are no visible narrow structures in the $\Xi^-\pi^-$ mass spectrum.

As in the Θ^+ search, we divide the $\Xi^-\pi^-$ candidates into ten subsamples according to the p^* value of the candidate. We find no sign of a pentaquark signal for any range of p^*. We fit a signal-plus-background function to the $\Xi^-\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution, for each p^* range. Here no broad resonances or reflections are evident, and we perform simpler fits over a $\Xi^-\pi^-$ mass range from 1760 to 1960 MeV/c^2 using a linear background function. The resolution function is derived from the $\Xi(1530)^0$ and $\Xi_c(2470)^0$ signals in data and simulation, and is described by a Gaussian function with an RMS of 8 MeV/c^2. For the Breit-Wigner width we consider two possibilities, 1 and 18 MeV/c^2, corresponding to a very narrow state and the experimental upper limit on the Ξ_5^{--} width [11], respectively. We fix the Ξ_5^{--} mass to 1862 MeV/c^2. In all ranges of p^*, the signal is consistent with zero. Systematic uncertainties on the fitting procedure are again found to be negligible compared with the statistical uncertainties, and variations of the Ξ_5^{--} mass and selection criteria give consistent results.



FIG. 3: $\Xi^-\pi^+$ (black) and $\Xi^-\pi^-$ (gray) invariant-mass distributions. The same data are plotted for the full kinematically allowed $\Xi^-\pi^\pm$ mass range and, in the inset, with statistical uncertainties and a suppressed zero on the vertical scale, for the mass range in which the $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$ and $\Xi_5(1860)^0$ have been reported.

We convert the measured yields for the $\Xi_5^{--} \to \Xi^-\pi^-$ decays into cross sections as described above for the Θ^+. The efficiency determined from simulation is verified by measuring the differential cross section for the observed $\Xi(1530)^0$ signal. The average relative systematic uncertainty on the efficiency is 6.2% with a slight p^* dependence, and is larger than that for the pK_s^0 mode because there are two displaced vertices and more particles in the final state. We have used a $\Xi^-\pi^-$ branching fraction of one-half for purposes of calculating cross sections and limits, under the assumption that the two-body modes $\Xi^-\pi^-$ and Σ^-K^- dominate and have similar branching fractions.

The measured cross section and 95% CL upper limits for Ξ_5^{--} (plus $\overline{\Xi}_5^{++}$) production are shown in Fig. 2 and Table I. For $\Gamma = 18$ MeV/c^2, the limit on the total

TABLE I: The measured total production cross section and 95% CL upper limits (UL) on the cross section and yield per event for $\Theta(1540)^+$ and $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$ pentaquark candidates. The natural widths $\Gamma = 8(18)$ MeV/c^2 refer to the upper limits on the widths of the $\Theta(1540)^+$ ($\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$), used in the fits.

particle	total production cross section (fb)		UL on total cross section (fb)		UL on yield per $q\bar{q}$ event (10^{-5}/event)		UL on yield per $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay (10^{-5}/event)	
	$\Gamma = 1$	$\Gamma = 8(18)$	$\Gamma = 1$	$\Gamma = 8(18)$	$\Gamma = 1$	$\Gamma = 8(18)$	$\Gamma = 1$	$\Gamma = 8(18)$ MeV/c^2
$\Theta^+ + \overline{\Theta}^-$	-19 ± 93	7 ± 183	171	363	5.0	11	18	37
$\Xi_5^{--} + \overline{\Xi}_5^{++}$	-53 ± 25	-93 ± 38	25	36	0.74	1.1	2.4	3.4

production rate per $q\bar{q}$ event is roughly a factor of four below the typical values measured for ordinary octet and decuplet baryons of the same mass [16].

We perform a similar search for $\Xi_5^0 \to \Xi^-\pi^+$, finding no signal in any p^* bin. Since many decay modes are kinematically accessible to such a state with a mass of $\sim$1862 MeV/c^2 and the branching fraction is unknown a priori, we omit this state from Table I and express our upper limit on the total production of Ξ_5^0 and $\overline{\Xi}_5^0$ per $q\bar{q}$ event as $0.8 \times 10^{-5}/\mathcal{B}(\Xi_5^0 \to \Xi^-\pi^+)$, at the 95% CL.

In summary, we have performed a search for the reported pentaquark states $\Theta(1540)^+$, $\Xi_5(1860)^{--}$, and $\Xi_5(1860)^0$ in e^+e^- annihilations. We observe large signals for known baryon states but no excess at the measured mass values for the pentaquark states.

We are grateful for the excellent luminosity and machine conditions provided by our PEP-II colleagues, and for the substantial dedicated effort from the computing organizations that support *BABAR*. The collaborating institutions wish to thank SLAC for its support and kind hospitality. This work is supported by DOE and NSF (USA), NSERC (Canada), IHEP (China), CEA and CNRS-IN2P3 (France), BMBF and DFG (Germany), INFN (Italy), FOM (The Netherlands), NFR (Norway), MIST (Russia), and PPARC (United Kingdom). Individuals have received support from CONACyT (Mexico), A. P. Sloan Foundation, Research Corporation, and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

* Also with Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

† Deceased

[1] LEPS Collaboration, T. Nakano *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012002 (2003).
[2] SAPHIR Collaboration, J. Barth *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 572**, 127 (2003).
[3] CLAS Collaboration, S. Stepanyan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 252001 (2003).
[4] CLAS Collaboration, V. Kubarovsky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 032001 (2004). Erratum; ibid, 049902.
[5] DIANA Collaboration, V.V. Barmin *et al.*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **66**, 1715 (2003).
[6] SVD Collaboration, A. Aleev *et al.*, submitted to Yad. Fiz., hep-ex/0401024 (2004).
[7] HERMES Collaboration, A. Airapetian *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 585**, 213 (2004).
[8] A.E. Asratyan, A.G. Dolgolenko, and M.A. Kubantsev, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **67**, 682 (2004).
[9] COSY-TOF Collaboration, M. Abdel-Bary *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 595**, 127 (2004).
[10] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 591**, 7 (2004).
[11] NA49 Collaboration, C. Alt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 042003 (2004).
[12] See, e.g., J. Pochodzalla, presented at 2nd PANDA Physics Workshop, Frascati, Italy, March 2004, hep-ex/0406077 (2004), and references therein.
[13] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. **A 479**, 1 (2002).
[14] T. Sjostrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. **82**, 74 (1994).
[15] "GEANT: Detector Description and Simulation Tool", CERN program library long writeup W5013 (1994).
[16] Particle Data Group, S. Eidelman *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 592**, 1 (2004).