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#### Abstract

We search for the rare flavor-changing neutral-current decay $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ in a data sample of $82 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ collected with the BABAR detector at the PEP-II B-factory. Signal events are selected by examining the properties of the system recoiling against either a reconstructed hadronic or semileptonic charged- $B$ decay. Using these two independent samples we obtain a combined limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ at the $90 \%$ confidence level. In addition, by selecting for pions rather than kaons, we obtain a limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ using only the hadronic $B$ reconstruction method.


PACS numbers: $13.25 . \mathrm{Hw}, 12.15 . \mathrm{Hh}, 11.30 . \mathrm{Er}$

Flavor-changing neutral-current transitions such as $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $b \rightarrow d \nu \bar{\nu}$ occur in the Standard Model (SM) via one-loop box or electroweak penguin diagrams with virtual heavy particles in the loops. Therefore they are expected to be highly suppressed. Because heavy nonSM particles could contribute additional loop diagrams, various new physics scenarios can potentially lead to significant enhancements in the observed rates [1, 2]. Theoretical uncertainties on $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$ are much smaller than the corresponding $b \rightarrow s \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$modes due to the absence of a photonic penguin contribution and hadronic long distance effects [3]. The SM $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ branching fraction has been estimated to be $\left(3.8_{-0.6}^{+1.2}\right) \times 10^{-6}[3,4]$, while the most stringent published experimental limit is $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ at the $90 \%$ confidence level (C.L.) [5]. There is additional suppression of $b \rightarrow d \nu \bar{\nu}$ processes relative to $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$ from the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix-element ratio $\left|V_{t d}\right|^{2} /\left|V_{t s}\right|^{2}[6]$.

In this work we report the results of a search for the exclusive decay mode $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$. By modifying the particle identification (PID) criteria used in the search, we additionally obtain a limit on the related decay $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$. Charge conjugate modes are included implicitly throughout this paper and all kinematic quantities are expressed in the CM frame (i.e. the $\Upsilon(4 S)$ rest frame) unless otherwise specified.

The data used in this analysis were collected with the BABAR detector [7] at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy $e^{+} e^{-}$storage ring. The results are based on a data sample of 89 million $B \bar{B}$ events, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $82 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ collected at the $\Upsilon(4 S)$ resonance. An additional sample of $9.6 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ was collected at a center-of-mass (CM) energy approximately 40 MeV below $B \bar{B}$ threshold. We used this sample to study continuum events, $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}(q=u, d, s$ and $c)$. Chargedparticle tracking and $\mathrm{d} E / \mathrm{d} x$ measurements for particle identification (PID) are provided by a five-layer doublesided silicon vertex tracker and a 40-layer drift chamber contained within the magnetic field of a 1.5 T superconducting solenoid. A ring-imaging Cherenkov detector (DIRC) provides charged $K-\pi$ separation of greater than $3 \sigma$ over the momentum range of interest for this analysis, The energies of neutral particles are measured by an electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) consisting of $6580 \mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{Tl})$ crystals. The magnetic flux return of the
solenoid is instrumented with resistive plate chambers in order to provide muon identification. A full $B A B A R$ detector Monte Carlo (MC) simulation based on GEANT4 [8] is used to evaluate signal efficiencies and to identify and study background sources.

The presence of two neutrinos in the final state precludes the direct reconstruction of the $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ signal mode. Instead, the $B^{-}$meson from an $\Upsilon(4 S) \rightarrow$ $B^{+} B^{-}$event is reconstructed in one of many semileptonic or hadronic decay modes, then all remaining charged and neutral particles in that event are examined under the assumption that they are attributable to the decay of the accompanying $B$.

The $B^{-}$reconstruction proceeds by combining a $D^{0}$ candidate with either a single identified charged lepton or a combination $X_{\text {had }}^{-}$of charged and neutral hadrons. The resulting semileptonic and hadronic charged- $B$ samples are referred to as $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$throughout this paper. The $D^{0}$ candidates are reconstructed by selecting combinations of identified pions and kaons that yield an invariant mass within approximately $3 \sigma$ of the expected $D^{0}$ mass in the modes $K^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ and $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. For $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$reconstruction, $D^{0} \rightarrow K_{s}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$is also used.

Photon candidates are obtained from EMC clusters with laboratory-frame energy greater than 30 MeV and no associated charged track. Photon pairs that combine to yield $\gamma \gamma$ invariant masses between $115 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ and $150 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ and total energy greater than 200 MeV are considered to be $\pi^{0}$ candidates.

The $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$candidates are reconstructed by combining a $D^{0}$ candidate having a momentum $p_{D^{0}}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ with a lepton candidate of momentum $p_{\ell}>1.35 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ that satisfies either electron or muon identification criteria. The invariant mass, $m_{D \ell}$, of the $D^{0} \ell$ candidate is required to be greater than $3.0 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$. Under the assumption that the neutrino is the only missing particle, the cosine of the angle between the inferred direction of the reconstructed $B$ and that of the lepton $-D^{0}$ combination, described by the four vector $\left(E_{D \ell}, \mathbf{p}_{D \ell}\right)$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{B, D \ell} \equiv \frac{2 E_{\mathrm{beam}} \cdot E_{D \ell}-m_{B}^{2}-m_{D \ell}^{2}}{2\left|\mathbf{p}_{D \ell}\right| \cdot \sqrt{E_{\mathrm{beam}}^{2}-m_{B}^{2}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{B}$ is the nominal $B$ meson mass and $E_{\text {beam }}$ and $\sqrt{E_{\text {beam }}^{2}-m_{B}^{2}}$ are the expected $B$-meson energy and momentum, respectively. We use $\cos \theta_{B, D \ell}$ to discriminate against combinatorial backgrounds, for which
$\left|\cos \theta_{B, D \ell}\right| \operatorname{can}$ exceed unity. We retain events in the interval $-2.5<\cos \theta_{B, D \ell}<1.1$ in order to maintain efficiency for $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{* 0} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}$ decays in which a $\pi^{0}$ or photon has not been reconstructed as part of the $D \ell$ combination. However events are vetoed if a charged $\pi$ consistent with $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}$ is identified. If more than one $D \ell$ candidate is reconstructed in a given event, the candidate with the smallest $\left|\cos \theta_{B, D \ell}\right|$ is retained.

Reconstructed $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$decays are obtained by combining a reconstructed $D^{0}$ candidate with a hadronic system $X_{\text {had }}^{-}$composed of up to five mesons ( $\pi^{ \pm}, K^{ \pm}$, and $\pi^{0}$ ), including up to two $\pi^{0}$ candidates. We define the kinematic variables $m_{E S} \equiv \sqrt{E_{\text {beam }}^{2}-\mathbf{p}_{B}^{2}}$ and $\Delta E \equiv E_{B}-E_{\text {beam }}$ where $\mathbf{p}_{B}$ and $E_{B}$ are the momentum and the energy of the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$candidate. The $X_{\text {had }}^{-}$system is selected by requiring that the resulting $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$candidate lies within $-1.8<\Delta E<0.6 \mathrm{GeV}$. If multiple $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$candidates are identified in an event, only the one with $\Delta E$ closest to zero is retained. The $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ distribution of reconstructed $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$candidates is shown in Fig. 1b. $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$candidates in the signal region, $5.272<m_{\mathrm{ES}}<5.288 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, are used for the $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ signal selection. Candidates in the sideband region, $5.225<m_{\mathrm{ES}}<5.265 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, are retained for background studies.


FIG. 1: (a) The $D^{0}$ mass distribution for $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}$decays used for $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$reconstruction. Data are shown as points and the total background MC is shown as a solid histogram. (b) The $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ distribution of $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$events for data (points) and $B \bar{B}$ MC (solid histogram). Continuum background has been subtracted from the on-resonance data using off-resonance data. The hatched histogram represents the estimated combinatorial background from $B \bar{B}$ decays.

Combinatorial backgrounds from continuum events are reduced in both the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$samples by requiring $\left|\cos \theta_{T}\right|<0.8$, where $\theta_{T}$ is the angle between the thrust axes defined by the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$or $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$daughter particles, and by all other tracks and clusters in the event. Continuum events peak at $\left|\cos \theta_{T}\right|=1$, while the distribution is approximately flat for $B \bar{B}$ events. Backgrounds from QED processes are strongly suppressed by the $B^{-}$reconstruction procedures and are negligible in this analysis.

The $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$reconstruction efficiency for events containing a $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ (signal) decay is determined from signal MC simulation after validating the yield
from $B^{+} B^{-}$MC simulation against data. This procedure compensates for differences in the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$reconstruction efficiency in the low-multiplicity environment of $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ events compared with the generic $B^{+} B^{-}$ environment. The $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$and $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$reconstruction efficiencies in MC are additionally validated by comparing the yield of events in which a $B^{+} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{0} \ell^{+} \nu$ has been reconstructed in addition to the $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$or $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$. The $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$ reconstruction procedures result in raw yields of approximately $5800 B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-} / \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ and $2200 B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-} / \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. Relative systematic uncertainties of $4.5 \%(7 \%)$ are estimated for the overall $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}\left(B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}\right)$yields.

Events that contain a reconstructed $B^{-}$are examined for evidence of a $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ decay. Tracks and EMC clusters not already utilized for the $B^{-}$reconstruction are assumed to be the daughters of the signal candidate $B$ decay. Signal candidate events are required to possess exactly one additional track with charge opposite that of the reconstructed $B^{-}$. The track is additionally required to satisfy $K$ PID criteria and to have momentum $p_{K}$ greater than $1.25 \mathrm{GeV} / c$.

In addition to this track, $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ events contain an average of approximately 200 MeV of EMC energy from hadronic shower fragments, photons from unreconstructed $D^{*} \rightarrow D^{0} \gamma / \pi^{0}$ transitions in the $B^{-}$candidate, and beam-related background photons. The total calorimeter energy attributed to the signal decay, $E_{\text {extra }}$, is computed by summing all EMC clusters that are not associated either with the decay daughters of the $B^{-}$or with the signal track. Signal events are required to have $E_{\text {extra }}<250 \mathrm{MeV}$. The $E_{\text {extra }}$ distributions are shown in Fig. 2 for $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$events with one additional track that has been identified as a kaon. The $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$analysis additionally requires that there are six or fewer clusters contributing to $E_{\text {extra }}$, and that no pair of these clusters can be combined to form a $\pi^{0}$ candidate.

The total $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ signal selection efficiencies, including the $B^{-}$reconstruction, are estimated to be $\varepsilon_{K}=(0.115 \pm 0.009) \%$ for $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $\varepsilon_{K}=(0.055 \pm 0.005) \%$ for $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$events. The quoted errors are the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic uncertainties. Theoretical uncertainties in the $K^{ \pm}$energy spectrum result in a $1.3 \%$ uncertainty on the signal efficiency. This uncertainty is evaluated by comparing the $p_{K}$ spectrum of $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ MC events generated with a phase-space model with the models given in [3, 4]. Additional systematic uncertainties associated with the $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ signal candidate efficiencies include the single track efficiency ( $1.3 \%$ ), PID ( $2 \%$ ) and EMC energymodeling ( $3.8 \%$ for $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $2.3 \%$ for $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$). The EMC energy modeling systematic is determined by evaluating the effect of varying the MC $E_{\text {extra }}$ distribution within a range representing the observed level of agreement with data in events with a reconstructed $B^{+} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{0} \ell^{+} \nu$ (for the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$sample) and in samples containing two or three additional tracks (for the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$sample).

Background events can arise either from $B^{0} \bar{B}^{0}$ or continuum events in which the $B^{-}$candidate is constructed from a random combination of particles, or peaking background events in which the accompanying $B^{-}$(or in the case of $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$, at least the $D^{0}$ ) has been correctly reconstructed.

In the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$analysis, purely combinatorial backgrounds are estimated by examining sideband regions of the reconstructed $D^{0}$ invariant mass distribution, $m_{D^{0}}^{\text {reco }}$, defined by $3 \sigma<\left|m_{D^{0}}^{\text {reco }}-m_{D^{0}}\right|<10 \sigma$ as is illustrated in Fig. 1a for the $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}$mode. The sideband yields are scaled to the signal region under the assumption that the combinatorial component is flat throughout the $D^{0}$ mass distribution. This assumption has been validated using samples of events in which two or three tracks not associated with the $B^{-}$reconstruction are present. The total combinatorial background in the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$analysis is estimated to be $N_{K}^{\mathrm{bg}} \geq 3.4 \pm 1.2$. Although the peaking background prediction in the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$analysis have been studied in MC and are shown in Figs 2 and 3, the peaking background in the final selection is not subtracted.


FIG. 2: The $E_{\text {extra }}$ distribution for $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu} B_{\text {had }}^{-}$(left) and $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$(right) events. Events are required to have a reconstructed $B^{-}$and exactly one additional track which has been identified as a kaon. No other signal selection cuts have been applied. The data and background MC samples are represented by the points with error bars and solid histograms, respectively. The dotted line indicates the expected $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ signal distribution from MC

In the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$analysis, the combinatorial background can be reliably estimated by extrapolating the observed yields in the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ sideband region into the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ signal region, indicated in Fig. 1b, yielding $2.0 \pm 0.7$ events. The quoted uncertainty is dominated by the sideband data statistics, but includes also the uncertainty in the combinatorial background shape, which is estimated by varying the shape over a range of possible models. The peaking background in the $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$analysis consists only of $B^{+} B^{-}$events in which the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$has been correctly reconstructed, and is estimated directly from $B^{+} B^{-} \mathrm{MC}$ simulation. MC yields are validated by direct comparison with data in samples of events in which the full signal selection is applied, except that either $E_{\text {extra }}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$, or more than one track remains after the $B^{-}$reconstruction. Uncertainties in the peaking background are dominated
by the MC statistical uncertainty ( $42 \%$ ). Other systematic errors include the overall $B^{-}$yield $(7 \%)$, the remaining track multiplicity ( $5 \%$ ), the particle mis-identification rates for the $K^{ \pm}$selection (6.3\%), and the EMC-energy modeling ( $8 \%$ ). The total peaking background in the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$analysis is estimated to be $1.9 \pm 0.9$. The total (combinatorial+peaking) background in the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$analysis is estimated to be $N_{K}^{\mathrm{bg}}=3.9 \pm 1.1$ events.


FIG. 3: The $p_{K}$ distribution for (a) $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$and (b) $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$events after applying the full $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ selection except for the $p_{K}>1.25 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ requirement. The data and background MC samples are represented by the points and solid histograms respectively. The dotted line indicates the expected signal distribution from MC

The $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ branching fraction is calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)=\frac{N_{K}^{\mathrm{obs}}-N_{K}^{\mathrm{bg}}}{N_{B^{ \pm}} \cdot \varepsilon_{K}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{K}^{\text {obs }}$ is the total number of observed events in the signal region. $N_{B^{ \pm}}=(88.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{6}$ is the estimated number of $B^{ \pm}$mesons in the data sample and $\varepsilon_{K}$ is the total efficiency. A total of $N_{K}^{\text {obs }}=6$ (3) $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ candidate events are found in data in the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}\left(B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}\right)$ analysis. The $p_{K}$ distributions for $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ signal events in the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$analysis are shown in Fig. 3.

Branching fraction upper limits are computed using a modified frequentist approach, based on Ref. [9], which models systematic uncertainties using Gaussian distributions. For both the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\mathrm{had}}^{-}$searches, $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ limits are set at the branching fraction value at which it is estimated that $90 \%$ of experiments would produce a yield that is greater than the number of signal events observed. Limits of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)_{\mathrm{sl}}<7.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)_{\text {had }}<6.7 \times 10^{-5}$ are obtained for the $B_{\mathrm{sl}}^{-}$and $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$searches respectively. Since the two tag $B$ samples are statistically independent, we can combine the results of the two analyses to derive a limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ at the $90 \%$ C.L.

We also report a limit on exclusive $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ branching fraction using only the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$sample. The same methodology as for the $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ search is applied to the $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ search except that the single additional track is required not to satisfy either kaon
or electron PID criteria. The $E_{\text {extra }}$ and $p_{\pi}$ distributions for $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ are shown in Fig. 4. The overall $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ selection efficiency is estimated to be $\varepsilon_{\pi}=(0.065 \pm 0.006) \%$, where the quoted uncertainties include an estimated $2 \%$ PID uncertainty, and other contributions to the systematic uncertainty are similar to $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$. The peaking and non-peaking backgrounds are estimated to be $15.1 \pm 3.1$ events and $9.0 \pm 1.8$ events respectively, with similar systematic uncertainties to the $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ analysis. The search selects $N_{\pi}^{\text {obs }}=21$ candidates in data with an estimated total background of $N_{\pi}^{\mathrm{bg}}=24.1 \pm 3.6$, resulting an upper limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)_{\text {had }}<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ at the $90 \%$ C.L..


FIG. 4: The $E_{\text {extra }}$ (a) and $p_{\pi}$ (b) distributions for $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the $B_{\text {had }}^{-}$sample. Events shown in the $E_{\text {extra }}$ distribution are required to have a reconstructed $B^{-}$and exactly one additional track satisfying the pion-selection requirements. The $p_{\pi}$ distribution has all signal-selection requirements applied other than the $p_{\pi}$ cut. The data and background MC samples are represented by the points and the solid histogram respectively. The dotted line indicates the expected signal distribution from MC.

We see no evidence for a signal in either of the reported decay modes. The $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right)$ limit reported here is approximately one order of magnitude above the SM prediction. It is the most stringent experimental limit reported to date.

We are grateful for the excellent luminosity and machine conditions provided by our PEP-II colleagues, and for the substantial dedicated effort from the computing organizations that support $B A B A R$. The collaborating institutions wish to thank SLAC for its support and kind hospitality. This work is supported by DOE and NSF (USA), NSERC (Canada), IHEP (China), CEA and CNRS-IN2P3 (France), BMBF and DFG (Germany), INFN (Italy), FOM (The Netherlands), NFR (Norway), MIST (Russia), and PPARC (United Kingdom). Individuals have received support from CONACyT (Mexico), A. P. Sloan Foundation, Research Corporation, and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

* Now at Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
${ }^{\dagger}$ Also with Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
$\ddagger$ Also with IFIC, Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSICUniversidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain
§ Deceased
[1] Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti and E. Nardi, Nucl. Phys. B465, 369 (1996); ibid. B480, 753 (1996) (E).
[2] C. Bird, P. Jackson, R. Kowalewski, M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 201803 (2004); hep-ph/0401195.
[3] A. Faessler, Th. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, Eur. Phys. J. direct C4, 18 (2002).
[4] G. Buchalla, G. Hiller and G. Isidori, Phys. Rev. D 63, 014015 (2001).
[5] The CLEO Collaboration, T. E. Browder et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2950 (2001).
[6] T. M. Aliev, C. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 58, 013003 (1998).
[7] The BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A479, 1 (2002).
[8] S. Agostinelli et. al., [GEANT4 Collaboration], Nucl. Inst. Meth. A506, 250 (2003).
[9] R. D. Cousins and V. L. Highland, Nucl. Inst. Meth. A320, 331 (1992).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Laboratoire de Physique des Particules, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France
    ${ }^{2}$ Università di Bari, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-70126 Bari, Italy
    ${ }^{3}$ Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, China
    ${ }^{4}$ University of Bergen, Inst. of Physics, N-5007 Bergen, Norway
    ${ }^{5}$ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
    ${ }^{6}$ University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{7}$ Ruhr Universität Bochum, Institut für Experimentalphysik 1, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
    ${ }^{8}$ University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{9}$ University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1
    ${ }^{10}$ Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{11}$ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia ${ }^{12}$ University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
    ${ }^{13}$ University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA
    ${ }^{14}$ University of California at Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
    ${ }^{15}$ University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA
    ${ }^{16}$ University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

[^1]:    ${ }^{17}$ University of California at Santa Cruz, Institute for Particle Physics, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
    ${ }^{18}$ California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
    ${ }^{19}$ University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA
    ${ }^{20}$ University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA
    ${ }^{21}$ Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA
    ${ }^{22}$ Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, D-01062 Dresden, Germany
    ${ }^{23}$ Ecole Polytechnique, LLR, F-91128 Palaiseau, France
    ${ }^{24}$ University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{25}$ Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy
    ${ }^{26}$ Florida AछBM University, Tallahassee, FL 32307, USA
    ${ }^{27}$ Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy
    ${ }^{28}$ Università di Genova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-16146 Genova, Italy
    ${ }^{29}$ Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
    ${ }^{30}$ Universität Heidelberg, Physikalisches Institut, Philosophenweg 12, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany
    ${ }^{31}$ Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{32}$ University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
    ${ }^{33}$ Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3160, USA
    ${ }^{34}$ Università di Perugia, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-06100 Perugia, Italy
    ${ }^{35}$ Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, F-91898 Orsay, France
    ${ }^{36}$ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA
    ${ }^{37}$ University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 72E, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{38}$ Queen Mary, University of London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{39}$ University of London, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{40}$ University of Louisville, Louisville, $K Y$ 40292, USA
    ${ }^{41}$ University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{42}$ University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
    ${ }^{43}$ University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
    ${ }^{44}$ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
    ${ }^{45} \mathrm{Mc}$ Gill University, Montréal, QC, Canada H3A $2 T 8$
    ${ }^{46}$ Università di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-20133 Milano, Italy
    ${ }^{47}$ University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, USA
    ${ }^{48}$ Université de Montréal, Laboratoire René J. A. Lévesque, Montréal, QC, Canada H3C 3J7
    ${ }^{49}$ Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, MA 01075, USA
    ${ }^{50}$ Università di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche and INFN, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
    ${ }^{51}$ NIKHEF, National Institute for Nuclear Physics and High Energy Physics, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
    ${ }^{52}$ University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA
    ${ }^{53}$ Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
    ${ }^{54}$ University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
    ${ }^{55}$ Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy
    ${ }^{56}$ Universités Paris VI et VII, Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, F-75252 Paris, France
    ${ }^{57}$ Università di Pavia, Dipartimento di Elettronica and INFN, I-27100 Pavia, Italy
    ${ }^{58}$ University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
    ${ }^{59}$ Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Fisica, Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
    ${ }^{60}$ Prairie View AछBM University, Prairie View, TX 77446, USA
    ${ }^{61}$ Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
    ${ }^{62}$ Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-00185 Roma, Italy
    ${ }^{63}$ Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
    ${ }^{64}$ Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 OQX, United Kingdom
    ${ }^{65}$ DSM/Dapnia, CEA/Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
    ${ }^{66}$ University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA
    ${ }^{67}$ Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA 94309, USA
    ${ }^{68}$ Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060, USA
    ${ }^{69}$ State University of New York, Albany, NY 12222, USA
    ${ }^{70}$ University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA
    ${ }^{71}$ University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA
    ${ }^{72}$ University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75083, USA
    ${ }^{73}$ Università di Torino, Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale and INFN, I-10125 Torino, Italy
    ${ }^{74}$ Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
    ${ }^{75}$ Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA
    ${ }^{76}$ University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 3P6
    ${ }^{77}$ University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA
    ${ }^{78}$ Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

