# Charmless 2- and 3-body B decays and the angle $\alpha(\phi_2)$

Markus Cristinziani (representing the BABAR collaboration) Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Menlo Park, CA 94025 E-mail: markus@slac.stanford.edu

#### Abstract

We present preliminary measurements of branching fractions and *CP*-asymmetry parameters in two- and three-body charmless hadronic *B* decays. The available data sample consists of 227 million  $\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B}$  decays collected with the *BABAR* detector at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^-$  collider at SLAC. We establish the observation of the decays  $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$  and  $B^0 \to K^0\overline{K}^0$ and constrain the CKM angle  $\alpha$  with a full SU(2) isospin analysis in the  $B \to \pi\pi$  system and with a  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  time-dependent Dalitz plot analysis.

Contributed to the Proceedings of the  $32^{nd}$  International Conference on High Energy Physics, ICHEP'04, 16 August — 22 August 2004, Beijing, China

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309

Work supported in part by Department of Energy contract DE-AC02-76SF00515.

### 1 Introduction

According to the Standard Model CP violation is attributed to the presence of one complex phase in the CKM quark-mixing matrix. The relations between the matrix elements  $V_{ij}$  are usually represented as a triangle in the complex plane, the Unitarity Triangle. The program of the *B* factories aims at overconstraining its sides and angles. Most measurements of branching fractions and CP parameters presented in this talk can be used to extract information about the angle  $\alpha = \arg \left[-V_{td}V_{tb}^*/V_{ud}V_{ub}^*\right]$ .

More detail about the analyses presented here can be found in the conference contributions[1].

## 2 Hadronic Charmless *B* Decays

These results are based on the analysis of 227 million  $B\overline{B}$  decays recorded by the BABAR detector at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^-$  collider at SLAC. The BABAR detector is described in detail elsewhere[2].

Decays of a *B* meson into final states with two or three charmless particles are rare, with branching fractions typically of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ . Signal decays are identified using two kinematic variables: (1) the difference  $\Delta E$  between the energy of the *B* candidate in the  $e^+e^-$  center-of-mass (CM) frame and  $\sqrt{s}/2$  and (2) the beam-energy substituted mass  $m_{\rm ES} = \sqrt{(s/2 + \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_B)^2/E_i^2 - \mathbf{p}_B^2}$ , where  $\sqrt{s}$  is the total CM energy, and the B momentum  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{B}}$  and the four-momentum of the initial state  $(E_i, \mathbf{p}_i)$  are defined in the laboratory frame.

The main common background consists of continuum  $(e^+e^- \to q\bar{q})$  events where two or three mesons combine kinematically to mimic a *B* decay. To suppress this jet-like background, a cut on the sphericity of the event is applied. Additionally, a Fisher discriminant  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as an optimized linear combination of  $\sum_i p_i$  and  $\sum_i p_i \cos^2 \theta_i$ , where  $p_i$  is the momentum and  $\theta_i$  is the angle with respect to the thrust axis of the *B* candidate, both in the CM frame, for all tracks and neutral clusters not used to reconstruct the *B* meson. Alternatively a neural network is trained on those two variables and the angles with respect to the beam axis of the *B* momentum and *B* thrust axis in the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  frame. Background sources from *B* decays are vector-pseudoscalar decays, where one of the decay products remains undetected, and cross-feed among the charmless modes.

The determination of CP parameters relies on the tagging technique and a precise measurement of the flight time. Those particles in the event that are not used to reconstruct the decay mode under study provide information about whether the other B meson decayed as a  $B^0$  or  $\overline{B}^0$ . The CP asymmetry parameters in  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  decays are determined with a maximum likelihood fit including information about the B flavor and the difference  $\Delta t$  between the decay times. The decay rate distribution  $f_+(f_-)$  for the tagged  $B = B^0(\overline{B}^0)$  is given by

$$f_{\pm}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau}}{4\tau} [1 \pm S_{\pi\pi} \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \mp C_{\pi\pi} \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t)],$$

where  $\tau$  is the mean  $B^0$  lifetime and  $\Delta m_d$  is the mixing frequency due to the neutral-*B*-meson eigenstate mass difference.

All new results described here are summarized in the two tables showing branching fractions and CP parameters.

#### **2.1** $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ modes

We updated the time-dependent CP asymmetry measurement in the decay  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ . After selection of events with two charged tracks, a maximum-likelihood fit is performed using  $m_{\rm ES}$ ,  $\Delta E$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\theta_C$ , the Čerenkov angle measured by the detector of internally reflected Čerenkov light which provides good  $K - \pi$  separation in the relevant momentum region. Signal and background yields of the four related  $h^+h^-$  modes ( $h \equiv \pi, K$ ) are determined in a first fit and fixed in the final fit where information about *B*-flavor and decay-time is added. We measure the *CP* parameters in the decay  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  to be  $C_{\pi\pi} = -0.09 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.04$  and  $S_{\pi\pi} = -0.30 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.03$  which does not indicate presence of significant *CP* violation. As shown in Fig. 1 this result is not compatible with Belle's measurement with 152 million  $B^0$ 's[3].

For the analysis of the modes  $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$  and  $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$  candidate  $\pi^0$  mesons are reconstructed as pair of photons in the electromagnetic calorimeter with requirements on minimum energy and lateral shower shape. For high momentum  $\pi^0$ 's the two-photon mass resolution is approximately 8 MeV/ $c^2$ . For both the  $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$  signal and the  $B^{\pm} \to \rho^{\pm} \pi^0$  background the  $m_{\rm ES}$  and  $\Delta E$  variables are correlated and therefore a two-dimensional PDF from a smoothed, simulated distribution is used. To eliminate systematic uncertainties associated with the choice of fit function of the  $\mathcal{F}$  distribution, a parametric step function is used[4]. The result of the maximum likelihood fit for  $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$  is  $n(B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0) = 61 \pm 17$ . The significance of the event yield is found to exceed  $5.0\sigma$  including systematic effects. The event yield is transformed into a measurement of the branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0) = (1.17 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ . Considering the improved understanding of the  $\pi^0$  detection efficiency and the additional data this result is consistent with our previous measurement[4]. In the same fit the time-integrated *CP* asymmetry, defined as  $C_{\pi^0\pi^0} = (|A_{00}|^2 - |\overline{A}_{00}|^2)/(|A_{00}|^2 + |\overline{A}_{00}|^2)$ , where  $A_{00}$  ( $\overline{A}_{00}$ ) is the  $B^0(\overline{B}^0) \to \pi^0\pi^0$  decay amplitude is measured. We find  $C_{\pi^0\pi^0} = -0.12 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.06$ . Finally the charge asymmetry and branching fraction for the decay  $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$  are measured and shown in the tables.

#### 2.2 Twobody charmless decays with kaons

 $B \to K\pi$  decays are dominated by  $b \to s$  penguin transitions and are interesting modes to look for possible new physics or constrain the CKM angle  $\gamma[5]$ . New results presented here are included in the tables. We note that the charge asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0} = (6\pm 6\pm 1)\%$  is consistent with zero, while the measured direct asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^-} = (-13.3 \pm 3.0 \pm 0.9)\%$  is not[6]. The time-dependent *CP* parameters of  $B \to K_s^0 \pi^0$  are related to the angle  $\beta$  and discussed in[7].

The branching fraction and asymmetry of the previously unobserved decay  $B^0 \to K^0 \overline{K}{}^0$  is measured with a significance of  $4.5\sigma$  including systematic uncertainties. Figure 2 shows the background-subtracted  $\Delta E$  distributions. The background subtraction is performed by weighting events using the  $_s\mathcal{Plot}$  technique[8].

## **2.3** $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$

The final state of the decay  $B^0 \to \rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$  is not a *CP* eigenstate and the decay  $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$  has not yet been observed. A direct extraction of  $\alpha$  using simple isospin relations like in the  $B \to \pi \pi$ system does not appear promising. Instead, we performed a full time-dependent Dalitz analysis of the charmless three-body system  $B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  with 213 million  $B\overline{B}$  pairs, which allows a theoretically cleaner extraction of the angle  $\alpha[9]$  compared to the previously adopted quasi-twobody approach. The 16 coefficients of the bilinear form factor terms occurring in the time-dependent decay rate of the  $B^0$  meson are determined in a maximum-likelihood fit with an event yield of  $n(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 1184 \pm 58$ . The physically relevant quantities are derived from these coefficients, resulting in the measurement of the direct *CP*-violation  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho\pi} = -0.088 \pm 0.049 \pm 0.013$  and  $C = 0.34 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05$  and the mixing-induced *CP*-violation parameter  $S = -0.10 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ . For the dilution and strong phase shift we obtain  $\Delta C = 0.15 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.03$  and  $\Delta S = 0.22 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$ , respectively. These results can be expressed in terms of the asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho\pi}^{+-}$  ( $\mathcal{A}_{\rho\pi}^{-+}$ ), which involve only diagrams where the  $\rho(\pi)$  meson is emitted by the W boson, and are shown in Tab. 2. For the relative strong phase  $\delta_{+-}$  between the  $B^0 \to \rho^- \pi^+$  and  $B^0 \to \rho^+ \pi^-$  transitions we find  $(-67\frac{+28}{-31} \pm 7)^{\circ}$ .

### **3** Extraction of $\alpha$

We use the isospin relations of reference[10] to extract information on the angle difference  $\delta = \alpha - \alpha_{\text{eff}}$ , based on the measurement of the branching fraction[11]  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) = (4.7\pm0.6\pm0.2) \times 10^{-6}$  in conjunction with the asymmetries  $C_{\pi^+\pi^-}$  and  $C_{\pi^0\pi^0}$  and the  $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$  and  $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\pi^0$  decay rates described here. We scan over all values of  $|\delta|$  and calculate a  $\chi^2$  for the decay amplitudes, given these five measurements and the two isospin constraints for each value of  $|\delta|$ . The  $\chi^2$  is converted into a confidence level, as shown in Fig. 3, from which we derive an upper bound on  $|\delta|$  of 35° at the 90% C.L.

From the measured coefficients of the amplitude relations in the Dalitz analysis we can extract an independent bound on  $\alpha$ , with little theoretical assumptions. We find  $\alpha = \left(113 \frac{+27}{-17} \pm 6\right)^{\circ}$ , while only a weak constraint is achieved at the significance level of more than two standard deviations.

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the organizers for an enjoyable and stimulating conference, and my BABAR colleagues for their assistance and helpful discussions.

### References

- BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., hep-ex/0408062, hep-ex/0408080, hep-ex/0408081, hep-ex/0408089 and hep-ex/0408099.
- [2] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A479, 1 (2002).
- [3] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 021601 (2004).
- [4] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 241801 (2003).
- [5] Z. Ligeti, talk at this conference, hep-ph/0408267.
- [6] J. Wu, talk at this conference.
- [7] A. Höcker, talk at this conference.
- [8] F. Le Diberder and M. Pivk, physics/0402083.

- [9] A. E. Snyder and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2139 (1993).
- [10] M. Gronau and D. London, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3381 (1990).
- [11] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 281802 (2002); A. Jawahery, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 975 (2004).

| Decay                          | $\mathcal{B} \times 10^{-6}$ | $N\sigma$ |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$          | $5.8\pm0.6\pm0.4$            |           |
| $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$          | $1.17 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10$     | 5.0       |
| $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$            | $12.0\pm0.7\pm0.6$           |           |
| $B^0 \to K^0 \pi^0$            | $11.4\pm0.9\pm0.6$           |           |
| $B^+ \to K^0 \pi^+$            | $26.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0$       |           |
| $B^0 \to K^0 \overline{K}{}^0$ | $1.19 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.13$     | 4.5       |
| $B^+ \to K^+ \overline{K}{}^0$ | < 2.35 90% C.L.              |           |

Table 1: Summary of branching fractions measured with 227 million  $B\overline{B}$  pairs. The last column  $(N\sigma)$  shows the significance including systematic effects.

| Parameter                   | Value                        |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| $S_{\pi\pi}$                | $-0.30 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.03$    |
| $C_{\pi\pi}$                | $-0.09 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.04$    |
| $\mathcal{A}_{\pi^+\pi^0}$  | $-0.01\pm 0.10\pm 0.02$      |
| $C_{\pi^0\pi^0}$            | $-0.12 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.06$    |
| $\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0}$    | $0.06 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01$     |
| $\mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^+}$    | $-0.087 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.010$ |
| $\mathcal{A}^{+-}_{ ho\pi}$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04$    |
| $\mathcal{A}_{ ho\pi}^{-+}$ | $-0.47 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.06$    |

Table 2: Summary of updated *CP* parameters.



Figure 1: Central values and  $1\sigma$  contours of the time-dependent *CP* parameters  $C_{\pi\pi}$  and  $S_{\pi\pi}$  in the decay  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  on different *BABAR* datasets in contrast to the measurement from Belle.



Figure 2:  $\Delta E$  distribution for background subtracted  $B^0 \to K^0 \overline{K}^0$  events (see text).



Figure 3: Confidence level for the parameter  $\delta$  from the full  $B \to \pi \pi$  isospin analysis.



Figure 4: Confidence level for the CKM angle  $\alpha$  from the  $B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  Dalitz analysis.