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#### Abstract

We have measured the time-dependent decay rate for the process $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}(892)$ in a sample of about 88 million $\Upsilon(4 S) \rightarrow B \bar{B}$ decays collected with the BABAR detector at the PEP-II asymmetricenergy $B$ Factory at SLAC. In this sample we study flavor-tagged events in which one neutral $B$ meson is reconstructed in the $J / \psi K^{* 0}$ or $J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}$ final state. We measure the coefficients of the cosine and sine terms in the time-dependent asymmetries for $J / \psi K^{* 0}$ and $J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}$, find them to be consistent with the Standard Model expectations, and set upper limits at $90 \%$ C.L. on the decay amplitude ratios $\left|A\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}\right)\right| /\left|A\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}\right)\right|<0.26$ and $\left|A\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)\right| / \mid A\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}\right) \mid<0.32$. For a single ratio of wrong-flavor to favored amplitudes for $B^{0}$ and $\bar{B}^{0}$ combined, we obtain an upper limit of 0.25 at $90 \%$ C.L.


PACS numbers: $13.25 . \mathrm{Hw}, 12.15 . \mathrm{Hh}, 11.30 . \mathrm{Er}$

The Standard Model of electroweak interactions describes $C P$ violation in weak interactions of quarks by the presence of a complex phase in the three-generation Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix [1]. In this framework, the $C P$ asymmetries in the proper-time distributions of neutral $B$ decays to $J / \psi K_{S}^{0}$ and $J / \psi K_{L}^{0}$ are directly related to the $C P$-violation parameter $\sin 2 \beta$ [2]. The time-dependent $C P$ asymmetries for $J / \psi K_{S}^{0}$ and $J / \psi K_{L}^{0}$ are of opposite sign and, to a very good approximation, equal in magnitude [3]. The decay $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K_{S}^{0}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K_{L}^{0}\right)$ proceeds through the CKMfavored, color-suppressed decay $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{0}$ [4] followed by $K^{0} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}\left(K^{0} \rightarrow K_{L}^{0}\right)$. The so-called wrong-flavor $B^{0}$ decay amplitude to the opposite strangeness final state $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \bar{K}^{0}$ is expected to be negligible in the Standard Model [3]. Interference between a wrong-flavor amplitude and the favored amplitude can alter the relation between the $C P$ asymmetries, $A_{C P}$, for the $J / \psi K_{S}^{0}$ and $J / \psi K_{L}^{0}$ final states. In general, a difference between $A_{C P}\left(J / \psi K_{S}^{0}\right)$ and $-A_{C P}\left(J / \psi K_{L}^{0}\right)$ of more than a few times $10^{-3}$ requires a wrong-flavor amplitude [3]. A limit on the $C P$ odd part of the phase difference between the wrong-flavor amplitude and the favored amplitude can be derived from the measured values of $\sin 2 \beta$ from $B$ decays to the $J / \psi K_{S}^{0}$ and $J / \psi K_{L}^{0}$ final states. No test of the modulus of the wrong-flavor amplitude currently exists.

The decay mode $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}$ proceeds via the same quark transition as $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{0}$. The matrix elements, and therefore the ratio of wrong-flavor to favored amplitudes, are expected to be similar for $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}$ and $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{0}[3]$. In this Letter we present a measurement of the ratio of wrong-flavor to favored amplitude for the decay $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}$, from the time-dependent asymmetry, where we use $K^{* 0} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{-}$to identify the strangeness of the final state. The data sample consists of about 88 million $B \bar{B}$ pairs produced in $e^{+} e^{-}$interactions at the $\Upsilon(4 S)$ resonance, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $82 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, collected with the BABAR detector [5] at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy collider at SLAC.

Charged particles are detected, and their momenta measured, by a combination of a vertex tracker consisting of five layers of double-sided silicon microstrip detectors, and a 40-layer central drift chamber, both operating in the 1.5-T magnetic field of a superconducting solenoid.

We identify photons and electrons using a $\mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{Tl})$ electromagnetic calorimeter. Further charged particle identification is provided by the average energy loss $(d E / d x)$ in the tracking devices and by an internally reflecting ring imaging Cherenkov detector covering the central region.

The analysis method is similar to that of other time-dependent mixing measurements performed at BABAR [6]. We use a sample of events ( $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ ) in which one neutral $B$ meson is reconstructed in the state $J / \psi K^{* 0}$ or $J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}$. The $J / \psi$ meson is reconstructed through its decay to $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, and the $K^{* 0}\left(\bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$ meson through its decay to $K^{+} \pi^{-}\left(K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$. We examine each event in this sample for evidence that the other $B$ meson decayed either as a $B^{0}$ or $\bar{B}^{0}$ (flavor tag).

The pseudoscalar to vector-vector decay $B^{0} \rightarrow$ $J / \psi K^{* 0}(892)$ is described by three amplitudes $A_{0}, A_{\|}$, and $A_{\perp}$, for the longitudinal, parallel, and perpendicular transverse polarization [7], respectively, of the vector mesons. In the selection of $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}(892)$ there is a small contribution from $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K_{0}^{*}$ (1430), whose decay amplitude is denoted with $A_{s}$. The favored decay amplitudes $A_{\lambda}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=a_{\lambda} e^{i \delta_{\lambda}^{a}} e^{+i \phi^{a}}$ are described by the magnitudes $a_{\lambda}$, weak phase $\phi^{a}$, and strong phases $\delta_{\lambda}^{a}$, where $\lambda=0, \|, \perp, s$. The amplitudes for the wrong-flavor decays are given by $A_{\lambda}\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=$ $b_{\lambda} e^{i \delta_{\lambda}^{b}} e^{+i \phi^{b}}$. The corresponding decay amplitudes for the charge-conjugate final state $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$are obtained by replacing $\phi^{a}$ with $-\bar{\phi}^{a}, b_{\lambda}$ with $\bar{b}_{\lambda}, \delta_{\lambda}^{b}$ with $\bar{\delta}_{\lambda}^{b}$, and $\phi^{b}$ with $-\bar{\phi}^{b}$. We assume $a_{\lambda}=\bar{a}_{\lambda}$.

The proper-time distributions of $B$ meson decays to $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}\left(J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$, having either a $B^{0}$ or $\bar{B}^{0}$ tag, can be expressed in terms of the $B^{0}-\bar{B}^{0}$ oscillation amplitude and the amplitudes describing $\bar{B}^{0}$ and $B^{0}$ decays to this final state [8]. The angular-integrated decay rate $\mathrm{f}_{+}\left(\mathrm{f}_{-}\right)$to the final state $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$when the tagging meson is a $B^{0}\left(\bar{B}^{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{ \pm}(\Delta t)=\frac{e^{-|\Delta t| / \tau_{B^{0}}}}{4 \tau_{B^{0}}}\left[1 \mp C \cos \left(\Delta m_{d} \Delta t\right)\right. \\
&\left. \pm S \sin \left(\Delta m_{d} \Delta t\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta t \equiv t_{\mathrm{rec}}-t_{\mathrm{tag}}$ is the difference between the proper decay times of the reconstructed $B$ meson $\left(B_{\mathrm{rec}}\right)$ and the
tagging $B$ meson $\left(B_{\mathrm{tag}}\right), \tau_{B^{0}}$ is the $B^{0}$ lifetime, and $\Delta m_{d}$ is the $B^{0}-\bar{B}^{0}$ oscillation frequency. The corresponding decay rates $\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{+}$and $\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{-}$for the charge-conjugate final state $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$are obtained by replacing $C$ with $-\bar{C}$ and $S$ with $-\bar{S}$.

The $C$ and $S$ coefficients are related to the wrong-flavor and favored amplitudes by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}, \text { and } S=\frac{2 \sum_{\lambda} \eta a_{\lambda} b_{\lambda} \sin \left(\phi+\delta_{\lambda}\right)}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a^{2} \equiv a_{0}^{2}+a_{\|}^{2}+a_{\perp}^{2}+a_{s}^{2}, b^{2} \equiv b_{0}^{2}+b_{\|}^{2}+b_{\perp}^{2}+b_{s}^{2}$, and $\eta=$ $+1(-1)$ for $\lambda=0, \|, s(\perp)$. The strong and weak phase differences are given by $\delta_{\lambda}=\delta_{\lambda}^{b}-\delta_{\lambda}^{a}$ and $\phi=\arg (q / p)+$ $\left(\phi_{b}-\phi_{a}\right)$, respectively, where $(q / p)$ contains the weak phase of $B^{0}-\bar{B}^{0}$ oscillations. The $\bar{C}$ and $\bar{S}$ coefficients are given by the same expressions, replacing $b_{(\lambda)}$ with $\bar{b}_{(\lambda)}, \delta_{\lambda}$ with $\bar{\delta}_{\lambda}$, and $\phi$ with $-\bar{\phi}$.

In the $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}$ selection, a $J / \psi$ candidate must consist of two identified lepton tracks [5] that form a good vertex. The lepton-pair invariant mass must be in the range $3.06-3.14 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ for muons and $2.95-3.14 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ for electrons. This corresponds to a $\pm 3 \sigma$ interval for muons, and, for electrons, accommodates the remaining radiative tail after bremsstrahlung correction [6]. We form $K^{+} \pi^{-}$candidate pairs, where the track that is most consistent with being a kaon is assigned to be the kaon candidate. The $K^{+} \pi^{-}$pair must have an invariant mass within $100 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ of the nominal $K^{* 0}(892)$ mass [9]. In the selected mass window the $K_{0}^{*}(1430)$ contributes $(7.3 \pm 1.6) \%$ of the $K^{+} \pi^{-}$events.

The $B$-meson candidates are formed from $J / \psi$ and $K^{+} \pi^{-}$candidates with the requirement that the difference $\Delta E=E_{B}^{\mathrm{cm}}-E_{\text {beam }}^{\mathrm{cm}}$ between their energy and the beam energy in the center-of-mass frame be less than 30 MeV from zero. The beam-energy-substituted mass $m_{\mathrm{ES}}=\sqrt{\left(E_{\mathrm{beam}}^{\mathrm{cm}}\right)^{2}-\left(p_{B}^{\mathrm{cm}}\right)^{2}}$ must be greater than $5.2 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, where $p_{B}^{\mathrm{cm}}$ is the measured $B$ momentum in the center-of-mass frame. We define a signal region with $m_{\mathrm{ES}}>5.27 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ to determine event yields and purities, and a sideband region with $m_{\mathrm{ES}}<5.27 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ to study background properties. If several $B$ candidates are found in an event, the one with the smallest $|\Delta E|$ is retained.

A measurement of the asymmetry coefficients $C, S, \bar{C}$, and $\bar{S}$ requires a determination of the experimental $\Delta t$ resolution and the fraction $w$ of events in which the flavor tag assignment is incorrect. This mistag fraction reduces the amplitudes of the observed asymmetries by a factor $1-2 w$. Mistag fractions and $\Delta t$ resolution functions are determined from a sample of neutral $B$ mesons that decay to final states with one charmed meson $\left(B_{D h}\right)$, and consists of the channels $D^{(*)-} h^{+}\left(h^{+}=\pi^{+}, \rho^{+}\right.$, and $\left.a_{1}^{+}\right)$.

The algorithm for $B$-flavor tagging is explained in Ref. [10]. The total efficiency for assigning a reconstructed $B$ candidate to one of four hierarchical, mutually exclusive tagging categories is $(65.6 \pm 0.5) \%$. Un-
tagged events are excluded from further consideration. The effective tagging efficiency $Q \equiv \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i}\left(1-2 w_{i}\right)^{2}$, where $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ are the efficiencies and mistag probabilities, for events tagged in category $i$, is measured to be ( $28.1 \pm 0.7$ ) \% .

The time interval $\Delta t$ between the two $B$ decays is calculated from the measured separation $\Delta z$ between the decay vertices of the $B_{\text {rec }}$ and $B_{\text {tag }}$ along the collision $(z)$ axis [6]. We determine the $z$ position of the $B_{\text {rec }}$ vertex from its charged tracks. The $B_{\text {tag }}$ vertex is determined by fitting tracks not belonging to the $B_{\text {rec }}$ candidate to a common vertex, employing constraints from the beam spot location and the $B_{\text {rec }}$ momentum [6]. We accept events with a $\Delta t$ uncertainty of less than 2.5 ps and $|\Delta t|<20 \mathrm{ps}$. The fraction of events satisfying these requirements is $95 \%$.


FIG. 1: Distributions of $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ a) for $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$candidates and b) for $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$candidates satisfying the tagging and vertexing requirements. The fit is described in the text.

Figure 1 shows the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ distributions of the $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$ and $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$candidates that satisfy the tagging and vertexing requirements. The $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ distributions are fit with the sum of a threshold function [11], which accounts for the background from random combinations of tracks in the event, and a Gaussian distribution describing the signal. In Table I we list the event yields and signal purities for the tagged $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$and $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$ candidates. The fraction of events in the Gaussian component of the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ fits due to other $B$ decay modes is estimated to be (1.6 $\pm 0.4) \%$ based on simulated events.

We determine the $C, S, \bar{C}$, and $\bar{S}$ coefficients with a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the $\Delta t$ distributions of the tagged $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ and $B_{D h}$ samples. In this fit the $\Delta t$ distributions of the $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$ and $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$samples are described by Eq. (1). The $\Delta t$ distributions of the $B_{D h}$ sample are described by the same equation with $C=1$ and $S=0$. The observed amplitudes for the time-dependent asymmetries in the $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ sample and for flavor oscillation in the $B_{D h}$ sample are reduced by the same factor, $1-2 w$, due to fla-
vor mistags. Events are assigned signal and background probabilities based on the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ distributions. The $\Delta t$ distributions for the signal are convolved with a common resolution function, modeled by the sum of three Gaussians [6]. Backgrounds are incorporated by means of an empirical description of their $\Delta t$ spectra, obtained from the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$-sideband region, containing prompt and nonprompt components convolved with a resolution function [6] distinct from that of the signal.

There are 48 free parameters in the fit. The fit parameters that describe the signal $\Delta t$ distributions are $C$, $S, \bar{C}$, and $\bar{S}(4)$, the average mistag fraction $w$, the difference $\Delta w$ between $B^{0}$ and $\bar{B}^{0}$ mistag fractions, and the linear dependence of the mistag fraction on the $\Delta t$ error for each tagging category (12), parameters for the signal $\Delta t$ resolution (8), and parameters to account for differences in reconstruction and tagging efficiencies for $B^{0}$ and $\bar{B}^{0}$ mesons (5). The $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ and $B_{D h}$ background $\Delta t$ distributions are described by parameters for the background time dependence (8), $\Delta t$ resolution (3), and mistag fractions (8). We fix $\tau_{B^{0}}$ at 1.542 ps and $\Delta m_{d}$ at $0.489 \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$ [9]. The determination of the mistag fractions and $\Delta t$ resolution function parameters for the signal is dominated by the large $B_{D h}$ sample. Background parameters are determined from events with $m_{\mathrm{ES}}<5.27 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$.

The fit to the $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ and $B_{D h}$ samples yields $C=$ $1.045 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.035, S=-0.024 \pm 0.095 \pm 0.041, \bar{C}=$ $0.966 \pm 0.051 \pm 0.035$, and $\bar{S}=0.004 \pm 0.090 \pm 0.041$, where the first error is statistical and the second error is systematic. Figure 2 shows the $\Delta t$ distributions and the asymmetries in yields between $B^{0}$ tags and $\bar{B}^{0}$ tags as a function of $\Delta t$ for the $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$and $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$samples, overlaid with the projection of the likelihood fit result.

We estimate common systematic errors for $C(S)$ and $\bar{C}(\bar{S})$. The dominant sources of systematic error are the uncertainties in the level, composition, and timedependent asymmetry of the background in the selected $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ sample ( 0.016 for $C, 0.017$ for $S$ ), uncertainties in the beam spot location and the internal alignment of the vertex detector ( 0.016 for $C, 0.021$ for $S$ ), and the statistics of the simulated event sample ( 0.016 for $C, 0.015$ for $S)$. Another significant contribution to the systematic uncertainty in the cosine coefficients comes from possible differences between the $B_{D h}$ and $B_{J / \psi K \pi}$ mistag fractions (0.012). The uncertainty in the interference between the

TABLE I: Number of events, $N_{\text {tag }}$, and signal purity, $P$, in the signal region for the $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$and $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$samples, and for the $B_{D h}$ sample. Errors are statistical only.

| Sample | $N_{\mathrm{tag}}$ | $P(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$sample | 860 | $95.5 \pm 0.7$ |
| $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$sample | 856 | $96.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| $B_{D h}$ sample | 25375 | $84.9 \pm 0.2$ |



FIG. 2: Number of $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$and $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$candidates in the signal region a) with an opposite-flavor $B$ tag, $\left.N_{O F}, \mathrm{~b}\right)$ with a same-flavor $B$ tag, $N_{S F}$, and c) the observed asymmetry $\left(N_{O F}-N_{S F}\right) /\left(N_{O F}+N_{S F}\right)$ as functions of $\Delta t$. In each figure the solid (dashed) curves represent the fit projection in $\Delta t$ for $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}\left(J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$candidates. The shaded regions in (a) and (b) represent the background contributions.
suppressed $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{u} c \bar{d}$ amplitude with the favored $b \rightarrow c \bar{u} d$ amplitude for the decay modes in the $B_{D h}$ sample and for certain tag-side $B$ decays to hadronic final states [12] contributes to the systematic uncertainty in the sine coefficients (0.019). Finally, there are differences in the angular-integrated efficiency for the $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}(892)$ helicity amplitudes and the $B \rightarrow J / \psi K_{0}^{*}$ (1430) amplitude ( 0.007 for $C, 0.016$ for $S$ ). The total systematic errors for the cosine coefficients and sine coefficients are 0.035 and 0.041 , respectively. Most systematic errors are determined with data and are expected to decrease with larger sample size.

The large $J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$and $J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$samples allow a number of consistency checks, including separation by data-taking period and tagging category. The results of fits to these subsamples are found to be statistically consistent.

The measured values of the cosine and sine coefficients are consistent with $C=\bar{C}=1$ and $S=\bar{S}=0$, as expected for no contributions from the wrong-flavor decays $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$. We use the measured cosine coefficients $C$ and $\bar{C}$ and assume $|q / p|=$ 1 [13] to calculate the wrong-flavor to favored decay rate ratios $\Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}\right) / \Gamma\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=$ $|b / a|^{2}=-0.022 \pm 0.028$ (stat.) $\pm 0.016$ (syst.) and $\Gamma\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}\right) / \Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=|\bar{b} / a|^{2}=$ $0.017 \pm 0.026$ (stat.) $\pm 0.016$ (syst.), where the negative central value occurs because $C>1$. From these
measurements the wrong-flavor to favored amplitude ratios for $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}(892)$ and $B \rightarrow J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}(892)$ can be calculated. Using the measured fraction of $B \rightarrow$ $J / \psi K_{0}^{*}(1430)$ events contributing in the $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+} \pi^{-}$ selection, the upper limits for the decay amplitude ratios at $90 \%$ confidence level (C.L.) are found to be $\left|A\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}\right)\right| /\left|A\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}\right)\right|<0.26$ and $\left|A\left(B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)\right| /\left|A\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)\right|<0.32$. For the single ratio of wrong-flavor to favored amplitude for $B^{0}$ and $\bar{B}^{0}$ combined, we determine an upper limit of 0.25 at $90 \%$ C.L.

In conclusion, we observe no evidence for the wrongflavor decays $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{* 0}(892)$ and $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \bar{K}^{* 0}(892)$. Together with theoretical information on the relation between the matrix elements for $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{0}$ and $B^{0} \rightarrow$ $J / \psi K^{* 0}[3]$, the results presented here can be used to set a limit on the difference between $A_{C P}\left(J / \psi K_{S}^{0}\right)$ and $-A_{C P}\left(J / \psi K_{L}^{0}\right)$.
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