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We wish to report results from two experiments performed at $16 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ in the Brookhaven National Laboratory 80 -inch hydrogen bubble chamber. In the first experiment a beam of $\pi^{-}$mesons was prepared using the rf separated beam in an unseparated mode; the nomentum resolution of this beam was $\pm 0.3 \%$. In the second experiment the rf beam was used in the separated mode to form a $\pi^{+}$ beam with momentum resolution of $\pm 1 \%$.

A portion of the film was scanned for all kinds of events in order to compare topological cross sections between $\pi^{+} p$ and $\pi^{-} p$. As can be seen from Table I, their cross sections are strikingly similar. These data have been normalized to total cross section measurements. ${ }^{1}$

TABLE I

| Class | $\sigma\left(\pi^{-} \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{mb}$ | $\sigma\left(\pi^{+} \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{mb}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 prongs | $8.7 \pm 0.6$ | $7.6 \pm 0.6$ |
| 4 prongs | $8.8 \pm 0.7$ | $8.6 \pm 0.6$ |
| 6 prongs | $4.6 \pm 0.6$ | $4.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| 8 prongs | $1.5 \pm 0.3$ | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| 10 prongs | $0 . \Omega \pm 0.1$ | $0.1 \pm 0.05$ |
| ${\text { Visible } \mathrm{V}^{\circ}}$ | $1.6 \pm 0.3$ | $2.1 \pm 0.3$ |
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We have measured slightly more than 10,000 events in the 4 -prong topology in the $\pi^{-} p$ experiment and 5,000 events in the $\pi^{+} p$ experiment. Kinematical fits have been made for the final states $p \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, p \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{\circ}$, and $n \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$. All fits with greater than $1 \%$ confidence level have been checked for consistency with track ionization. Table II lists cross sections for these final states.

TABLE II

| Reaction | $\sigma \mathrm{mb}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $1.08 \pm 0.15$ |
| $\longrightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{\circ}$ | $1.24 \pm 0.15$ |
| $\longrightarrow \mathrm{n} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ | $0.62 \pm 0.10$ |
| $\pi^{+} \mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $1.28 \pm 0.15$ |
| $\longrightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{\circ}$ | $1.28 \pm 0.17$ |
| $\longrightarrow \mathrm{n} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ | $0.35 \pm 0.10$ |

In the four body final states we observe strong signals for $\mathrm{N}^{++}, \mathrm{N}^{*}, \rho^{\circ}$, and $f^{\circ}$, and sizable enhancements in the $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ regions. Production cross sections for these processes are listed in Table III. In the case of A-meson

TABLE III

|  | $\sigma\left(\pi^{-} \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{mb}$ | $\sigma\left(\pi^{+} \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{mb}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total $\mathrm{N}^{++}$ | $0.24 \pm 0.05$ | $0.44 \pm 0.10$ |
| Total $\mathrm{N}^{*}{ }^{+}$ | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ | $0.15 \pm 0.04$ |
| Total $\rho^{\circ}$ | $0.49 \pm 0.11$ | $0.41 \pm 0.10$ |
| Total $\mathrm{f}^{\circ}$ | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | $0.14 \pm 0.03$ |
| $\pi^{ \pm} \mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{A}_{1}^{ \pm} \mathrm{p}$ | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ |
| $\pi^{ \pm} \mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{A}_{2}^{ \pm} \mathrm{p}$ | $0.09 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ |

production, cross section estimates are based upon a fit of the $\rho^{\circ} \pi^{ \pm}$spectra to. two Breit-Wigner forms with a background of the Deck type as calculated by Maor. ${ }^{2}$

Figure 1 depicts the mass spectra for the $\pi^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$system in cach experiment. The shaded events are those with at least one $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$combination in the $\rho$-moson region and no $\pi p$ mass in the $N^{*}$ region. There appears to be significantly less A $_{1}$ production in the $\pi^{+} p$ experiment than in $\pi^{-} p$, as was indicated by the cross section in Table III. The $A_{2}$ signal also seems weaker, but limited statistics prevent a firm conclusion. The presence of a very strong $\mathrm{N}^{++}$signal in the $\pi^{+} p$ data creates considerably more background in the uncut spectrum than is present in the $\pi^{-}$data and makes extraction of reliable A-meson cross sections considerably more difficult. Thesc data point out once again the mysterious character of the $A_{1}$ enhancement whose production, if mediated by a neutral exchange in the $t$ channel, one might expect to be equal in $\pi^{-} p$ and $\pi^{+} p$ collisions if it is a true resonant state.

Spectra for the effective mass of the $\pi^{+} p$ system are shown in Fig. 2. A strong $\mathrm{N}^{++}$signal is seen in both experiments but especially in the $\pi^{+}$clata where it accounts for about one-third of the cross section in this channel. In addition there is evidence in the $\bar{\pi}^{+}$experiment for some $N^{*}$ (1920) production.

Figure 3 shows the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$mass spectra. Here strong $\rho^{\circ}$ and $f^{\circ}$ signals appear in both experiments. In the $\pi^{-} p$ data, exclusion of events in the A regions (three pion masses less than 1.4 GeV ) reduces the data to the histogram shown in Fig. 4. The solid curve in Fig. 4 renresents the prediction of the OPE model as calculated by Wolf. Agreement appears to be quite good. The success of this model in explaining the data outside the A regions gives us some confidence that its results will provide reliable estimates of the background in the $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ regions.

Since the level of background is relatively high in these regions, its presence seriously distorts the decay angular distributions of the A-mesons. We are working at present on subtraction of this background in order to obtain true distributions for these decays.
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