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#### Abstract

To obtain high order integration methods for ordinary differential equations which combine to some extent the advantages of RungeKutta methods on one hand and linear multistep methods on the other, the use of "modified multistep" or "hybrid" methods has been proposed [1], [2], [3]. In this paper formulae are derived for methods which use one extra intermediate point than in the previously published methods so that they are analogues of the fourth order RungeKutta method. A five stage method of order 7 is also given.
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## 1. Introduction

In papers by Gragg and Stetter [1], by the present author [2], and by Gear [3], integration processes were considered which combine features of both Runge-Kutta methods and multi-step methods. In fact these new methods were multi-step analogues to third order Runge-Kutta methods in that one additional derivative calculation was made at some point between steps. There is no reason in principle why more than one of these additional evaluations should not be made and the present paper is mainly concerned with the case of two evaluations. It is found that an order of accuracy $2 k+2$ is possible and examples of processes where this order is achieved and which are stable exist for $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, 15$. Detailed formulae for some of these cases are given for $\mathrm{k}=2,3,4$.

For a stable $k$ step method requiring $r$ intermediate calculations per step (that is a total of $r+2$ derivative calculations per step) it seems worthwhile to aim for an order $2 k+r$. For $r=0$ this has been shown by Dahlquist [4] to be possible only for $k<3$. For $r=1$ it appears to be possible up to $k=7$ [2] and, as just noted, it appears to be possible when $r=2$ up to $k=15$. $r=3$ is a particularly interesting case as the Runge-Kutta case, $k=1$, does not exist.

However, using a construction that could in principle be used for cases of higher $k$, we have found that there exists a two parameter family of methods of order 7 with $k=2, r=3$. One example of such a method is given here.

The initial value problem whose numerical solation is sought will be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{o} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$, f are vectors with $N$ components. For some purposes it is more convenient to consider the autonomous system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(y), y\left(x_{o}\right)=y_{o} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is the vector $(x, y)$ with $N+1$ components, $f=(1, f(x, y))$ and $y_{o}=\left(x_{0}, y_{o}\right)$.

## 2. The Corrector Formula for the Four Stage Methods

Postponing for the present considerations as to how $y_{n-u}, y_{n-v}$ are to be computed, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{j} y_{n-j}+h\left(b_{1} f_{n-u}+b_{2} f_{n-v}+\sum_{j=0}^{k} B_{j} f_{n-j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the formula with which $y_{n}=y\left(x_{0}+n h\right)$ is to be computed, $h$ being the step size. $f_{j}$ for any subscript $j$ denotes $f\left(x_{o}+j h, y_{j}\right)$. If $u, v$ are given constants there are $2 k+3$ coefficients $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ to be chosen so we shall seek values of these coefficients so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-p(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{j} p(-h j)+h\left(b_{1} p^{\prime}(-h u)+b_{2} p^{\prime}(-h v)+\sum_{j=0}^{k} B_{j} p^{\prime}(-h j)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all polynomials $p$ of degree $\leqq 2 k+2$. We shall suppose that $u \neq v$ and that neither equals one of $0,1,2, \ldots, k$.

Consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=-\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{A_{j}}{z+h j}+h\left\{\frac{b_{1}}{(z+h u)^{2}}+\frac{b_{2}}{(z+h v)^{2}}+\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{B_{j}}{(z+h j)^{2}}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the integral $L(p)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} p(z) \phi(z) d z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a counterclockwise circle with centre 0 and radius $R>\max$ (|hu|, |hv||h|k), expresses the error in (4) for a polynomial p. For $L(p)$ to vanish for $p(z)$ any polynomial of degree $\leqq 2 k+2$ it is clearly necessary and sufficient that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(z)|=O\left(|z|^{-2 k-4}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.
If we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{K(k!)^{2} 2 k+2}{\prod_{j=0}^{k}(z+h j)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{z+h u}+\frac{h U}{2(z+h u)^{2}}-\frac{1}{z+h v}-\frac{h v}{2(z+h v)^{2}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that (7) is satisfied and that (8) is of the form of (5) if the constants $U, V, K$ are chosen so that the residues of $\phi(z)$ (given by (8)) at $z=-h u$ and at $z=-h v$ are zero and so that the residue at $\mathrm{z}=0$ is -1 . Assuming that $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ do not have values such that one of the right hand sides of (9), (10), or (11) vanishes we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 / U=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j-u}  \tag{9}\\
& 1 / V=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j-v}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\frac{2}{\mathrm{u}}+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{u}^{2}}-\frac{2}{\mathrm{v}}-\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{v}^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{u}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{u}^{3}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{v}^{3}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}=1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}>0, \mathrm{H}_{0}=0 .
$$

Writing (8) in partial fractions and comparing with (5) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=\frac{K U}{2 u^{2}} \frac{k!^{2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}(j-u)^{2}}  \tag{12}\\
& b_{2}=-\frac{K V}{2 v^{2}} \frac{k^{\prime}!^{2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}(j-v)^{2}}  \tag{13}\\
& B_{j}=K\binom{k}{j}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{(j-u)}+\frac{U}{2(j-u)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(j-v)}-\frac{V}{2(j-v)^{2}}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& A_{j}=K\binom{k}{j}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{(j-u)^{2}}+\frac{U}{(j-u)^{3}}+\frac{1}{(j-v)^{2}}-\frac{V}{(j-v)^{3}}\right)+2 B_{j}\left(H_{j}-H_{k-j}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

At this stage it is convenient to examine the error in (4) when $p(x)$ is not a polynomial of degree $2 k+2$. We will suppose that $p(x) \in C^{2 k+4}[a, b]$ where [ $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ] contains 0 , $-\mathrm{hu},-\mathrm{hv},-\mathrm{hk}$. We can expand $\mathrm{p}(-\mathrm{h}), \mathrm{p}(-2 \mathrm{~h}), \ldots, \mathrm{p}(-\mathrm{kh})$, $h p^{\prime}(-h), h p^{\prime}(-2 h), \ldots, h p^{\prime}(-k h), h p^{\prime}(-u h), h p^{\prime}(-v h)$ in Taylor series about 0 up to terms in $p^{(2 k+3)}(0)$ with remainder terms $O\left(h^{2 k+4}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Substitute into the right hand side of (4) and we obtain, since $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}$, $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ were chosen to make this expression zero for a polynomial of degree $2 k+2$, only an expression $\epsilon p^{(2 k+3)}(0) h^{2 k+3}+O\left(h^{2 k+4}\right)$, where $\epsilon$ is a constant. To determine $\epsilon$ we write $p(z)=z^{3} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(z+h j)^{2}$, for which $p^{(2 k+3)}(0)=(2 k+3)!$. We now have
$h^{2 k+3}(2 k+3)!\epsilon=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} K(k!)^{2} h^{2 k+2} z\left(\frac{1}{z+h u}+\frac{h U}{2(z+h u)^{2}}-\frac{1}{z+h v}-\frac{h V}{2(2+h v)^{2}}\right) d z$
from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\frac{\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{l}!)^{2}}{(2 \mathrm{k}+3)!}\left(\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{u}+\frac{\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{V}}{2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying this argument to every component of $y$ in turn we find the erroi in (3) to be $\epsilon \mathrm{y}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+3)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{h}^{2 \mathrm{k}+3}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{h}^{2 \mathrm{k}+4}\right)$.

## 3. Stability Considerations

So far the only restrictions that are imposed on the parameters $u$, $v$ are timit they are not equal, that each differs from each of the integers $0,1,2, \ldots, k$ and that the right hand sides of (9), (10), (11) do not vanish. However, for a given $k$, ii may happen that some combinations of $u, v$ do not yield a formula (3) which is stable when used as a final "corrector." Excluding the "principal root" at 1, let $R$ be the greatest magnitude for a root of the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{k}-A_{1} z^{k-1}-A_{2} z^{k-2}-\ldots-A_{k}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ is a convenient measure of the stability of the formula: if $R<1$ the metiod is (asymptotically) stable and if $R>1$ it is unstable.

For $\mathrm{k}=1$ only the principal root is present. For $\mathrm{k}=2$ it is found that $R=|(15 u v-7(u+v)+4) /(15 u v-23(u+v)+36)|$.

For higher $k$ it has seemed most convenient to study $R$ as a function of $i .$, numerically. For $k=2$ it happens that $R<1$ whenever $u, v \in(0,1)$. Tigure 1 shows the contour lines $R=1$ for $k=3,4,5,6,7,8$ and $u, v \in(0,1)$. For each curve, the value of the corresponding $k$ is written beside it. Here a convention is adopted in that the side of the curve where $k$ is written corresponds to the region for which $R<1$. We see from this figure, that the region for which $u, v$,nve stability tends to decrease in area as $k$ increases. The same pattern cominues up to $k=15$ but there does not appear to be any region where $R<1$
for $\mathrm{k}=16$. To illustrate the behaviour of R for $\mathrm{k}=6,7, \ldots, 15$, Figs. 2 and 3 are presented. As $u$ varies from .51 to .64 the values of $v$ which minimize $R$ and the values of the minimum $R$ have been computed. Since the $v$ which minimizes $R$ is approximately $.3 u$ it was found convenient to plot $v-.3 u$ as a function $u$ (Fig. 2). The minimum value of $R$ is plotted in Fig. 3.

## 4. The Predictor Formulae

We now consider a method for computing the values of $y_{n-u}, y_{n-v}$ and the "predicted" value of $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The formulae proposed are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n-u}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{1 j} y_{n-j}+h \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{1 j} f_{n-j}  \tag{19}\\
& y_{n-v}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{2 j} y_{n-j}+h\left(b_{21} f_{n-u}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{2 j} f_{n-j}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& y_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{3 j} y_{n-j}+h\left(b_{31} f_{n-u}+b_{32^{2}} f_{n-v}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{3 j} f_{n-j}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $y_{n}$ given by (21) we will write as $\widehat{y}_{n}$ and the final "corrected" value as $\widetilde{y}_{n}$. For simplicity we will suppose that $x_{n}=0$ and for compactness of notation we will consider the autonomous form (2) of (1). Thus we consider the overall procedure for finding $\mathrm{y}(0)$ from $\mathrm{y}(-\mathrm{h}), \mathrm{y}(-2 \mathrm{~h}), \ldots, \mathrm{y}(-\mathrm{kh})$ using the formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(-h u)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{1 j} y(-h j)+h \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{1 j} f(y(-h j)) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(-h v)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{2 j} y(-h j)+h\left[b_{21} f(y(-h u))+\sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{2 j} f(y(-h j))\right]  \tag{23}\\
& \hat{y}(0)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{3 j} y(-h j)+h\left[b_{31} f(y(-h u))+b_{32} f(y(-h v))+\sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{3 j} f(y(-h j))\right],  \tag{24}\\
& \tilde{y}(0)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{j} \dot{y}(-h j)+h\left[b_{1} f(y(-h u))+b_{2} f(y(-h v))+b_{3} f(\hat{y}(0))+\sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{j} f(y(-h j))\right], \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have written $b_{3}$ in place of $B_{0}$.
We can choose the coefficients in (22) so that $y$ (-hu) is given exactly when the components of $y(x)$ are polynomials of degree $2 k-1$. When this is done, suppose the error can be written in the form

$$
\epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)} y^{(2 k)}(0) h^{2 k}+\epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+1)} y^{(2 k+1)}(0) h^{2 k+1}+\epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+2)} y^{(2 k+2)}(0) h^{2 k+2}+O\left(h^{2 k+3}\right) .
$$

The same is true for (23), (24), and we suppose that the error for these formulae cai: be written in the same form (with subscripts 2,3 , respectively on the $\epsilon$ 's) where it is supposed that exact values are used for all quantities on the right-hand sides. If exact quantities are used on the right-hand side of (25) the error in this quantity is $\epsilon \mathrm{y}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+3)}(0) \mathrm{h}^{2 \mathrm{k}+3}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{h}^{2 \mathrm{k}+4}\right)$ where $\epsilon$ is given by (17). Using the same type of calculation as in [2] we now find the total error in $\tilde{y}(0)$, the approximation to $y(0)$, due to all sources. It is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}(0)-y(0)= & h^{2 k+1}\left(b_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}+b_{2} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k)}+b_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{(2 k)}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y^{(2 k)} \\
& +h^{2 k+2}\left\{\left(b_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+1)}+b_{2} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k+1)}+b_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{(2 k+1)}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{21} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{31} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{32} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{y}^{(2 \mathrm{k})} \\
& \left.-\left(b_{1} u \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}+b_{2} v \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k)}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} f y^{(2 \mathrm{k})}\right\} \\
& +h^{2 k+3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+2)}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+2)}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+2)}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+2)}+\epsilon^{\mathrm{y}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+3)}}\right\} \\
& +h^{2 k+3}\left\{\left(b_{2} b_{21} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+1)}+b_{3} b_{31} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+1)}+b_{3} b_{32} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k+1)}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^{2}{ }_{y}^{(2 k+1)}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(b_{1} u \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+1)}+b_{2} v \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k+1)}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} f y^{(2 k+1)}\right\} \\
& +h^{2 k+3}\left\{b_{3} b_{32} b_{21} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^{3} y^{(2 k)}-b_{2} v b_{21} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)} \frac{\partial^{2} f^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y^{(2 k)}\right\} \\
& +h^{2 k+3}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{1} u^{2} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}+b_{2} v^{2} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k)}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{3} f}{\partial y^{3}} f^{2} y^{(2 k)}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} f y^{(2 k)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\left(\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{21}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{31}\right) \mathrm{u} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{32} \mathrm{v} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}\right] \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{y}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{f}}{\partial y^{2}} \mathrm{fy}{ }^{(2 \mathrm{k})}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} h^{4 k+1}\left\{b_{1}\left(\epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}\right)^{2}+b_{2}\left(\epsilon_{2}^{(2 k)}\right)^{2}+b_{3}\left(\epsilon_{3}^{(2 k)}\right)^{2}\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}}\left(y^{(2 k)}\right)^{2}+O\left(h^{2 k+4}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In this expression, the various factors involving derivatives of $y$ and $f$ are supposed to be evaluated at $y=y(0)$. As in [2], the various products of such factors are to be interpreted in a conventional way. Thus one would associate with $y^{(n)}, f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}}, \ldots$, the tensors $y^{(n) i}, f^{i}, f_{j}^{i}\left(=\frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial y^{j}}\right), f_{j k}^{i}, \ldots$. Two tensors in juxtaposition are supposed contracted over subscripts in the first member and superscripts in the second in such a way that the terms actually
occurring above have only one non-contracted superscript. Note that a term of order $h^{4 k+1}$ is present in (26). When $k>1$ this term could be absorbed into $O\left(1^{2 k+4}\right)$.

If the method is to be accurate to terms in $h^{2 k+2}$ then we see from (26) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}=0  \tag{27}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+1)}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+1)}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+1)}=0  \tag{28}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{21} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{31} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{32} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}=0  \tag{29}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{u} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{v} \epsilon_{2}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}=0 \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

We now derive formulae for the coefficients in (22) and (23) so that these are accurate for polynomials of degree $2 \mathrm{k}-1$ and so that (27) is satisfied. We then find formulae for the coefficients in (24) so that this is also accurate for polynomials of degree $2 \mathrm{k}-1$ and so that (28), (29) and (30) are satisfied.

By analogy with (5) we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(z)=-\frac{1}{z+h u}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{A_{1 j}}{z+h j}+h \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{B_{1 j}}{(z+h j))^{2}}  \tag{31}\\
& \varphi_{2}(z)=-\frac{1}{z+h v}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{A_{2 j}}{z+h j}+h\left(\frac{b_{21}}{(z+u)^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{B_{2 j}}{(z+h j)^{2}}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& \varphi_{3}(z)=-\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{A_{3 j}}{z+h j}+h\left(\frac{b_{31}}{(z+h u)^{2}}+\frac{b_{32}}{(z+h v)^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{B_{3 j}}{(z+h j)^{2}}\right) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}(p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} p(z) \varphi_{j}(z) d z, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $L_{1}(p), L_{2}(p), L_{3}(p)$ is the error in (31), (32), (33) respectively for a polynomial $p(z) . L_{j}(p)$ is to vanish identically for $j=1,2,3$ when $p(z)$ is of degree $2 \mathrm{k}-1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{j}(z)\right|=O\left(|z|^{-2 k-1}\right), \quad j=1,2,3 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z})$ must be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(z)=-\frac{h^{2 k}}{z+h u} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}(u-j)^{2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}(z+h j)^{2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numerator has been chosen so that the residue at $z=-h u$ equals -1 .
Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{j}}=\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{k}(\mathrm{u}-\ell)^{2}}{(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{u})[(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j})!(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{l})!]^{2}}  \tag{37}\\
A_{1 \mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{j}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{u}}+2\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}-1}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j}}\right]\right) \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

We write $\varphi_{2}(\mathrm{z})$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}(z)=-\frac{h^{2 k} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(v-j)^{2}}{(z+h v) \prod_{j=1}^{k}(z+h j)^{2}}\left[P+h Q\left(\frac{1}{z+h u}+\frac{h R}{(z+h u)^{2}}\right)\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2 j}=\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{k}(v-l)^{2}}{(j-v)[(k-j)!(j-1)!]^{2}}\left[P+Q\left(\frac{1}{u-j}+\frac{R}{(u-j)^{2}}\right)\right]  \tag{40}\\
& A_{2 j}=\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k}(v-l)^{2}}{(j-v)[(k-j)!(j-1)!]^{2}}\left(-\frac{Q}{(j-u)^{2}}+\frac{2 Q R}{(j-u)^{3}}\right)  \tag{41}\\
& +B_{2 j}\left(2\left[H_{j-1}-H_{k-j}\right]+\frac{1}{j-v}\right) \\
& b_{21}=\frac{Q R \prod_{j=1}^{k}(v-j)^{2}}{(u-v) \prod_{j=1}^{k}(u-j)^{2}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The form for $\varphi_{2}(z)$ given by (39) has the correct behavior at infinity and at $-h,-2 h, \ldots,-k h,-u h,-v h . H o w e v e r, P, Q, R$ must be fixed so that the residue at -hu is 0 and the residue at $-h v$ is -1 .

We thus have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{R}=\frac{1}{v-u}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j-u}=\frac{2}{U}+\frac{2}{u}+\frac{1}{v-u}  \tag{43}\\
P+Q\left(\frac{1}{u-v}+\frac{R}{(u-v)^{2}}\right)=1 \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

To obtain a third equation for $P, Q, R$ we use (30). In the same way as for $\epsilon$ we obtain for $\epsilon_{j}^{(2 k)}, j=1,2$ the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{j}^{(2 k)}=\frac{h^{-2 k}}{2 \pi i(2 k)!} \int_{C} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(z+h j)^{2} \cdot \varphi_{j}(z) d z \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}=-\frac{1}{(2 k)!} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(u-j)^{2}  \tag{46}\\
& \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k)}=-\frac{P}{(2 k)!} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(v-j)^{2} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expressions (12), (13) for $\mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ and substituting in (30) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{v U}{u V} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi_{2}(z)$ is now determined. We must now choose $\varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z})$ of such a form that (27), (28), (29) are satisfied. This can be done by defining $\varphi_{3}(z)$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1} \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{2} \varphi_{2}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{3} \varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z})+\frac{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{~h}} \varphi(\mathrm{z})=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, we observe that $\varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z})$ defined thus has the correct behavior at $-h u,-h v, 0,-h,-2 h, \ldots,-k h$ and at infinity. To see that (27) and (28) are satisfied we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{j}^{(2 k+m)}=\frac{h^{-2 k-m}}{2 \pi i(2 k+m)!} \int_{C} z^{2 k+m} \varphi_{j}(z) d z \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m=0,1$ and $j=1,2,3$. Making use of (49) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j} \epsilon_{j}^{(2 k+m)}=-\frac{h^{-2 k-m-1}}{2 \pi i(2 k+m)!} \int_{C} z^{2 k+m+1} \varphi(z) d z=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $|\varphi(z)|=O|z|^{-2 k-4}$ ) as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. To see that (29) is satisfied, we multiply (49) by ( $\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{hu})^{2} / \mathrm{h}$ and by $(\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{hv})^{2} / \mathrm{h}$ and take the limits as $\mathrm{z} \rightarrow-\mathrm{hu}$
and $\mathrm{z} \rightarrow-\mathrm{hv}$ respectively. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2} b_{21}+b_{3} b_{31}-u b_{1}=0  \tag{52}\\
& b_{3} b_{32}-v b_{2}=0 \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

so that (29) follows immediately from (30). Using (49) we now list expressions for all the coefficients in (24).

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3 j}=\frac{1}{b_{3}}\left(j A_{j}-b_{1} A_{1 j}-b_{2} A_{2 j}-B_{j}\right)  \tag{54}\\
& B_{3 j}=\frac{1}{b_{3}}\left(j B_{j}-b_{1} B_{1 j}-b_{2} B_{2 j}\right)  \tag{55}\\
& b_{31}=\frac{1}{b_{3}}\left(u b_{1}-b_{2} b_{21}\right)  \tag{56}\\
& b_{32}=\frac{1}{b_{3}} v b_{2} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. The Truncation Error

In this section we shall find expressions for the coefficients in the asymptotic error term which we see from (26) to have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{2 k+3}\left\{c_{1} y^{(2 k+3)}+c_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y^{(2 k+2)}+c_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^{2} y^{(2 k+1)}-c_{2}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} f y^{(2 k+1)}\right. \\
& \\
& \quad+c_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^{3} y^{(2 k)}-c_{3}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y^{(2 k)}+\frac{1}{2} c_{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} f}{\partial y^{3}} f^{2} y^{(2 k)}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} f y^{(2 k)}\right) \\
& \left.-\quad-c_{4}^{\prime} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} f y^{(2 k)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have supposed $k>1$ and the c's are given by (26). From (49) we immediately find $\quad c_{1}^{\prime}=-(2 k+3) \quad c_{1}=-(2 k+3) \in$. From (52), (53), we find
that $c_{2}=c_{2}^{\prime}, c_{3}=c_{3}^{\prime}, c_{4}=c_{4}^{\prime} . c_{2}$ is given by
$c_{2}=b_{1} u \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k+1)}+b_{2} v \epsilon_{2}^{(2 k+1)}=\frac{h^{-2 k-1}}{2 \pi i(2 k+1)!} \int\left[b_{1} u \varphi_{1}(z)+b_{2} v \varphi_{2}(z)\right] z^{2 k+1} d z$
Since $\int_{C}\left(f_{1} u \varphi_{1}(z)+b_{2} v \varphi_{x}(z)\right) p(z) d z=0$ when $p(z)$ is any polynomial of degree $C^{C} 2 k$, we may replace $z^{2 k+1}$ in (58) by any polynomial with the same leading term. We choose the polynomial $(z+h u) \prod_{j=1}^{k}(z+h j){ }^{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=-\frac{b_{2} v \prod_{j=1}^{k}(v-j)^{2}}{(2 k+1)!} \quad[P(u-v)+Q] \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find $\quad \mathrm{c}_{3}=\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{vb}_{21} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}$ we evaluate $\epsilon_{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k})}=\left(\mathrm{h}^{-2 \mathrm{k}} / 2 \pi \mathrm{i}(2 \mathrm{k})!\right) \int_{\mathrm{C}} \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z}) \prod_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{hj})^{2} \mathrm{dz}$
to find to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}=-\frac{b_{2} \mathrm{vb}_{21}}{(2 k)!} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(u-j)^{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we find $c_{4}=b_{1} u^{2} \epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}$ by making use of (30) and the value of $\epsilon_{1}^{(2 k)}$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{4}=-\frac{b_{1} u(u-v)}{(2 k)!} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(u-j)^{2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Particular Methods

In this section we list some special methods. Since the complexity of the coefficients increases rapidly with $k$, we restrict ourselves to $k=2,3,4$. For each such value of $k$ we have selected two methods: with $(u, v)=\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (u, v)=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right) . \text { For } k=2 \text { the two methods are } \\
& y_{n-2 / 3}=\left(16 y_{n-1}+11 y_{n-2}\right) / 27+h\left(16 f_{n-1}+4 f_{n-2}\right) / 27  \tag{62}\\
& y_{n-1 / 3}=\left(47 y_{n-1}-20 y_{n-2}\right) / 27+h\left(27 f_{n-2 / 3}-22 f_{n-1}-7 f_{n-2}\right) / 27  \tag{63}\\
& \hat{y}_{n}=\left(-13 y_{n-1}+23 y_{n-2}\right) / 10+h\left(108 f_{n-1 / 3}-189 f_{n-2 / 3}+284 f_{n-1}+61 f_{n-2}\right) / 80  \tag{64}\\
& \widetilde{y}_{n}=\left(48 y_{n-1}+y_{n-2}\right) / 49+h\left(160 f_{n}+648 f_{n-1 / 3}+405 f_{n-2 / 3}+280 f_{n-1}+7 f_{n-2}\right) / 1470 \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n-1 / 2}=y_{n-2}+h\left(9 f_{n-1}+3 f_{n-2}\right) / 8  \tag{66}\\
& y_{n-1 / 4}=\left(1309 y_{n-1}-1053 y_{n-2}\right) / 256+h\left(756 f_{n-1 / 2}-1659 f_{n-1}-819 f_{n-2}\right) / 512 \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{y}_{n}=\left(-140 y_{n-1}+193 y_{n-2}\right) / 53+h\left(512 f_{n-1 / 4}-560 f_{n-1 / 2}+3640 f_{n-1}+1574 f_{n-2}\right) / 1113 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{y}_{n}=\left(32 y_{n-1}+y_{n-2}\right) / 33 & +h\left(1113 \hat{f}_{n}+2048 f_{n-1 / 4}+4928 f_{n-1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+2548 f_{n-1}+73 f_{n-2}\right) / 10395 \tag{69}
\end{align*} .
$$

For $k=3$ the two methods are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2 / 3}=\left(49 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+32 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 81+\mathrm{h}\left(196 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+196 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+28 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 243 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n-1 / 2}= & \left(-225 y_{n-1}+200 y_{n-2}+153 y_{n-3}\right) / 128+h\left(225 f_{n-1}+300 f_{n-2}+45 f_{n-3}\right) / 128  \tag{74}\\
y_{n-1 / 4}= & \left(6339487 y_{n-1}-2981088 y_{n-2}-2604735 y_{r_{-}-3}\right) / 753604 \\
& +h\left(4124736 f_{n-1 / 2}-13604745 f_{n-1}-24795540 f_{n-2}-3851001 f_{n-3}\right) / 3768320 \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\widehat{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(-206118 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+125037 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+101758 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 20677
$$

$$
+h\left(5652480 f_{n-1 / 4}-7746816 f_{n-1 / 2}+49298865 f_{n-1}+75689130 f_{n-2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+ \text { 11559891f }_{n-3}\right) / 7960645 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n-1 / 3}=\left(14992 y_{n-1}-6784 y_{n-2}-2943 y_{n-3}\right) / 5265 \\
& +h\left(118584 f_{n-2 / 3}-148400 f_{n-1}-145208 f_{n-2}-17336 f_{n-3}\right) / 110565  \tag{71}\\
& \widehat{y}_{n}=\left(-164007 y_{n-1}+139716 y_{n-2}+47015 y_{n-3}\right) / 22724 \\
& +\mathrm{h}\left(995085 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 3}-2405700 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2 / 3}+4819248 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+3412836 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+359691 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right)  \tag{72}\\
& \widetilde{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(9369 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+837 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+71 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 10277 \\
& +h\left(20976 \hat{f}_{n}+98415 f_{n-1 / 3}+39366 f_{n-2 / 3}+58536 f_{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.+7506 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+321 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 205540 \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{n}}= & \left(5319 y_{\mathrm{n}-1}+513 y_{\mathrm{n}-2}+41 y_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 5873 \\
& +h\left(207669 \hat{f}_{\mathrm{n}}+589824 f_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 4}+887040 f_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+715869 f_{\mathrm{n}-1}+86229 f_{\mathrm{n}-2}+3549 f_{\mathrm{n}-3}\right) / 2261105 \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, for $k=4$ the two methods are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2 / 3}=\left(-39200 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-33075 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+108000 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+23324 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right) / 59049 \\
& +h\left(19600 f_{n-1}+44100 f_{n-2}+25200 f_{n-3}+1960 f_{n-4}\right) / 19683  \tag{78}\\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 3}=\left(653682800 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-54440316 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}-381259575 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}-62034500 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right) / 155948409 \\
& +h\left(418263750 f_{n-2 / 3}-691608400 f_{n-1}-1248768990 f_{n-2}\right. \\
& \left.-540581400 f_{n-3}-35198800 f_{n-4}\right) / 363879621  \tag{79}\\
& \hat{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(-17463266 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+4428891 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+12250002 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+1782557 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right) / 998184 \\
& +\mathrm{h}\left(40431069 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 3}-\text { 122509179f }_{\mathrm{n}-2 / 3}+304934560 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+425424951 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}\right. \\
& \left.+164835435 f_{n-3}+9960664 f_{n-4}\right) / 23290960  \tag{80}\\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(301456 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+65448 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+22640 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+1457 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right) / 391001 \\
& +h\left(14710080 \hat{f}_{n}+76606236 f_{n-1 / 3}+16021962 f_{n-2 / 3}+62942880 f_{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.+20844054 f_{n-2}+3604260 f_{n-3}+119028 f_{n-4}\right) / 15053585 \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n-1 / 2}= & \left(-6125 y_{n-1}-3675 y_{n-2}+9261 y_{n-3}+2075 y_{n-4}\right) / 1536 \\
& +h\left(1225 f_{n-1}+3675 f_{n-2}+2205 f_{n-3}+175 f_{n-4}\right) / 512 \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 4}=\left(884331175 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+449223975 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}-1027077975 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}-232028279 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right)
$$

/74448896

$$
+\mathrm{h}\left(72817920 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1 / 2}-314524875 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-1207478475 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-737261595 f_{n-3}-58733115 f_{n-4}\right) / 74448896 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\hat{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(-99742024 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-45909828 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+123367176 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+27180523 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right) / 4895847
$$

$$
+h\left(148897792 f_{n-1 / 4}-239486976 f_{n-1 / 2}+1662170440 f_{n-1}+5185974240 f_{n-2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+3056346216 f_{n-3}+240266188 f_{n-4}\right) / 171354645 \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(8494880 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+1482624 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+477408 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+30127 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-4}\right) / 10485039
$$

$$
+h\left(342709290 \hat{f}_{n}+1191182336 f_{n-1 / 4}+1372225536 f_{n-1 / 2}+1575099680 f_{n-1}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+450881640 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}+75396384 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-3}+2456234 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-4} / 4036740015 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coeffieicnts $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ in the expressions for the asymptotic truncation errors of these methods are tabulated in Table I.

## 7. A Seventh Order Method

In this section we consider a method in which three intermediate calculations are performed within a step. We consider only the case $k=2$ although the method of derivation would be the same for higher $k$. The method we seek then, will be defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n-u}=A_{11} y_{n-1}+A_{12} y_{n-2}+h\left(B_{11} f_{n-1}+B_{12} f_{n-2}\right) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{n-v}=A_{21} y_{n-1}+A_{22} y_{n-2}+h\left(b_{21} f_{n-u}+B_{21} f_{n-1}+B_{22} f_{n-2}\right)$
$y_{n-w}=A_{31} y_{n-1}+A_{32} y_{n-2}+h\left(b_{31} f_{n-u}+b_{32} f_{n-v}+B_{31} f_{n-1}+B_{32} f_{n-2}\right)$
$\hat{y}_{n}=A_{41} y_{n-1}+A_{42} y_{n-2}+h\left(b_{41} f_{n-u}+b_{42} f_{n-v}+b_{43} f_{n-w}+B_{41} f_{n-1}+B_{42} f_{n-2}\right)$
$\widetilde{y}_{n}=A_{1} y_{n-1}+A_{2} y_{n-2}+h\left(b_{1} f_{n-u}+b_{2} f_{n-v}+b_{3} f_{n-w}+b_{4} \hat{f}_{n}+B_{1} f_{n-1}+B_{2} f_{n-2}\right)$
where $u, v, w$ are distinct from each other and from $0,1,2$ and $A_{11}, A_{12}$, $\ldots, \mathrm{B}_{2}$ are the coefficients for the method.

It is now our purpose to choose the various parameters so that $y_{n-u}, y_{n-v}, y_{n-w}, \hat{y}_{n}$ agree with their exact values with error $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ and so that $\tilde{y}_{n}$ agrees with $y_{n}$ with error $O\left(h^{8}\right)$. As for the previous methods we shall identify the various coefficients in (86) - (90) as the numerators in the partial fraction expansions of certain rational functions

$$
\varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z}), \varphi_{2}(\mathrm{z}), \varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z}), \varphi_{4}(\mathrm{z}), \varphi(\mathrm{z}) .
$$

Setting $h=1$ for simplicity we shall suppose that these functions are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1} \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{2} \varphi_{2}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{3} \varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{4} \varphi_{4}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{z} \varphi(\mathrm{z})=0 \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}, \varphi$ take the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(z)=-\frac{K_{1}}{(z+u)(z+1)^{2}(z+2)^{2}}  \tag{92}\\
& \varphi_{2}(z)=-\frac{K_{2}}{(z+v)(z+1)^{2}(z+2)^{2}}\left\{1+\frac{L_{21}}{z+u}\left(1+\frac{M_{21}}{z+u}\right)\right\} \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

$\varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z})=-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{3}}{(\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{w})(\mathrm{z}+1)^{2}(\mathrm{z}+2)^{2}}\left\{1+\frac{\mathrm{L}_{31}}{\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{u}}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{M}_{31}}{\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{u}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{L}_{32}}{\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{v}}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{M}_{32}}{\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{v}}\right)\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=-\frac{1}{z^{2}(z+1)^{2}(z+2)^{2}}\left\{\frac{L_{1}}{z+u}\left(1+\frac{M_{1}}{z+u}\right)+\frac{L_{2}}{z+v}\left(1+\frac{M_{2}}{z+v}\right)+\frac{L_{3}}{z+w}\left(1+\frac{M_{3}}{z+w}\right)\right\} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a rational function $\psi(z)$ let $\rho\left(\psi, z_{0}\right)$ denote the residue at $z=z_{o}$. Also denote by $B$ the set of functions bounded in $\quad\{z:|z| \geqq R\}$ where $R$ is some real constant satisfying $R>\max \{2,|u|,|v|,|w|\}$. Then using the type of analysis in the previous sections we see that $K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, M_{3}$ must be chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(\varphi_{1}, \text { u }\right)=-1  \tag{96}\\
& \rho\left(\varphi_{2}, u\right)=0,  \tag{97}\\
& \rho\left(\varphi_{2}, v\right)=-1 \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(\varphi_{3}, u\right)=0 \quad,  \tag{99}\\
& \rho\left(\varphi_{3}, v\right)=0 \quad,  \tag{100}\\
& \rho\left(\varphi_{3}, w\right)=-1 \quad,  \tag{101}\\
& \rho(\varphi, u)=0 \quad \text {, }  \tag{102}\\
& \rho(\varphi, \mathbf{v})=0 \quad \text {, }  \tag{103}\\
& \rho(\varphi, \mathrm{w})=0 \quad \text {, }  \tag{104}\\
& \rho(\varphi, 0)=-1 \quad \text {, }  \tag{105}\\
& z^{8} \varphi(z) \in B \quad \text {, }  \tag{106}\\
& \mathrm{z}^{9} \varphi(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{B} \quad,  \tag{107}\\
& z^{6}\left\{b_{1} u \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{v} \varphi 2^{(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{w} \varphi_{3}(\mathrm{z})}\right\} \in \mathrm{B} \quad,  \tag{108}\\
& z^{7}\left\{b_{1} u \varphi_{1}(z)+b_{2} v \varphi_{2}(z)+b_{3} w^{\varphi} 3^{(z)}\right\} \in B \quad,  \tag{109}\\
& z^{6}\left\{b_{1} u^{2} \varphi_{1}(z)+b_{2} v^{2} \varphi_{2}(z)+b_{3} w^{2} \varphi_{3}(z)\right\} \in B \quad,  \tag{110}\\
& \mathrm{z}^{6}\left\{\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{vb}_{21}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{wb}_{31}\right) \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{wb}_{32} \varphi_{2}(\mathrm{z})\right\} \in \mathrm{B} . \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

These constitute 16 independent conditions on $u, v, w$ and the 15 constants $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{M}_{3}$. Hence $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$ cannot be chosen independently. A tedious calculation yields the following relationship between these numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
(6 \pm 12 \sqrt{22})-(6 \pm 8 \sqrt{22})(u+v+w)+(8 \pm 6 \sqrt{22})(u v+v w+w u)-(15 \pm 6 \sqrt{22}) u v w=0 \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where either value of the surd may be chosen. We select the values $v=\frac{2}{3}, w=\frac{1}{3}$, resulting in $u=(1312-4 \sqrt{22}) / 819$ or its conjugate. As it happens, the conjugate value leads to an unstable method, so only the one value of $u$ need be considered.

We are now in a position to compute $K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, M_{3}$ and hence, the coefficients $A_{11}, A_{12}, \ldots, B_{2}$. First we use (102), (103), (104) to compute $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$
and (105), (106), (107) to find $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$. We now determine $b_{1}\left(=\lim _{z \rightarrow-u}(z+u){ }^{2} \varphi(z)\right)$, $\mathrm{b}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{21}, \mathrm{M}_{31}, \mathrm{M}_{32}$ are now found from (97), (99), (100); $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ is found from (96) and then $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ from the simultaneous equations (108), (110). $\mathrm{L}_{21}$ is now given from (98) and $L_{31}, L_{32}$ from the system (109) and (101). We are now in a position to compute the remaining coefficients and to substitute into (111) as a check. For the calculations performed by the author, this check was indeed satisfied.

Values of the coefficients are given in Table II in algebraic and in decimal form. For the number $(\alpha+\beta \sqrt{22}) / \gamma$ the integers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are given as is the decimal value rounded to 20 D . That a method of the form we are considering should be (asymptotically) stable it is necessary and sufficient that $-1<\mathrm{A}_{2} \leqq 1$. In our case, it is found that $A_{2}=-751+160 \sqrt{22} \approx-0.53$ so the method is stable.

## 8. Numerical Comparisons

In this section we present the results of numerical tests made using five different methods to solve the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=3 y /(2+x)-1 / y, \quad y(0)=1 \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to give the result at $x=10$. For each method, stepsizes $h=.4, .2, .1, .05$ were used and the results are shown in Fig. 4 as plots of the error E against the number $N$ of derivative calculations performod. Attached to each curve is the order of the corresponding method. The methods used were
the 4th order Runge-Kutta method,
the 5 th order method given by (17), (18), (19) of [2],
the 6 th order method given by (62), (63), (64), (65) in this paper,
the 7th order method with coefficients in Table II,
the 8 th order method given by (74), (75), (76), (77).

It should be emphasized that for many problems it would be unrealistic to measure the effort expended in obtaining a solution in terms of only the number of derivative evaluations. For the problem (113), for example, it would certainly be appropriate to take into account also the number of other multiplications performed. As far as Fig. 4 is concerned, this would have the effect of decreasing the relative advantage of a high order over a low order method. However, apart from the seventh order method which shows up rather badly, it appears that even for quite large stepsizes, the higher order methods are preferable for this problem.
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4. Error E as a function of N for the solution of a sample problem using different methods. The orders of the methods are attached to the curves.
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