at SLAC

Updates on IR and FF for super-B factory

June 15, 2006

Andrei Seryi
SLAC



Content

- Not really any new work, thoughts on
  - optics optimization
  - optimization for common FD (like in ILC 2mrad IR)
  - benefits of separate beamlines (like in ILC 20mrad IR)
  - use of antisolenoids in IR (like in ILC)

at SLAC ilc

Summary from March 16 talk:

- Optics of FF can be designed
- Requirements of the ring to aberrations in FF need to be checked
- IR layout need to be discussed

- Results presented yesterday show that dynamic aperture need to be improved

Optimization of optics

- Changed optimization procedure to look simultaneously at IP and at the exit from ff and to improve symmetry
  - (assuming symmetric ff with bends and sextupoles reversed)



at SLAC

Chromaticity & second order dispersion





Bandwidth at IP and exit





Clearly, the exit bandwidth is not great and need improvements

Aberrations and tracking





- Aberration terms from Transport
- Tracking with Turtle (100k particles, σ_E=0.1%):
  - IP
    - sgx=2.6785 , sgy= 0.012823 μm
    - sgx/x0=0.99859 sgy/y0= 1.0028
  - Exit:
    - sgx/x0=0.99999 sgy/y0= 1.007
- Further optimization require lengthening the optics

May 16 results at IP:
sigma/sigma_0 = 0.99859 , 1.0087

Optimization of optics if FD is common

- In ILC design one of IR has 2mrad crossing angle, where FD is common for both beams (except QF1)
- We found that one could optimize FD so that sextupole SD0 give additional focusing for the disrupted beam
- That was the main reason that allowed the design of 2mrad extraction optics



2mrad IR





Large aperture SC quad and sextupole





at SLAC

Outgoing beam in 2mrad extraction. Beam is well contained up to dE=-65%



- The FD, incoming FF optics and extraction optics are optimized simultaneously
- Similar approach can be used in Super-B

at SLAC

14mr, L*=3.5m

BNL design



















Anti-solenoid for IR



without compensation

$\sigma_y / \sigma_y(0)=32$



with compensation by antisolenoid

$\sigma_y / \sigma_y(0)<1.01$

When solenoid overlaps QD0, anomalous coupling increases the IP beam size 30 – 190 times depending on solenoid field shape (green=no solenoid, red=solenoid)

Even though traditional use of skew quads could reduce the effect, the LOCAL COMPENSATION of the fringe field (with a little skew tuning) is the best way to ensure excellent correction over wide range of beam energies

Local correction requires anti-solenoid with special shape. The antisolenoid is weak since its integrated strength is much smaller than that of detector solenoid





YURI NOSOCHKOV AND ANDREI SERYI

Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **8**, 021001 (2005)

Weak antisolenoids for super-B

- Coupling due to solenoid not overlapping with FD ~ $\frac{B\ell}{2B\rho}$
  - assume 5GeV beam (16.7T*m) and Bℓ=1T
    then coupling ~ 3% , very small
- If field overlap with FD by Bℓ, coupling is

$$\approx \frac{\sigma_{xp0}}{\sigma_{y0}} \frac{B\ell}{B\rho} L_*$$

this is one of the terms. there are many other. see ref. for details

- Assume Bℓ=0.5T, L*=0.8m, σ_{xp0}=0.3mrad, σ_{y0}=12.6nm
  => coupling ~ 570 !!!
  - This coupling is about 10 times more than in ILC
  - weak antisolenoids probably unavoidable for local compensation

A First Pass Look at Redesigned Anti-Solenoid in SiD (Slide: 3/3).

B.Parker, BNL





Antisolenoid was recently redesigned, and use of high temperature superconductor was considered. Top ~20-35K would make cooling much easier

http://ilcagenda.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=696

ATF2
model of ILC FF

Optics Design of ATF2

(A) Small beam size
Obtain σ_y ~ 35nm
Maintain for long time

(B) Stabilization of beam center
Down to < 2nm by nano-BPM
Bunch-to-bunch feedback of ILC-like train

Designed and constructed in international manner, with contributions from all three regions



Summary

- Need to lengthen the optics to decrease aberrations in FF and improve dynamic aperture of the ring
  - there are other optics ideas (e.g. sextupole for crab) that need to be implemented
- If FD is common, optics can be optimized to improve focusing of the outgoing beam with
- Separate FD give a lot of advantages and L* of ~0.8m or less may be possible
- Weak antisolenoids are beneficial for local compensation of coupling