



LCLS - Accelerator System Overview

Patrick Krejcik


on behalf of the LCLS Team

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu



Accelerator Issues in the SLAC Design

Issues


- Low emittance injector
 - Cold beam, low $\sigma_\delta \approx 0.05 \%$
- Bunch compression
 - Coherent Synchrotron Radiation
 - Longitudinal space charge
- Beam stability

Design solutions

- RF photoinjector
- Laser heater
- Two magnetic chicanes
- RF linearization with higher harmonic X-band cavity
- Diagnostics
- Fast feedback



Bunch Compression Dynamics



Diagnostic challenges

Measurement

- Measurement of ultra-short bunch profiles
- Shot-by-shot measurement of bunch length
- Bunch timing measurement

Devices

- RF transverse deflecting cavity “LOLA”
- Terahertz coherent spectral power measurement
- Coherent radiation autocorrelation
- Electro-optic bunch profiling





August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany



P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu



Bunch Length Measurements with the RF Transverse Deflecting Cavity




Bunch length reconstruction
Measure streak at 3 different phases



$$\text{LoLa}^* \quad \sigma_y^2 = A\phi_{rf}^2 + B, \quad \sigma_z = \frac{\lambda_{rf}\sqrt{A}}{4C}$$

An S-band DLW structure with a
 TM_{111} transverse deflecting mode
at 285.6 MHz

$A = 1.6696E-02$ $\text{STD DEV} = 1.3536E-03$
 $B = 28.23$ $\text{STD DEV} = 1.094$
 $\text{RMS Fit} = 132.2$ $\text{STD DEV} = 23.63$
 Cavity on



Asymmetric parabola indicates
incoming tilt to beam



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu

Accelerator Issues in the SLAC Design

Issues

- Low emittance injector
 - Cold beam, low $\sigma_\delta \approx 0.05 \%$
- Bunch compression
 - Coherent Synchrotron Radiation
 - Longitudinal space charge
- Beam stability

Design solutions

- RF photoinjector
- Laser heater
- Two magnetic chicanes
- RF linearization with higher harmonic X-band cavity
- Diagnostics
- Fast feedback




August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu






Limitation from Coherent Synchrotron Radiation



$l_r > s_z$ radiation coherent

Energy spread
from CSR
increases ε_x

CSR instability
amplifies noise
in initial charge
distribution



S. Heifets, S. Krinsky, G. Stupakov,
SLAC-PUB-9165, March 2002

Microbunching*

and further
emittance growth

* First observed by M. Borland (ANL) in
LCLS Elegant tracking



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu



Two-stage bunch compression approach – P. Emma

Issues

- At **low energies** if bunch is compressed too much **space charge** spoils emittance
- At **high energies** if bunch is compressed too hard **synchrotron radiation** adds large energy spread


Design solutions

- Compress in two stages
- Limit low energy compression so space charge not a limit
- Second compression to final bunch length at higher energy, but with weaker bends to limit synchrotron radiation.




Diagnosing Coherent Radiation

1. autocorrelation



Transition radiation is coherent at wavelengths longer than the bunch length, $\lambda > (2\pi)^{1/2} \sigma_z$



Limited by long wavelength cutoff and absorption resonances

SLAC **SPPS** measurement:
P. Muggli, M. Hogan




August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu





Diagnosing Coherent Radiation

2. spectral power




'Laser Heater' for Landau Damping

Ti:Sa 800 nm 1.2 MW



Final energy distribution:
Microbunch instability
Damped



'Laser heater'
suggested by
Saldin et al.






Z. Huang
et al.
PR STAB,
June 2004



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu

Electro-Optical Sampling at SPPS – A. Cavalieri et al.




August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu



Energy and Bunch Length Feedback Loops




- 4 energy feedback loops
- 2 bunch length feedback loops
- 120 Hz nominal operation, <1 pulse delay

- Feedback model (J. Wu)
- PID controller (proportional, integral, derivative)
- Cascade control for sequential loops (off-diagonal matrix elements)



Energy feedback loop response -

J. Wu
P. Emma



Ø 3 different settings of the PID controller

Ø Integral term dominant




August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu

Bunch length feedback loop response -

J. Wu
P. Emma



Ø 3 different settings of the PID controller

Ø Integral term dominant



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik

pkr@slac.stanford.edu

Summary


- Design optimized for emittance preservation
- Minimize disruption from strong self-fields of the bunch
- Two-stage compression
- Laser heater reduces instabilities
- Diagnostics and feedback integral part of design
- Future expansion to multiple sase beamlines
- New possibilities include enhanced sase and ultra-short bunches!



Acknowledgements

R. Akre
A. Cavalieri
E. Bong
D. Dowell
P. Emma
Z. Huang
C. Limborg-Duprey
J. Wu
And many others!

and thank you to the LINAC 2004 organization



August 16-20, 2004
LINAC 2004 – Lübeck, Germany

P. Krejcik
pkr@slac.stanford.edu