# Development of a pad interpolation algorithm using charge-sharing 

## Charge sharing between two pads

- When a photon hits the MCP between two pads, the avalanches shares the charge to two pads.
- We want to find a method to determine the photon position for this type of events using both TDC and ADC information from the two pads.



## PMT scan configuration

- For PMT scan, the photon are sent by an optic fiber positioned with a precision of few microns. So, we know where the photons hits the pad with an high precision.
- In addition, for each trigger we measure TDC and ADC values. If a TDC value is within a certain window (650-900 counts), we call it an event (a photon hit).



## Position of laser for single TDC hit (no ADC information used)

- I have plotted the position of the laser for events with a single TDC hit.
- On the border of each pad, there are fewer single events (probably multiple events).
- Region outside of 4-pad region (blue color) is due (a) photon scatters in the lens optics, or (b) avalanche charge sharing.



## Position of laser for double TDC hit (no ADC information used)

- If two TDC fire at the same time on two pads, plot one event at the position of the laser beam.
- These types of events happen near the border between two pads.
- In the center of 4 pads, there is less of double events (there is probably triple and quadruple events).



## Position of laser for triple TDC hit (no ADC information used)

- Triple TDC events happen near the center of the four pad.
- Triple events represent only $10.1 \%$ of all multiple events.



## Position of laser for quadruple TDC hit (no ADC information used)

- Quadruple TDC events happen near the center of the four pad.
- Quadruple events
represents only
2.5\% of all multiple events.



## Statistics on TDC events multiplicity (no ADC information used)

| Multiplicity | Number of <br> events | Proportion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 26109560 | $75.17 \%$ |
| 2 | 7541089 | $21.71 \%$ |
| 3 | 872630 | $2.51 \%$ |
| 4 | 212722 | $0.61 \%$ |

- There is a considerable fraction of double events due to the charge sharing. Therefore, it would be useful to use them to improve the photon hit position.
- Concerning triple and quadruple hit, there are few. It's probably sufficient to consider that photon have hit middle of the 4 pads.



## ADC pedestal fit

- I have used the scan 20, where a laser light is blocked, although the laser is on. It measures a pedestal distribution.
- I fit the pedestal distribution for each pad with a ROOT macro and store the results in a file.



## Gain measurement

- I fit the ADC distribution of scan 27 with a sum of two gaussian function.
- The first gaussian has only one free parameter (amplitude), the other parameter are parameters found for pedestal run.
- All the second gaussian parameters are free, but their start values are choose in order to catch the ADC peak.



## Position of laser for single ADC hit (no TDC information used)

- I have plotted the position of the laser for events with a single ADC hit above the cut.
- On the border of each pad, there are fewer single events (probably multiple events).
- Region outside of 4-pad region (blue color) is due (a) photon
scatters in the lens optics, or (b) avalanche charge sharing.



## Position of laser for double ADC hit (no TDC information used)

- If two ADC above the cut fire at the same time on two pads, I plot one event at the position of the laser beam.
- These types of events happen near the border between two pads.
- In the center of 4 pads, there is less double events (there is probably triple and quadruple events).


ADC Cut $=3 \sigma$

## Position of laser for triple ADC hit (no TDC information used)

- If three ADC above the cut fire at the same time on three pads, I plot one event at the position of the laser beam.


ADC Cut $=3 \sigma$

## Position of laser for quadruple ADC hit (no TDC information used)

- If four ADC above the cut fire at the same time on four pads, I plot one event at the position of the laser beam.



## Statistics on ADC events multiplicity (no TDC information used)

| Multiplicity | Number of <br> events | Proportion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 28429210 | $68.88 \%$ |
| 2 | 10310340 | $24.98 \%$ |
| 3 | 1780130 | $4.31 \%$ |
| 4 | 756115 | $1.83 \%$ |



## Study of corrected function ADC21/(ADC22+ADC21) $=f(x)$

- Each ADC value is corrected with a formula: $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {cor }}=$ (ADC-Pedestal Peak Position)/Gain
- Events are cut if the ADC of the two pads are under $5 \sigma$ ADC cut.

Zone used to

corrected function ADC21/(ADC21+ADC22) as a function of x


2 mm

## Fit of corrected function ADC21/(ADC22+ADC21) $=f(x)$

- I have extract the profile function of the previous graph on a 2 mm range around the border in order to have only the ratio of ADC as a function of $x$ or $y$ (depends of the border choose).
- For different pads, p1 varies between 0.51 and 0.61 .ps varies between 0.35 and 0.44 .
- I have generated a file containing the results of fits of all borders (Fit_Profile.txt).
- Events are cut if the ADC of the two pads are under $5 \sigma$

Profile of ADC21/ADC22+ADC21 for at least one TDC event
 ADC cut.

## Test of interpolation

predicted $x$ as a function of $x$ of the laser beam

- An event is interpolated if there is a TDC hit on pad 21 or 22 and ADC 21 and ADC 22 pass a $3 \sigma$ ADC cut. There is a cut on the ADC Ratio (0.1<Ratio<0.9).

Zone used to fill the histogram


22
$x_{\text {predicted }}=39.57+\operatorname{erf}^{-1}(($ Ratio-0.5 $) / 0.5684) / 0.342$
$\downarrow 2 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Estimation of error on $x$

- The cut are the same as in the previous slide.
- The error is more little than 1 mm . Consequently, the precision is significantly better than the size of a pad.
- The error distribution is not a gaussian. There is a large tail due to (a) photon scatters in the lens optics, or (b) avalanche charge sharing, or (c) a $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio.



## Effet of $S / N$ on the tail

- When cut on pulse height (ADC) increases, a number of events decreases and a tail of the distribution becomes shorter.
- A compromise have to be done on pulse height (ADC) cut.


## Normalized (maximum=1) distribution of errors




- A large tail corresponds to poor S/N ratio, which corresponds to small pulse heights.


## Conclusion

- $90 \%$ of multiple hit are double hit.
- Double hit are essentially localized near the border of 2 pads.
- In order to improve the measurement of photon position, it's possible to use ADC information if the photon hit near a border.
- The error made with this method is typically 0.9 mm.

