## Understanding The Focusing DIRC Prototype And The Beam Test

Jose Benitez 5/19/2006

# Outline

Part I: Cherenkov ring focusing study: Trying to focus the outer slots. Part II: Event selection and start time resolution in the beam test. Part III: Study of the contributions to the Cherenkov angle resolution measured with time using a simple toy Monte Carlo.

### PART I

Cherenkov ring focusing study: Trying to focus the outer slots.

Prototype Geometry
Outward shifts of the detector plane.
Inward shifts of the detector plane.



Cherenkov ring image ray traced\* from inside the bar is blurred in the outer slots.



\* The formulas used to ray trace photons can be found in my logbook.

# Outward Offsets

y mm

V mm

V mm

5mm



50mm





### Inward Offsets

5mm



50mm

100mm



### Rings from outside bar are well focused



#### Cherenkov rings ray traced from outside the bar.



### Part I: Conclusions

The detector plane is placed at an optimal position. Offsetting the detector plane does not help in focusing the outer slots.
 Ring aberration is mainly due to bar effects.

# PART II

Event selection and start time resolution in the beam test.

Event Selection.

- Start Counter 1.
- Start Counter 2.
- Quantacon.
- Precise Start Time.
- Resolutions for different runs.

### End Station A Set Up



### **Event Selection**





Before single hit hodoscope cut

After single hit hodoscope cut

### Start Time Resolution

### Consider analysis of one pad: Start Counter 1 Pad#2



### Conversion From TDC counts To Time









The ps/count calibration for this TDC channel was measured to be ~23 ps/count at about 300 tdc counts. This value is approximately consistent with the full calibration curves from the Prototype TDC's, therefore one of these calibration curves will be used to convert to time.

# **ADC** Correction



#### adc distribution







### **Track Position Correction**

The start time should not depend on the track position.





Start Counter 1 Pad 2: adc & Z corrected tdc



### Start Counter 1 Final Pad Resolutions



Use the 2 good pads:

start1= avg. of pads which fire. (require at least one hit)



# Instabilities in the start time.



start1 resolution





This is the resolution with which start counter 1 measures the instabilities.

### The Start Counter 2



Use all 4 pads:

start2= Avg. of pads which fire. (require at least one hit)



### Instabilities Observed



start2 resolution



### The Quantacon PMT



# A closer look at the instabilities

All Beam detectors capture the instabilities.
We can combine all three detectors and form a single start time.



### **Precise Start Time**

#### Start Time = (start1+start2+Quantacon)/3









### Time Resolution For All Nov. Runs

and the second	Run12	Run13	Run14
to all shall be	(beam pos. 1)	(beam pos. 3)	(beam pos. 5)
Start1	48 ps	48 ps	69 ps
Start2	65 ps	65 ps	82 ps
Quantacon	70 ps	70 ps	73 ps
Start Time	36 ps	36 ps	50 ps



# Part II: Conclusions

- The Start Counter 1, Start Counter 2 and Quantacon all provide good start time resolution and work in phase with each other. When combined we can get up to 36 ps resolution in the beam test start time. This is, however, with a loss of ~50% in statistics after the hodoscope and lead glass cuts.
- The first two November runs (run12 and 13) show better time resolution than the last run (run14). This is correlated with pedestal instabilities in some adc channels also observed.
- At the moment it is not clear that the instabilities observed in the start time are actually in the signal from MCC. This start time correction does not seem to help the time resolution of the Prototype MCP's

### PART III

Study of the contributions to the Cherenkov angle resolution measured with time using a simple toy Monte Carlo:
Photon Generation.
Two methods for reconstruction.
Contributions to the ThetaC resolution.

### Photon Track Descrition



The photon track is described by the following variables.  $z_0$ ,  $\hat{n}$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ , L, v, T not all independent.

In this toy Monte Carlo specialize to photons with the following parameters:

- 1.  $z_0$  for beam position 1
- 2. **n** normal to the bar.
- 3.  $\beta=1$  for 10GeV electrons

4.  $\phi$  fixed for indirect photons traveling straight down the bar these parameters are adequate for photons detected in slot 4.

We are left with the following variables to vary:  $\lambda$ ,  $\theta$ , L, V, T

#### **Photon Track Generation**

1. Produce λ according weight function\*:



**2.** Calculate  $\theta$  using Cherenkov equation:

$$\theta_{\rm c} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\beta n(\lambda)} \right)$$



\* Derivation of Weight function can be found in my logbook

3. Calculate the path length:

 $L=(2 I_{b} - z_{0})/sin\theta$ 

#### 4. Calculate time T:

T=L/v

where  $v=c/n_{q}(\lambda)$ 



Generated Path Length





#### **Measurement and Reconstruction: Method 1**

1. In this method the only variable we measure is T.

Assume our time resolution is 100 ps and smear T using a Gaussian distribution.



2. Assume all photons have same speed  $v = \langle v \rangle = c/n_g(\lambda = 410 \text{nm}) = constant$ then estimate the path length  $L = T \langle v \rangle$ 



Measured Path Length

3. Calculate  $\theta_c$ :

$$\theta_{\rm c} = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{2l_b - z_0}{L} \right)$$



#### $\theta_c$ resolution as a function of time resolution

SigmaT (ps)	SigmaThetaC (mrad)
100	9.6
200	10.4
300	11.6
400	13
500	13.8



ThetaC Resolution

### Contributions to the ThetaC resolution in Method 1

### Monte Carlo settings:

- Generate full  $\lambda$  distribution
- v=proper
- time error=0

-Generate only  $\lambda = 410$  nm

- -v=proper
- -time error=100ps



From these numbers we can deduce the contribution to the thetaC resolution from using a constant photon speed:  $v = \langle v \rangle$ .

 $sqrt(9.6^2 - 3.5^2 - 2.3^2) = 8.6 mrad$ 

#### **Measurement and Reconstruction: Method 2**

 In this we method we measure two variables: T and L
 Assume our time resolution is 100 ps
 also assume our path length resolution is 10cm
 then smear T and L accordingly.



#### Measured Path Length



2. Calculate the velocity of the photon:

v = L/T



3. Deduce the wavelength of the photon from the formula

v = c / n<sub>g</sub>( $\lambda$ ) where  $n_g \equiv \frac{n}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{n} \frac{dn}{d\lambda}}$ 

and  $n(\lambda)$  is the index of refraction of the quartz bar.





4. Finally, assuming we know the beta of the particle that produced the photon, calculate the Cherenkov angle.

$$\theta_{\rm c} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\beta n(\lambda)} \right)$$



#### **ThetaC resolution vs. time resolution**

sigmaT	sigmaThetaC
(ps)	(mrad)
100	4.72
200	4.81
300	4.97
400	5.12
500	5.22



### Contributions to the ThetaC resolution

- Generate full  $\lambda$  distribution
- path length error=0
- time error=0



- $\lambda = 410 \text{ nm}$
- path length error=0
- time error=100ps



- λ=410 nm

- path length error=10cm
- time error=0



### Part III: Conclusions

Generation of Cherenkov photons in this toy Monte Carlo is a simple process, and it will be extended to include the outer slots. To include different beam positions however may require to modify the weighting function for the wave length and to recalculate the error in the path length.

Reconstruction Method 1 relies on the fact that the Cherenkov pulse travels down the bar at a speed determined by the opening angle of the Cherenkov cone. In this method the main contribution to the error comes from the fact that we don't know the speed of the photon.

Reconstruction Method 2 provides a precise measurement of the Cherenkov angle by assuming we know the  $\beta$  of the particle that produced the photon. In this example the main contribution to the thetaC resolution comes from production and the error in the path length.

In both of these methods the time error does not play a significant role for the path length considered here, however at smaller path lengths the time error will give an important contribution to the thetaC resolution.