The $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$ and $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reactions

with chiral dynamics

Ju-Jun Xie, Hua-Xing Chen and Eulogio Oset

München Jun 17, 2011

Contents

Motivations
Formalism
The $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$ reaction
The $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction

Summary



- The study of proton-proton scattering is very important and useful.
- The source of information for *p*Λ scattering: scattering length and effective range.

References

- R. Siebert et al., Nucl. Phys. A 567, 819 (1994).
- [2] A. Gasparian, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, L. Kondratyuk, J. Speth, Phys. Lett. B 480, 273 (2000).
- [3] F. Hinterberger, A. Sibirtsev, Eur. Phys. J. A 21, 313-321 (2004).
- [4] A. Gasparyan, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, J. Speth, Phys. Rev. C 69, 034006 (2004).
- [5] A. Gasparyan, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, Phys. Rev. C 72, 034006 (2005).
- [6] A. Budzanowski et al., Phys. Lett. B 687, 31 (2010).
- [7] B. C. Liu, B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 042002 (2006).
- [8] K. Tsushima, A. Sibirtsev, A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B 390, 29 (1997);
 A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima, A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B 421, 59 (1998).
- [9] R. Shyam, Phys. Rev. C 73, 035211 (2006).
- [10] A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer, U. -G. Meissner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 039101 (2007).
- [11] B. C. Liu, B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 039102 (2007).
- [12] B. -S. Zou, J. -J. Xie, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 17, 1753-1764 (2008).
- [13] S. Abdel-Samad et al. [COSY-TOF Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 632, 27 (2006).

Our dynamics is similar to Casparyan's papers but we consider in addition the final state interaction of meson and baryon using the chiral unitary theory.

Formalism

- We work at the reaction threshold.
- The processes exchanging π and K mesons give the dominant contributions.
- The final state interactions (FSI) are important.



Formalism

Elementary Diagrams
 $T_{pp \to p\Lambda K^+} (= T_{\pi NN} G_{\pi} T_{\pi N \to K\Lambda})$ Meson-Baryon Interactions (FSI-1)
 $T_{pp \to p\Lambda K^+} G_{pK^+} T_{pK^+ \to pK^+}$ Baryon-Baryon Interactions (FSI-2)
 $T_{pp \to p\Lambda K^+} G_{p\Lambda} T_{p\Lambda \to p\Lambda}$

Inputs

• For strong vertex of πNN and KYN: $f_{\pi NN}\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q}$ and $f_{KYN}\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q}$, which are related to the **D** and **F** factors.

• Two-body meson-baryon scattering amplitudes such as $T_{\pi^0 p \to K^+ \Lambda}$ are obtained using the **chiral unitary theory** (fitted with the experimental data of meson baryon scattering).

Inputs

• For two-body baryon-baryon interactions, we fit the transition $T_{p\Lambda \to p\Lambda}$ using the experimental data of the $p\Lambda \to p\Lambda$ reaction.

$$T_{\Lambda p \to \Lambda p}(\sqrt{s_{p\Lambda}}) = \frac{1}{V^{-1} - G_{\Lambda p}(\sqrt{s_{p\Lambda}})},$$

- For $(p\Lambda)_{I=1}$ and $(p\Lambda)_{I=0}$, we assume they are the same.
- After fitting the potential V and the cut off Λ, and relate them to the scattering length a and effective range r, we obtain

 $\bar{a} = -1.75 \ fm$, and $\bar{r} = 3.43 \ fm$

 We also find it compatible with Hinterberger's results. There is a family of values consistent with the experimental data.

Free Parameters $\Lambda_{\pi}/\Lambda_{K}$

We add a form factor for the off-shell π and K mesons in the strong vertex

$$F_{\pi NN}(q^2) = \frac{\Lambda_{\pi}^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{\Lambda_{\pi}^2 - q^2}$$
$$F_{KYN}(q^2) = \frac{\Lambda_{K}^2 - m_{K}^2}{\Lambda_{K}^2 - q^2}$$

When fitting with the experimental data, we have some freedoms.

The $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$ reaction

Solid line: with including the $p\Lambda$ interactions

- **Dashed line**: without including the $p\Lambda$ interactions
- $\Lambda_{\pi} = \Lambda_{K} = 1300 \text{ MeV}$



FIG. 5: Total cross section vs excess energy ε for the $pp \to p\Lambda K^+$ reaction compared with experimental data from Refs. [26] (filled circles) and [27] (open circles). Solid and

The $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction

- We follow the same procedures and obtain the result for the $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction (dashed line).
- The result is larger than the experimental results, but at the same magnitude.
- The result of the $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction is much smaller than the result of the $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$ reaction.



FIG. 6: Total cross section vs excess energy ε for the $pp \to p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction compared with experimental data from Ref. [27]. Solid and dashed lines show the results from our

The $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction

- The transition process $pp \to (p\Lambda)K^+ \to (p\Sigma^0)K^+$ is important since $pp \to p\Lambda K^+$ is much larger than $pp \to p\Sigma^0 K^+$.
- The final state interaction $pp \rightarrow (p\Sigma^0)K^+ \rightarrow (p\Sigma^0)K^+$ may be important, but we do not have enough information of the $p\Sigma^0 \rightarrow p\Sigma^0$ reaction.
- The phases among these three processes are unknown but important. Therefore, we do not want to achieve an accurate result, but just a qualitative result in this work.



pure phase space distributions (dashed curve).

FIG. 7: The invariant mass spectra and the Dalitz Plot for the $pp \to p\Lambda K^+$ at excess energy $\varepsilon = 13$ MeV with the contri-the $pp \to p\Sigma^0 K^+$ at excess energy $\varepsilon = 13$ MeV with the contributions from the full amplitude (solid curve), compared with contributions from the full amplitude (solid curve), compared with pure phase space distributions (dashed curve).

Summary

- We have made a theoretical study of the $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$ and $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reactions. We have considered the final state interactions of any of the two hadrons.
- The cross section of the $pp \rightarrow pAK^+$ reaction we obtained is consistent with the experimental data.
- The cross section of the $pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+$ reaction we obtained is much smaller than the one of the $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$ reaction (there is a factor about 20).
- One should consider the transition process $pp \rightarrow (p\Lambda)K^+ \rightarrow (p\Sigma^0)K^+$ as well as the final state interaction $pp \rightarrow (p\Sigma^0)K^+ \rightarrow (p\Sigma^0)K^+$ can be important. However, since we do not the their **relative phases**, we can not estimate their contributions.



Thank you yery much!