



Hal Evans Indiana University for the ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb Collaborations

Hadron2011, 13-17 June, 2011, Munich





## Outline

### **1)** Overview of LHC Experiments

- Issues relevant for heavy hadrons

#### 2) Heavy Flavor Production

- Background & Theoretical predictions
- Charm production
- Beauty production

#### **3) Exclusive Final States**

- Spectroscopy
- Tool for studying Electro-Weak symmetry breaking

### 4) Summary of What We've Learned (so far)

- What to look for in the future

### ~50 Experimental Results from ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb



## What I Won't Cover

### Tragically, the organizers ignored my request for more time

– and I only needed 150 extra minutes !

#### We will have to skip

- nearly interesting experimental details
- many states that have been re-observed at the LHC
- CP-violation and other electro-weak topics
- $\quad B \ \rightarrow \ \mu^+ \ \mu^-$
- top physics (feeble excuse: it doesn't hadronize)

### **Other LHC Heavy Flavor-related talks at Hadron 2011**

- Plenary Sessions
  - > Charmonium (Yuanming Gao) & Bottomonium (Nuno Leonardo)
- Parallel Session talks by
  - > ALICE: K. Schweda, F. Kramer
  - > ATLAS: C. Schiavi
  - > CMS: B. Akgun, B. Paolo, H-C Kaestli, C. Grab, J. Wang
  - > LHCb: R. Cardinale, G. Sabatino, A. Uklega, B. Liu

Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011

## Heavy Flavors and LHC Exp's

# Heavy Flavor Production/Spectroscopy is not the primary focus of any of the LHC experiments

- ATLAS/CMS direct searches for new physics
- LHCb matter-antimatter asymmetry, EW symmetry breaking
- ALICE strongly interacting matter at extreme energy densities

# Nevertheless each has good capabilities to make these types of measurements

- unfortunately, no time to go into details of each experiment
- but will highlight a few of the most important issues



### **Experimental Issues Illustrated**



### **Production and Acceptance**

### **Data Collected**







√s (TeV)

Hal

#### **Detector Acceptances**



#### **Triggers used in analyses**

Trigger	Exp's	Comments		
min(micro) bias	all	only earliest data		
single muon	all	lowest $p_{T}$ prescaled		
single jet	ATLAS, CMS	lowest $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ prescaled		
di-muon	all	unprescaled (so far)		
displaced Vtx	LHCb	unprescaled		

Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011

## **Tracking & Hadron ID**



### **Heavy Flavor Production**



## Situation c. 2000



#### **Beauty Production vs NLO predictions**

reasonable agreement in shape, but scale off by factors of 2–3

#### **Charm Production vs NLO Predictions**

agreement generally better, but errors quite large

### **Could this be New Physics ???**

Hal Evans

### **Road to Enlightenment**

#### **Experimental Issues: be careful what you report**

- cross-sections from reconstructed *b*-hadrons (B<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  J/ $\psi$  K<sup>+</sup>, ...)
  - > careful treatment of fragmentation, updated  $\alpha_s \& PDFs$
- cross-sections from b-tagged jets

#### **Theory Issues: consistent calculations peripheral to NLO**

- Large scale dependence: sizable contributions from beyond NLO
  - > low  $p_T$  small  $x \sim m_b / \sqrt{s}$  effects
  - > high  $p_T$  large log( $p_T / m_b$ ) (FONLL resummation)
- Consistent (FONLL) treatment of fragmentation functions



## **State of the Art**

### **Heavy Flavor Production included in MC generators**

- PYTHIA, HERWIG: LO with some higher order topologies







- MadGraph/MadEvent: 2  $\rightarrow$  2,3 Processes
- CASCADE: off-shell LO Matrix Elems w/ high-E factorization
- MC@NLO, POWHEG, FONLL, MCFM: full NLO calculations

### **Experimental Issues**

- Does good data vs NLO agreement extend to new LHC energy regime?
- How well do we understand the details of higher order topologies?
- Cross-section measurements techniques
  - i) inclusive (b/c-jet, e/μ)
     ii) partially inclusive (μ D<sup>0</sup> X, J/ψ X,...)

> iii) exclusive (c 
$$\rightarrow$$
 D(\*), B<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  J/ $\psi$  K<sup>+</sup>,...)

📕 Hal Evans

Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011



### **Exclusive c: LHCb**

### pp $\rightarrow$ D X using micro bias trigger (1.81 nb<sup>-1</sup> – May, 2010)

- *b*-component extract using fit to D-meson impact parameter distrib.





### **Exclusive c: ATLAS**

### pp $\rightarrow$ D X using minimum bias trigger (1.1 nb<sup>-1</sup> – Mar-Jul, 2010)

– contains both *b,c* components (nb:  $\sigma_{cc} \sim 20 \sigma_{bb}$ )



	$\sigma^{\it vis}[\mu \it b]~(\it p_{ au}\!>\!{ m 3.5~GeV},~ \eta \!<\!{ m 2.1})$	POWHEG-PYTHIA	
$oldsymbol{D}^{*\pm}$	<b>285</b> $\pm$ <b>16</b> ( <b>stat</b> ) $^{+32}_{-27}$ ( <b>syst</b> ) $\pm$ <b>31</b> ( <b>lum</b> ) $\pm$ <b>4</b> ( <b>br</b> )	<b>153</b> $^{+169}_{-80}(\text{scale})$ $^{+13}_{-15}(m_Q)$ $^{+24}_{-21}(\text{PDF})$ $^{+20}_{-16}(\text{hadr})$	
$oldsymbol{D}^{\pm}$	<b>238</b> $\pm$ <b>13</b> (stat) $^{+35}_{-23}$ (syst) $\pm$ <b>26</b> (lum) $\pm$ <b>10</b> (br)	<b>132</b> $^{+137}_{-65}$ (scale) $^{+11}_{-10}$ ( <i>m</i> <sub>Q</sub> ) $^{+20}_{-18}$ (PDF) $^{+21}_{-11}$ (hadr)	
$m{D}_{s}^{\pm}$	<b>168</b> $\pm$ <b>34</b> (stat) $^{+27}_{-25}$ (syst) $\pm$ <b>18</b> (lum) $\pm$ <b>10</b> (br)	59 $^{+57}_{-28}(\text{scale})$ $^{+4}_{-6}(m_Q)$ $^{+9}_{-8}(\text{PDF})$ $^{+7}_{-8}(\text{hadr})$	

data already systematics limited





### **Exclusive c: ALICE**

### pp → D X at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: 1.6 nb<sup>-1</sup> (20% of 2010 data)



GM-VFNS: Kniehl et al.

also pp  $\rightarrow$  D X at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 2.76 TeV: 1.1 nb<sup>-1</sup>

- 3 days of data!

- y acceptance is  $p_{T}$  dependent
  - $(\Delta y \sim 1.0 1.6)$
- results scaled to  $\Delta y = 0.5$
- results in good agreement with NLO predictions





### **Charm Summary**



### **Differential cross-sections within exp acceptances**

- generally reasonable agreement: data vs NLO – but large uncertainties

### **Extrapolate individual measurements to full phase space**

- theory extrapolation error (ATLAS, ALICE) dominates all others







### **Inclusive HF to Electrons**



### ALICE: 2.6 nb<sup>-1</sup>

- $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV pp} \rightarrow eX; |y| < 0.8$
- "photonic decays" subtr.
  - > using meas  $\pi^0$  cross-section

### ATLAS: 1.3(1.4) pb<sup>-1</sup> e X (& μ X)

- single  $e(\mu)$  trig's;  $|\eta| < 2.0$
- W/Z/y<sup>\*</sup> subtr. using PYTHIA

> norm to NNLO at high mass



al good agreement between HF  $\rightarrow$  e( $\mu$ ) data and FONLL prediction in low p<sub>T</sub> region 17



Hal

### **Inclusive HF to Muons**







## Inclusive b: p<sub>T</sub><sup>rel</sup> Method







## Inclusive b: Vertex Method



ATLAS: 3.0 pb<sup>-1</sup>

- Min Bias trigger lowest  $p_{T}$  bin
- Level-1 jet triggers higher  $p_{T}$  bins

CMS: 60 nb<sup>-1</sup>

- Min Bias trigger lowest  $p_{T}$  bin
- Level-1 jet triggers higher  $\boldsymbol{p}_{_{T}}$  bins





## Inclusive b b: Vertex Method







## Partially Inclusive: $b \rightarrow \mu D^0 X$

### $pp \ \rightarrow \ \mu D^0(K^-\pi^+) \ X \ (2.9, \ 12.2 \ nb^{-1})$

Fits to D<sup>0</sup> impact param







### Partially Inclusive: $b \rightarrow J/\psi X$





## **Exclusive Measurements**





## **b b Angular Correlations**



### **Fragmentation Functions**







#### Single and Di-Muon Triggers: 32.5 pb<sup>-1</sup>





Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011

### **Exclusive Decays**

### All Heavy Flavor Hadrons produced copiously at the LHC

- ALICE, ATLAS, CMS: (re)observed all or most of the low-lying states
- but exclusive reconstruction is an area where LHCb takes the lead

#### Spectroscopy, etc

- access to new final states (several firsts already)
- comparison to predictions for masses, BRs, etc

### **Ingredients for EW studies**

- CP violation from a variety of  $B_{u,d,s}$  decay channels







- $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f_0(980)$  similar to  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \Phi$ 
  - but consists of a single CP-odd eigenstate
  - angular analysis not needed to extract CPV ( $-2\beta_{c}$ ) phase
- LHCb analysis:  $J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-) f_0(\pi^+\pi^-)$ 
  - dimuon trigger: 33 pb<sup>-1</sup>



1400

st

 $c J/\psi$ 

 $\phi$  or  $f_0$ 

 $B_s^0 \left\{ \overline{b} - \right\}$ 

events with  $| M(J/\psi \pi \pi) - M(B_) | < 30 \text{ MeV}$ 

1200

LHCb

35 - √s = 7 TeV Data



Toward y:  $X_h \rightarrow X_c \ 3\pi^{\pm}, B_s \rightarrow D^0 \ K^{*0}$ 

#### y Measurements to date rely primarily on: $B^- \to D^{(*)} \; K^{(*)-}$

many other modes show good potential, e.g.

 $\boldsymbol{B}_{d}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^{0} \boldsymbol{K}^{*0}, \ \boldsymbol{B}^{-} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^{0} \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \ \boldsymbol{\overline{B}}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^{+} \pi^{-} \pi + \pi^{-}, \ \boldsymbol{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{s}^{+} \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \pi + \pi^{-}$ 

### First step: measure similar/background modes (~36 pb<sup>-1</sup>)

− normalize to higher-stats modes:  $B \rightarrow D^0 \rho$ ,  $X_b \rightarrow X_c \pi^-$ 





**B**<sub>s</sub> **Properties: B**<sub>s</sub>  $\rightarrow \mu$  **D**<sub>s2</sub><sup>\*</sup> **X**, K<sup>\*0</sup> K<sup>\*0</sup>









### **Heavy Flavor Production: data vs predictions**

charm	good agreement with NLO (but large uncertainties)
(semi) inclusive b	good agreement with NLO, PYTHIA predicts shape well
forward <i>b</i> -prod	good agreement with NLO
exclusive b	data between NLO & PYTHIA (but w/in uncertainties)
b angular correlations	NLO underestimates / MadGraph overest. gluon splitting

- substantial uncertainties on predictions: scale variations
- measurements now largely systematics limited
- new strategies needed for further studies of H.F. production at the LHC
  - > increased luminosity taking away inclusive, low  $p_{_T}$  triggers  $_{\rightarrow}$  focus on exclusive states (e.g. CMS  $\Lambda_{_b} \rightarrow J/\psi ~\Lambda)$

### **Exclusive Final States & Spectroscopy**

- starting to make an impact here (LHCb has several "firsts")
  - > LHCb results will accelerate in the future

LHCD Other exp's limited by lack of triggers sensitive to hadronic decays

> dimuon triggers will be the workhorses







LHCh

# **But If this were Easy....**

## It wouldn't be Fun !



CN

# **Backup Slides**



### **Luminosities**



#### http://lpc.web.cern.ch

Hal Evans

Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011

### **ALICE Detector**





### **ATLAS Detector**







Hal Evans

### **CMS Detector**





### **LHCb Detector**



39

## **New Physics in** *b* **Production ???**



Berger, Harris, Kaplan, Sullivan, Tait, Wagner; PRL 86 (2001)



# Incl. HF to Leptons: Composition





Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011



### **Exclusive b Summary**





Flavor Fractions: f<sub>s</sub>/f<sub>d</sub>

### 2,3,4 Track Secondary Vertex Trigger: 35 pb<sup>-1</sup>

Boosted Decision Tree decay selection



Mode	$f_s / f_d \pm \text{stat} \pm \text{syst} \pm \text{theor}$	comments
$\boldsymbol{B}_d \ \rightarrow \ \boldsymbol{D}^-  \boldsymbol{K}^+$	0.242 ± 0.024 ± 0.018 ± 0.016	theoretically clean
$\boldsymbol{B}_d \ \rightarrow \ \boldsymbol{D}^- \boldsymbol{\pi}^+$	0249 ± 0.013 ± 0.020 ± 0.025	
LHCb ave	$0.245 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.018$	LHCB-CONF-2011-013
HFAG ave	$0.295 \pm 0.047$	arXiv:1010.1589

 $BR(B_{d} \rightarrow D^{-}K^{+}) = (2.02 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.12) \cdot 10^{-4} \quad [PDG: (2.0 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-4}]$ 



Hadron2011: 16 June, 2011

Adjusting D0  $B_s \rightarrow \mu D_{s1} X$ 

#### LHCb measurement

 $- BR(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu D_{s1} X) / BR(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu X) = (5.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.4) \cdot 10^{-2}$ 

#### D0 measurement (as quoted by PDG)

 $- BR(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu D_{s1} X) \cdot BR(D_{s1} \rightarrow D^{*-} K_{s}^{0}) = (2.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-3}$  $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu D_{s1} X) / BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu X) = (9.8 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-2}$  (adjusted)

## (meas)

#### D0 measurement adjusted using

- $BR(D_{s1} \rightarrow D^*K) = 1$ assumed by LHCb
- $BR(D_{s1} \rightarrow D^{*-}K_{s0}) = 1/4$
- $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu X)$  = 9.8% as in LHCb calculation

