THE MUONIC HYDROGEN LAMB SHIFT AND THE DEFINITION OF THE PROTON RADIUS

Antonio Pineda

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

hadron2011, 13th-17th Juny 2011

Precise measurements in atomic physics \rightarrow Learning about hadron structure Hyperfine splitting (hydrogen atom):

$$E_{HF}^{exp} = E(n = 1, s = 1) - E(n = 1, s = 0) \qquad (s = \text{total spin})$$

Nature (1972)

$$\nu_{HF} = \frac{E_{HF}}{h} = 1420.4057517667(9) \text{ MHz}$$
 (13 digits)

Precise measurements in atomic physics \rightarrow Learning about hadron structure Hyperfine splitting (hydrogen atom):

$$E_{HF}^{exp} = E(n = 1, s = 1) - E(n = 1, s = 0) \qquad (s = \text{total spin})$$

Nature (1972)

$$\nu_{HF} = \frac{E_{HF}}{h} = 1420.4057517667(9) \text{ MHz}$$
 (13 digits)

Precise measurements in atomic physics \rightarrow Learning about hadron structure Hyperfine splitting (hydrogen atom):

$$E_{HF}^{exp} = E(n = 1, s = 1) - E(n = 1, s = 0) \qquad (s = \text{total spin})$$

Nature (1972)

$$\nu_{HF} = \frac{E_{HF}}{h} = 1420.4057517667(9) \text{ MHz}$$
 (13 digits)

 $E \equiv E(2P_{3/2}(F=2)) - E(2S_{1/2}(F=1))$

PSI: R. Pohl et al., Nature vol. 466, p. 213 (2010)

 $E_{exp} = 206.2949(32)meV$

 $E_{th} = 209.9779(49) - 5.2262 \frac{r_p^2}{fm^2} + 0.0347 \frac{r_p^3}{fm^3} meV = 205.984 meV$ using CODATA value $r_p = 0.8768(69)$ fm.

 $E_{exp} - E_{th} = 0.311 \ meV$

New proposed value: $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm. 5 standard deviations!!

 $E \equiv E(2P_{3/2}(F=2)) - E(2S_{1/2}(F=1))$

PSI: R. Pohl et al., Nature vol. 466, p. 213 (2010)

 $E_{exp} = 206.2949(32) meV$

 $E_{th} = 209.9779(49) - 5.2262 \frac{r_{\rho}^2}{fm^2} + 0.0347 \frac{r_{\rho}^3}{fm^3} meV = 205.984 meV$ using CODATA value $r_{\rho} = 0.8768(69)$ fm.

 $E_{exp} - E_{th} = 0.311 \ meV$

New proposed value: $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm. 5 standard deviations!!

 $E \equiv E(2P_{3/2}(F=2)) - E(2S_{1/2}(F=1))$

PSI: R. Pohl et al., Nature vol. 466, p. 213 (2010)

 $E_{exp} = 206.2949(32)meV$

 $E_{th} = 209.9779(49) - 5.2262 \frac{r_{\rho}^2}{fm^2} + 0.0347 \frac{r_{\rho}^3}{fm^3} meV = 205.984 meV$ using CODATA value $r_{\rho} = 0.8768(69)$ fm.

 $E_{exp}-E_{th}=0.311\ meV$

New proposed value: $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm. 5 standard deviations!!

 $E \equiv E(2P_{3/2}(F=2)) - E(2S_{1/2}(F=1))$

PSI: R. Pohl et al., Nature vol. 466, p. 213 (2010)

 $E_{exp} = 206.2949(32)meV$

 $E_{th} = 209.9779(49) - 5.2262 \frac{r_{\rho}^2}{fm^2} + 0.0347 \frac{r_{\rho}^3}{fm^3} meV = 205.984 meV$ using CODATA value $r_{\rho} = 0.8768(69)$ fm.

 $E_{exp} - E_{th} = 0.311 \text{ meV}$

New proposed value: $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm. 5 standard deviations!!

 $E \equiv E(2P_{3/2}(F=2)) - E(2S_{1/2}(F=1))$

PSI: R. Pohl et al., Nature vol. 466, p. 213 (2010)

 $E_{exp} = 206.2949(32) meV$

 $E_{th} = 209.9779(49) - 5.2262 \frac{r_{\rho}^2}{fm^2} + 0.0347 \frac{r_{\rho}^3}{fm^3} meV = 205.984 meV$ using CODATA value $r_{\rho} = 0.8768(69)$ fm.

 $E_{exp} - E_{th} = 0.311 \text{ meV}$

New proposed value: $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm. 5 standard deviations!!

 $E \equiv E(2P_{3/2}(F=2)) - E(2S_{1/2}(F=1))$

PSI: R. Pohl et al., Nature vol. 466, p. 213 (2010)

 $E_{exp} = 206.2949(32) meV$

 $E_{th} = 209.9779(49) - 5.2262 \frac{r_{\rho}^2}{fm^2} + 0.0347 \frac{r_{\rho}^3}{fm^3} meV = 205.984 meV$ using CODATA value $r_{\rho} = 0.8768(69)$ fm.

 $E_{exp} - E_{th} = 0.311 \text{ meV}$

New proposed value: $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm. 5 standard deviations!!

We use an effective field theory, Potential Non-Relativistic QED, which describes the muonic hydrogen dynamics and profits from the hierarchy $m_{\mu} \gg m_{\mu} \alpha \gg m_{\mu} \alpha^2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \end{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \\ + \text{corrections to the potential} \\ + \text{interaction with ultrasoft photons} \end{cases} \text{potential NRQED} \qquad E \sim mv^2 \\ \text{Scales:} \\ m_p \sim \Lambda_{\chi} \\ m_\mu \sim m_\pi \sim m_r = \frac{m_\mu m_p}{m_p + m_\mu} \\ m_r \alpha \sim m_e \\ \text{Expansion parameters, ratios between scales, mainly:} \\ \frac{m_\pi}{m_p} \sim \frac{m_\mu \alpha^2}{m_p \alpha} \sim \frac{1}{137} \\ \text{Jeeded precision } m_r \alpha^5 \text{ (heavy quarkonium precision)} \end{cases}$$

 $F \sim mv^2$

Theoretical setup

We use an effective field theory, Potential Non-Relativistic QED, which describes the muonic hydrogen dynamics and profits from the hierarchy $m_{\mu} \gg m_{\mu} \alpha \gg m_{\mu} \alpha^2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \end{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \\ + \text{corrections to the potential} \\ + \text{interaction with ultrasoft photons} \end{cases}$$
potential NRQED

Scales:

$$\begin{split} & m_{p} \sim \Lambda_{\chi} \\ & m_{\mu} \sim m_{\pi} \sim m_{r} = \frac{m_{\mu}m_{p}}{m_{p}+m_{\mu}} \\ & m_{r}\alpha \sim m_{\theta} \\ & \text{Expansion parameters, ratios between scales, mainly:} \\ & \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{p}} \sim \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{p}} \sim \frac{1}{9} \\ & \frac{m_{r}\alpha}{m_{r}} \sim \frac{m_{r}\alpha^{2}}{m_{r}\alpha} \sim \alpha \sim \frac{1}{137} \\ & \text{Needed precision } m_{r}\alpha^{5} \text{ (heavy quarkonium precision)} \end{split}$$

n n n E

We use an effective field theory, Potential Non-Relativistic QED, which describes the muonic hydrogen dynamics and profits from the hierarchy $m_{\mu} \gg m_{\mu} \alpha \gg m_{\mu} \alpha^2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \end{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \\ + \text{corrections to the potential} \\ + \text{interaction with ultrasoft photons} \end{pmatrix} \text{ potential NRQED } E \sim mv^2 \\ \text{Scales:} \\ m_p \sim \Lambda_{\chi} \\ m_\mu \sim m_\pi \sim m_r = \frac{m_\mu m_p}{m_p + m_\mu} \\ \text{Expansion parameters, ratios between scales, mainly:} \\ \frac{m_\pi}{m_p} \sim \frac{m_\mu}{m_p} \sim \frac{1}{9} \\ \frac{m_r \alpha}{m_r} \sim \frac{m_r \alpha^2}{m_r \alpha} \sim \alpha \sim \frac{1}{137} \\ \text{Needed precision } m_r \alpha^\circ \text{ (heavy quarkonium precision)} \end{cases}$$

n

N

We use an effective field theory, Potential Non-Relativistic QED, which describes the muonic hydrogen dynamics and profits from the hierarchy $m_{\mu} \gg m_{\mu} \alpha \gg m_{\mu} \alpha^2$

$$\begin{cases} \left(i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} + \frac{\alpha}{r}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \\ + \text{corrections to the potential} \\ + \text{interaction with ultrasoft photons} \end{cases} \text{potential NRQED} \qquad E \sim mv^{2} \\ \end{cases}$$
Scales:

$$m_{p} \sim \Lambda_{\chi} \\ m_{\mu} \sim m_{\pi} \sim m_{r} = \frac{m_{\mu}m_{p}}{m_{p}+m_{\mu}} \\ m_{r}\alpha \sim m_{e} \\ \text{Expansion parameters, ratios between scales, mainly:} \\ \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{p}} \sim \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{p}} \sim \frac{1}{9} \\ \frac{m_{r}\alpha}{m_{r}} \sim \frac{m_{r}\alpha^{2}}{m_{r}\alpha} \sim \alpha \sim \frac{1}{137} \\ \text{Needed precision } m_{r}\alpha^{5} \text{ (heavy quarkonium precision)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} d^{3}\mathbf{R} dt S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) \Biggl\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} \\ &- V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R},t) \Biggr\} S(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots \\ \tilde{V}^{(0)} &\equiv -4\pi Z_{\mu} Z_{\rho} \alpha_{V}(k) \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}, \\ \alpha_{eff}(k) &= \alpha \frac{1}{1 + \Pi(-\mathbf{k}^{2})} , \end{split}$$

where

$$\Pi(k^2) = \alpha \Pi^{(1)}(k^2) + \alpha^2 \Pi^{(2)}(k^2) + \alpha^3 \Pi^{(3)}(k^2) + \dots$$

$$\alpha_V(k) = \alpha_{eff}(k) +$$

$$Z^{n}_{\mu}Z^{m}_{\rho}\alpha^{(n,m)}_{\text{eff}}(k) = \alpha_{\text{eff}}(k) + \delta\alpha(k), \qquad \delta\alpha(k) = O(\alpha^{4}).$$

n,m=0 n+m=even>0

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} d^{3}\mathbf{R} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) \Biggl\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} \\ &- V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R},t) \Biggr\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots \\ \tilde{V}^{(0)} &\equiv -4\pi Z_{\mu} Z_{\rho} \alpha_{V}(k) \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}, \\ \alpha_{eff}(k) &= \alpha \frac{1}{1 + \Pi(-\mathbf{k}^{2})} , \end{split}$$

where

$$\Pi(k^2) = \alpha \Pi^{(1)}(k^2) + \alpha^2 \Pi^{(2)}(k^2) + \alpha^3 \Pi^{(3)}(k^2) + \dots$$

$$\alpha_V(k) = \alpha_{eff}(k) + \sum$$

$$Z^n_{\mu} Z^m_{\rho} \alpha^{(n,m)}_{\text{eff}}(k) = \alpha_{\text{eff}}(k) + \delta \alpha(k), \qquad \delta \alpha(k) = O(\alpha^4).$$

n,m=0 n+m=even>0

Vacuum polarization effects: $O(m_r \alpha^3)$



Figure: Leading correction to the Coulomb potential due to the electron vacuum polarization. $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$ and $k_0 = E_1 - E_1'$.

1-loop static potential

$$E_{LO} = \langle n | \delta V | n \rangle = 205.0074 = \mathcal{O}(m_r \alpha^3)$$

Vacuum polarization effects: $\mathcal{O}(m_r \alpha^4, m_r \alpha^5)$



Pachuki/Borie

2-loop static potential is the same as two-loop vacuum polarization iterations 1.5079(*two loop vacuum polarization*)+ 0.151(*iteration one-loop*)

3-loop static potential (three loop vacuum polarization, Kinoshita-Nio, 0.0076)

Vacuum polarization effects: $\mathcal{O}(m_r \alpha^4, m_r \alpha^5)$

QED



Pachuki/Borie

2-loop static potential is the same as two-loop vacuum polarization iterations 1.5079(*two loop vacuum polarization*)+ 0.151(*iteration one-loop*)

3-loop static potential (three loop vacuum polarization, Kinoshita-Nio, 0.0076)

Static potential, not vacuum polarization: $\mathcal{O}(m_r \alpha^5)$



Light-by-light (Wichmann-Kroll and Delbrück) contribution very small

 $\Delta E \simeq -0.0009 \text{ mev}$ (Karshenboim *et al.*)

Earlier work by Borie

Observation: The limit $m_e \rightarrow 0$ known from QCD (Anzai et al. and Smirnov et al). It should be possible to obtain the result with finite mass (albeit numerically) and check.

Static potential, not vacuum polarization: $\mathcal{O}(m_r \alpha^5)$



Light-by-light (Wichmann-Kroll and Delbrück) contribution very small

 $\Delta E \simeq -0.0009$ mev (Karshenboim *et al.*)

Earlier work by Borie

Observation:

The limit $m_e \rightarrow 0$ known from QCD (Anzai et al. and Smirnov et al). It should be possible to obtain the result with finite mass (albeit numerically) and check.

1/m potential

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) \Biggl\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} \\ -V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X},t) \Biggr\} S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots \\ \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} \to \mathcal{O}(m_{r}\alpha^{6}) \end{split}$$

relativistic corrections+vacuum polarization

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \Biggl\{ i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} \\ &- V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \Biggr\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ &V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} + \dots \\ &\frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m_r \alpha^4, \alpha^5) \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{O}(m\alpha^4)$ 0.0575 (purely relativistic) $\mathcal{O}(m\alpha^5)$ 0.0169 (Pachucki and Veitia)





 $\Delta E = -0.6677 \ meV$

$$\mathcal{O}(mlpha^5rac{m_\mu}{m_p}): \qquad \Delta E = -0.045 \ meV$$

Start the overlap with hadronic effects.

$$L_{pNRQED} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \left\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} - V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \right\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{p}^{2}} D_{\sigma}^{had} \cdot \delta^{3}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$D_{\sigma}^{had.} = -c_{3} - 16\pi\alpha d_{2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} c_{D}$$

$$C_{D}, \dots \text{ matching coefficients of NRQED.}$$

$$HBET(m_{r}/m_{r}) \rightarrow NROED(m, \alpha) \rightarrow pNROED$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$

$$L_{pNRQED} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \left\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} - V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \right\} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{p}^{2}} D_{\sigma}^{had.} \delta^{3}(r)$$

$$D_{\sigma}^{had.} = -c_{3} - 16\pi\alpha d_{2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} c_{D}$$

$$c_{D}, \dots \text{ matching coefficients of NRQED.}$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$

$$L_{pNRQED} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \left\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} - V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \right\} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} D_{d}^{had} \delta^{3}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$D_{d}^{had} = -c_{3} - 16\pi\alpha d_{2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} c_{D}$$

 c_3, d_2, c_D, \dots matching coefficients of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$

 c_3 , d_2 , c_D , ... matching coefficients of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu})
ightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha)
ightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$

c₃, d₂, c_D, ... matching coefficients of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu})
ightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha)
ightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$

$$L_{pNRQED} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \Biggl\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} - V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \Biggr\} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} D_{d}^{had.} \delta^{3}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$D_{d}^{had.} = -c_{3} - 16\pi\alpha d_{2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} c_{D}$$
Eq. ... matching coefficients of NRQED.

 c_3 , d_2 , c_D , ... matching coefficients of NRQED. HBET $(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$

$$L_{pNRQED} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \Biggl\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} -V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \Biggr\} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{p}^{2}} D_{d}^{had} \delta^{3}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$D_{d}^{had.} = -c_{3} - 16\pi\alpha d_{2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}c_{D}$$
The matching coefficients of NROED

 c_3 , d_2 , c_D , ... matching coefficients of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots \frac{d_2}{m_p^2} F_{\mu\nu} D^2 F^{\mu\nu} + \cdots - e \frac{c_D}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} N_p + \cdots + \frac{c_3}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} N_p \mu^{\dagger} \mu$$





Figure: Leading correction to the Coulomb potential due to the hadronic vacuum polarization.

 $d_2 \rightarrow$ hadronic vacuum polarization

 $\Delta E = 0.011 \text{ meV}$





Figure: Leading correction to the Coulomb potential due to the hadronic vacuum polarization.

$d_2 \rightarrow$ hadronic vacuum polarization

 $\Delta E = 0.011 \text{ meV}$

Power-like chiral enhanced ($\rightarrow \chi \text{PT}$ can predict the leading order) m_{μ} extra suppression



Figure: Symbolic representation (plus permutations) of the Zemach $\langle r^3 \rangle$ correction.

$$\Delta E = 0.010 \frac{\langle r_{\rho}^{3} \rangle}{\text{fm}^{3}}$$
$$\frac{\langle r_{\rho}^{3} \rangle}{\text{fm}^{3}} = \frac{96}{\pi} \int d^{D-1} k \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{6}} G_{E}^{(0)} G_{E}^{(2)}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{C}_{3,\text{Zemach}}^{p_{l_{i}}} &= \frac{\pi}{3} \alpha^{2} m_{p}^{2} m_{\mu} \langle r_{p}^{3} \rangle = 2(\pi \alpha)^{2} \left(\frac{m_{p}}{4\pi F_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{m_{l_{i}}}{m_{\pi}} \left\{\frac{3}{4} g_{A}^{2} + \frac{1}{8} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{2}{\pi} g_{\pi N \Delta}^{2} \frac{m_{\pi}}{\Delta} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_{r} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{\Delta}\right)^{2r} + g_{\pi N \Delta}^{2} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} H_{r} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{\Delta}\right)^{2r} \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

where ($\Delta = M_{\Delta} - M_{
m p} \sim 300$ MeV)

$$C_r = \frac{(-1)^r \Gamma(-3/2)}{\Gamma(r+1) \Gamma(-3/2-r)} \left\{ B_{6+2r} - \frac{2(r+2)}{3+2r} B_{4+2r} \right\}, \qquad r \ge 0,$$

$$B_n \equiv \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t^{2-n}}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \ln\left[\frac{1}{t} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{t^2} - 1}\right]$$

$$H_n \equiv \frac{n!(2n-1)!![-3/2]}{2(2n)!!\Gamma[1/2+n]}$$

Including Pions and Δ particles

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle r_{\rho}^{3} \rangle}{\mathrm{fm}^{3}} &= \frac{96}{\pi} \int d^{D-1} k \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{6}} G_{E}^{(0)} G_{E}^{(2)} \\ \delta c_{3,\text{Zemach}}^{\textit{pl}_{i}} &= \frac{\pi}{3} \alpha^{2} m_{\rho}^{2} m_{\mu} \langle r_{\rho}^{3} \rangle = 2(\pi \alpha)^{2} \left(\frac{m_{\rho}}{4\pi F_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{m_{l_{i}}}{m_{\pi}} \left\{\frac{3}{4} g_{A}^{2} + \frac{1}{8} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{2}{\pi} g_{\pi N\Delta}^{2} \frac{m_{\pi}}{\Delta} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_{r} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{\Delta}\right)^{2r} + g_{\pi N\Delta}^{2} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} H_{r} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{\Delta}\right)^{2r} \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

where ($\Delta = \textit{M}_{\Delta} - \textit{M}_{p} \sim 300$ MeV)

$$C_r = \frac{(-1)^r \Gamma(-3/2)}{\Gamma(r+1) \Gamma(-3/2-r)} \left\{ B_{6+2r} - \frac{2(r+2)}{3+2r} B_{4+2r} \right\}, \qquad r \ge 0,$$

$$B_n \equiv \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t^{2-n}}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \ln\left[\frac{1}{t} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{t^2}-1}\right]$$

$$H_n \equiv \frac{n!(2n-1)!!\Gamma[-3/2]}{2(2n)!!\Gamma[1/2+n]}.$$

Including Pions and Δ particles
$$\frac{\langle r_{\rho}^{3} \rangle}{\mathrm{fm}^{3}} = \frac{96}{\pi} \int d^{D-1} k \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{6}} G_{E}^{(0)} G_{E}^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{\langle T_{\rho}^{3} \rangle|_{\chi PT}}{\text{fm}^{3}} = 1.9 \text{ (Pineda)} \rightarrow \Delta E = 0.019 \text{ meV}$$



 $ightarrow \Delta E = 0.025 - 0.029$

Not the reason for the discrepancy.

 $\langle r_{\rho}^{3}\rangle \sim 35$ De Rujula, not consistent neither with experiment nor chiral symmetry.

$$\Delta E = 0.010 \frac{\langle r_P \rangle}{\text{fm}^3}$$
$$\frac{\langle r_P^3 \rangle}{\text{fm}^3} = \frac{96}{\pi} \int d^{D-1} k \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^6} \mathbf{G}_E^{(0)} \mathbf{G}_E^{(2)}$$

1,31

$$\frac{\langle r_{\rho}^{3} \rangle|_{\chi PT}}{\text{fm}^{3}} = 1.9 \text{ (Pineda)} \rightarrow \Delta E = 0.019 \text{ meV}$$



$$e \rightarrow \Delta E = 0.025 - 0.029$$

Not the reason for the discrepancy.

 $\langle r_{\rho}^{3}\rangle \sim$ 35 De Rujula, not consistent neither with experiment nor chiral symmetry.

$$\Delta E = 0.010 \frac{\langle r_p^3 \rangle}{\text{fm}^3}$$
$$\frac{f_p^3 \rangle}{\text{m}^3} = \frac{96}{\pi} \int d^{D-1} k \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^6} G_E^{(0)} G_E^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{\langle T_{\rho}^{3} \rangle|_{\chi PT}}{\text{fm}^{3}} = 1.9 \text{ (Pineda)} \rightarrow \Delta E = 0.019 \text{ meV}$$



 $\bigg\} \rightarrow \Delta E = 0.025 - 0.029$

Not the reason for the discrepancy.

 $\langle r_{\rho}^{3}\rangle \sim 35$ De Rujula, not consistent neither with experiment nor chiral symmetry.

 c_3 Polarizability effects: $\mathcal{O}(m_\mu \alpha^5 \times \frac{m_\mu^2}{\Lambda_{\star}^2} \times \frac{m_\mu}{m_{\pi}})$

Power-like chiral enhanced ($\rightarrow \chi PT$ can predict the leading order) m_{μ} extra suppression



 ΔE (Dispersion relations) = 0.012(Pachucki)/0.015(Borie) meV

 $\Delta E|_{\gamma PT}(pions) = 0.018(Nevado - Pineda) mev$

$$\begin{split} c^{pl_i}_{3,NR} &= -e^4 m_p m_{l_i} \int \frac{d^4 k_E}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k_E^4} \frac{1}{k_E^4 + 4m_{l_i}^2 k_{0,E}^2} \\ &\times \left\{ (3k_{0,E}^2 + \mathbf{k}^2) S_1(ik_{0,E}, -k_E^2) - \mathbf{k}^2 S_2(ik_{0,E}, -k_E^2) \right\} \end{split}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4 x \, e^{i q \cdot x} \langle p, s | T J^{\mu}(x) J^{\nu}(0) | p, s \rangle \,,$$

which has the following structure ($\rho = q \cdot p/m$):

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^{2}}\right) S_{1}(\rho, q^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \left(p^{\mu} - \frac{m_{\rho}\rho}{q^{2}}q^{\mu}\right) \left(p^{\nu} - \frac{m_{\rho}\rho}{q^{2}}q^{\nu}\right) S_{2}(\rho, q^{2})$$

$$- \frac{i}{m_{\rho}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} s_{\sigma} A_{1}(\rho, q^{2})$$

$$- \frac{i}{m_{\rho}^{3}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} ((m_{\rho}\rho)s_{\sigma} - (q \cdot s)p_{\sigma}) A_{2}(\rho, q^{2})$$



Figure: Diagrams contributing to T^{ij} . Crossed diagrams are not explicitly shown but calculated.

THE MUONIC HYDROGEN LAMB SHIFT AND THE DEFINITION OF THE PROTON RADIUS

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{3,NR}^{p_{l_{i}}} &= -\mathbf{e}^{4} m_{p}^{2} \frac{m_{l_{i}}}{m_{\pi}} \left(\frac{g_{A}}{f_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k_{E}}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{1}{(1+\mathbf{k}^{2})^{4}} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{\pi} w^{D-5} \frac{1}{w^{2} + 4\frac{m_{l_{i}}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{1}{(1+\mathbf{k}^{2})^{2}}} \\ &\times \left\{ (2 + (1+\mathbf{k}^{2})^{2}) A_{E}(w^{2},\mathbf{k}^{2}) + (1+\mathbf{k}^{2})^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} w^{2} B_{E}(w^{2},\mathbf{k}^{2}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where (for D = 4)

$$A_E = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[-\frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{1+w^2} + \int_0^1 dx \frac{1-x}{\sqrt{1+x^2w^2+x(1-x)w^2\mathbf{k}^2}} \right] \,,$$

$$\begin{split} B_E &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^1 dx \frac{1-2x}{\sqrt{1+x^2w^2+x(1-x)w^2k^2}} \right. \\ &\left. -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(1-x)(1-2x)^2}{(1+x^2w^2+x(1-x)w^2k^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right] \,. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle p', \mathbf{s} | J^{\mu} | p, \mathbf{s} \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + i F_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,. \\ &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2) |_{q^2=0} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle p', \mathbf{s} | J^{\mu} | p, \mathbf{s} \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,. \\ &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2) |_{q^2=0} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + i F_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,. \\ &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,P}(q^2) |_{q^2=0} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + i F_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,. \\ r_p^2 &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2) |_{q^2=0} \end{split}$$

 r_p^2

$$\begin{split} \langle p', \mathbf{s} | J^{\mu} | p, \mathbf{s} \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,. \\ r_p^2(\nu) &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} \\ \text{Infrared divergent!} \to \text{Wilson coefficient} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \langle p', \mathbf{s} | J^{\mu} | p, \mathbf{s} \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,, \\ r_p^2(\nu) &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_p^2} \left(C_D^{(p)}(\nu) - 1 \right) \\ c_D &= 1 + 2F_2 + 8F_1' = 1 + 8m_p^2 \left. \frac{dG_{p,E}(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} \,, \end{split}$$

Standard definition (corresponds to the experimental number):

$$r_{p}^{2} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_{p}^{2}} (c_{D}(\nu) - c_{D,point-like}(\nu))$$
$$c_{D,point-like} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{m_{p}^{2}}{\nu^{2}}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,, \\ r_p^2(\nu) &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_p^2} \left(c_D^{(p)}(\nu) - 1 \right) \\ c_D &= 1 + 2F_2 + 8F_1' = 1 + 8m_p^2 \left. \frac{dG_{p,E}(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} \,, \end{split}$$

Standard definition (corresponds to the experimental number):

$$r_{\rho}^{2} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \left(C_{D}(\nu) - C_{D,point-like}(\nu) \right)$$
$$C_{D,point-like} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{\nu^{2}} \right)$$

THE MUONIC HYDROGEN LAMB SHIFT AND THE DEFINITION OF THE PROTON RADIUS

$$\begin{split} \langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \\ r_p^2(\nu) &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_p^2} \left(c_D^{(p)}(\nu) - 1 \right) \\ c_D &= 1 + 2F_2 + 8F_1' = 1 + 8m_p^2 \left. \frac{dG_{p,E}(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} \,, \end{split}$$

Standard definition (corresponds to the experimental number):

$$r_{p}^{2} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_{p}^{2}} (c_{D}(\nu) - c_{D,point-like}(\nu))$$
$$c_{D,point-like} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{m_{p}^{2}}{\nu^{2}}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \,, \\ F_i(q^2) &= F_i + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2) \,, \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \,, \\ r_p^2(\nu) &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{E,p}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_p^2} \left(c_D^{(p)}(\nu) - 1 \right) \\ c_D &= 1 + 2F_2 + 8F_1' = 1 + 8m_p^2 \left. \frac{dG_{p,E}(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} \,, \end{split}$$

Standard definition (corresponds to the experimental number):

$$r_{\rho}^{2} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \left(C_{D}(\nu) - C_{D,point-like}(\nu) \right)$$
$$C_{D,point-like} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{\nu^{2}} \right)$$

$$\Delta E = -5.19745 * 0.8768^2 \simeq -4 \text{ meV}$$

THE MUONIC HYDROGEN LAMB SHIFT AND THE DEFINITION OF THE PROTON RADIUS

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment).

Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Important to have a model independent and efficient approach to the problem. Effective Field Theories suitable for this task.

The proton radius is a matching coefficient of the effective theory. In general an scheme/scale dependent object.

Precise determination of hadronic parameters from alternative sources (experiment). Non-trivial double checks by chiral perturbation theory.

Previous claims about r^3 unfounded.

Theory appears to be solid, not to say extremely reliable. Only few a places where one should look "again" (out of desperation). Two/three-loop vacuum polarization potential? "Scheme" dependence? Lattice? ...

Definition of the neutron radius

$$egin{aligned} &\langle p', s|J^{\mu}|p,s
angle = ar{u}(p')\left[F_1(q^2)\gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2)rac{\sigma^{\mu
u}q_{
u}}{2m_p}
ight]u(p)\,, \ &F_i(q^2) = F_i + rac{q^2}{m_p^2}F_i' + ... \end{aligned}$$

Definition of the neutron radius

$$\begin{split} \langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p) \\ &\quad F_i(q^2) = 0 + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots \\ G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2), \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2) \\ r_n^2 &= 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{n,E}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_p^2} c_D^{(n)} \\ c_D &= 0 + 2F_2 + 8F_1' = 0 + 8m_n^2 \left. \frac{dG_{n,E}(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} \end{split}$$

Standard definition (corresponds to the experimental number):

$$r_n^2 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_n^2} c_D$$

Neutron-lepton scattering length = REAL low energy constant

$$b_{nl} = rac{1}{4m_n} \left(lpha c_D - rac{2}{\pi} c_{3,NR}^{nl}
ight) \sim D_d^{(n)had}$$

It is not proportional to the radius

THE MUONIC HYDROGEN LAMB SHIFT AND THE DEFINITION OF THE PROTON RADIUS

r

Definition of the neutron radius

$$\langle p', s | J^{\mu} | p, s \rangle = \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + iF_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m_p} \right] u(p)$$

$$F_i(q^2) = 0 + \frac{q^2}{m_p^2} F_i' + \dots$$

$$G_E(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2(q^2), \qquad G_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2)$$

$$g_n^2 = 6 \frac{d}{dq^2} G_{n,E}(q^2)|_{q^2=0} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_p^2} c_D^{(n)}$$

$$c_D = 0 + 2F_2 + 8F_1' = 0 + 8m_n^2 \left. \frac{dG_{n,E}(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0}$$

Standard definition (corresponds to the experimental number):

$$r_n^2 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{m_n^2} c_D$$

Neutron-lepton scattering length = REAL low energy constant

$$b_{nl} = rac{1}{4m_n} \left(lpha c_D - rac{2}{\pi} c_{3,NR}^{nl}
ight) \sim D_d^{(n)had}$$

It is not proportional to the radius

THE MUONIC HYDROGEN LAMB SHIFT AND THE DEFINITION OF THE PROTON RADIUS

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \Biggl\{ i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} \\ &- V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) + e \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \Biggr\} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} + \dots \\ &\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^2} D_d^{had.} (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)^2 \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= 2c_4 \end{split}$$

c4, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{pNRQED} &= \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} d^{3}\mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) \bigg\{ i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m_{r}} \\ &- \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) + e\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X},t) \bigg\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) - \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ &\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}) = \mathcal{V}^{(0)}(r) + \frac{\mathcal{V}^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{\mathcal{V}^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} + \dots \\ &\frac{\delta \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} D_{d}^{had.} (\mathbf{S}_{1} + \mathbf{S}_{2})^{2} \delta^{3}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= 2c_{4} \end{split}$$

c4, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \bigg\{ i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} \\ -V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \bigg\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} + \dots \\ \frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} \to \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^2} D_d^{had.}(\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)^2 \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \\ D_S^{had.} &= 2c_4 \end{split}$$

c4, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

c4, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 $HBET(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu})
ightarrow NRQED(m_{\mu}lpha)
ightarrow pNRQED$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) \bigg\{ i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} \\ &- V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_1,\sigma_2) + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X},t) \bigg\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_1,\sigma_2) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} + \dots \\ &\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^2} D_d^{had.}(\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)^2 \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad D_8^{had.} = 2c_4 \end{split}$$

c₄, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 ${\sf HBET}(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) o {\sf NRQED}(m_{\mu}lpha) o {\sf pNRQED}$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) \bigg\{ i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} \\ &- V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_1,\sigma_2) + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X},t) \bigg\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X},t) - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \,, \\ &V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} + \dots \\ &\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^2} D_d^{had.}(\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)^2 \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \\ &D_{\mathrm{S}}^{had.} = 2c_4 \end{split}$$

c₄, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 $\textit{HBET}(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow \textit{NRQED}(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow \textit{pNRQED}$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

$$\begin{split} L_{pNRQED} &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{X} dt \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \Biggl\{ i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_r} \\ &- V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t) \Biggr\} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} , \\ V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{V^{(1)}(r)}{m_{\mu}} + \frac{V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} + \dots \\ &\frac{\delta V^{(2)}(r)}{m_{\mu}^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_p^2} D_d^{had.} (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)^2 \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \\ &D_s^{had.} = 2\mathbf{c}_4 \end{split}$$

c₄, matching coefficient of NRQED.

 $\textit{HBET}(m_{\pi}/m_{\mu}) \rightarrow \textit{NRQED}(m_{\mu}\alpha) \rightarrow \textit{pNRQED}$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \cdots - \frac{c_4}{m_p^2} N_p^{\dagger} \sigma N_p \mu^{\dagger} \sigma \mu$$

Leading chiral logs to the hyperfine splitting



 c_4 , Spin-dependent effects (Zemach): $\mathcal{O}(m_\mu \alpha^5 \times \frac{m_\mu^2}{\Lambda_v^2} \times \ln m_\pi)$



Figure: Symbolic representation (plus permutations) of the Zemach correction.

$$\delta c_{4,\text{Zemach}}^{pl} = (4\pi\alpha)^2 m_p \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^4} G_E^{(0)} G_M^{(2)}.$$
c_4 , Spin-dependent effects (Zemach): $\mathcal{O}(m_\mu \alpha^5 \times \frac{m_\mu^2}{\Lambda_{\chi}^2} \times \ln m_\pi)$



Figure: Symbolic representation (plus permutations) of the Zemach correction.

$$\delta c_{4,\text{Zemach}}^{pl} = (4\pi\alpha)^2 m_p \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^4} G_E^{(0)} G_M^{(2)} \,.$$

 c_4 , Spin-dependent effects (polarizability): $\mathcal{O}(m_\mu \alpha^5 imes rac{m_\mu^2}{\Lambda_v^2} imes \ln m_\pi)$



Figure: Symbolic representation (plus permutations) of the spin-dependent polarizability correction.

$$\delta c_{4,pol}^{pl} = -\frac{ig^4}{3} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{k^4 - 4m_l^2 k_0^2} \left\{ A_1(k_0, k^2)(k_0^2 + 2k^2) + 3k^2 \frac{k_0}{m_p} A_2(k_0, k^2) \right\}$$

 c_4 , Spin-dependent effects (polarizability): $\mathcal{O}(m_\mu \alpha^5 imes rac{m_\mu^2}{\Lambda_v^2} imes \ln m_\pi)$



Figure: Symbolic representation (plus permutations) of the spin-dependent polarizability correction.

$$\delta c_{4,pol}^{pl} = -\frac{ig^4}{3} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{k^4 - 4m_l^2 k_0^2} \left\{ A_1(k_0, k^2)(k_0^2 + 2k^2) + 3k^2 \frac{k_0}{m_p} A_2(k_0, k^2) \right\}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4 x \, e^{iq \cdot x} \langle p, s | T J^{\mu}(x) J^{\nu}(0) | p, s \rangle \,,$$

which has the following structure ($\rho = q \cdot p/m$):

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^{2}}\right) S_{1}(\rho, q^{2}) \\ + \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \left(p^{\mu} - \frac{m_{\rho}\rho}{q^{2}}q^{\mu}\right) \left(p^{\nu} - \frac{m_{\rho}\rho}{q^{2}}q^{\nu}\right) S_{2}(\rho, q^{2}) \\ - \frac{i}{m_{\rho}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}q_{\rho}s_{\sigma}A_{1}(\rho, q^{2}) \\ - \frac{i}{m_{\rho}^{3}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}q_{\rho}((m_{\rho}\rho)s_{\sigma} - (q \cdot s)p_{\sigma})A_{2}(\rho, q^{2})$$

2

$$\begin{split} \delta c^{pl_i}_{4,point-like} &= \frac{3+2c_F - c_F^2}{4} \alpha^2 \ln \frac{m_{l_i}}{\nu^2} \,. \\ \delta c^{pl_i}_{4,Zemach-u,d} &\simeq \frac{m_p^2}{(4\pi F_0)^2} \alpha^2 \frac{2}{3} \pi^2 g_A^2 \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{\nu^2} \,, \\ \delta c^{pl_i}_{4,Zemach-\Delta} &\simeq \frac{m_p^2}{(4\pi F_0)^2} \alpha^2 \frac{8}{27} \pi^2 g_{\pi N\Delta}^2 \ln \frac{\Delta^2}{\nu^2} \,, \\ \delta c^{pl_i}_{4,pol.-\Delta} &= \frac{b_{1,F}^2}{18} \alpha^2 \ln \frac{\Delta^2}{\nu^2} \,, \\ \delta c^{pl_i}_{4,pol.-\pi N} &= -\frac{m_p^2}{(4\pi F_0)^2} g_A^2 \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi} \frac{8}{3} C \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{\nu^2} \,, \\ \delta c^{pl_i}_{4,pol.-\pi \Delta} &= \frac{m_p^2}{(4\pi F_0)^2} g_{\pi N\Delta}^2 \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi} \frac{64}{27} C \ln \frac{\Delta^2}{\nu^2} \,. \end{split}$$

Only logarithmically chiral enhanced but they can be determined from hydrogen hyperfine splitting.

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{C}_{4,NR}^{pl} &\simeq \left(1 - \frac{\mu_p^2}{4}\right) \alpha^2 \ln \frac{m_l^2}{\nu^2} \\ &+ \frac{b_{1,F}^2}{18} \alpha^2 \ln \frac{\Delta^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{m_p^2}{(4\pi F_0)^2} \alpha^2 \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{7}{2\pi^2}\right) \pi^2 g_A^2 \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{\nu^2} \\ &+ \frac{m_p^2}{(4\pi F_0)^2} \alpha^2 \frac{8}{27} \left(\frac{5}{3} - \frac{7}{\pi^2}\right) \pi^2 g_{\pi N\Delta}^2 \ln \frac{\Delta^2}{\nu^2} \,, \end{split}$$

$$E_{\rm HF} = 4 \frac{c_{4,NR}^{\rho_{l_i}}}{m_\rho^2} \frac{1}{\pi} (\mu_{l_i\rho}\alpha)^3 \sim m_{l_i}\alpha^5 \frac{m_{l_i}^2}{m_\rho^2} \times (\ln m_q, \ln \Delta, \ln m_{l_i}).$$

Hydrogen. By fixing the scale $\nu = m_{\rho}$ we obtain the following number for the total sum in the SU(2) case:

 $E_{
m HF, logarithms}(m_
ho) = -0.031 \;
m MHz \, ,$

which accounts for approximately 2/3 of the difference between theory (pure QED) and experiment.

 $E_{
m HF}(QED) - E_{
m HF}(exp) = -0.046
m MHz.$

What is left gives the expected size of the counterterm. Experimentally what we have is $c_{4,NR}^{pl} = -47.7 \alpha^2$ and $c_{4,R}^{pl}(m_{\rho}) \simeq c_{4,R}^{\rho}(m_{\rho}) \simeq -16 \alpha^2$.

Muonic hydrogen.

 $\Delta E_{HF} \simeq -0.153 meV (Pachucki : -0.145)$

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{4}(-0.15)meV = -0.0375meV$$

Hydrogen. By fixing the scale $\nu = m_{\rho}$ we obtain the following number for the total sum in the SU(2) case:

 $E_{
m HF, logarithms}(m_
ho) = -0.031
m MHz$,

which accounts for approximately 2/3 of the difference between theory (pure QED) and experiment.

 $E_{\rm HF}(QED) - E_{\rm HF}(exp) = -0.046$ MHz.

What is left gives the expected size of the counterterm. Experimentally what we have is $c_{4,NR}^{\rho l} = -47.7 \alpha^2$ and $c_{4,R}^{\rho l}(m_{\rho}) \simeq c_{4,R}^{\rho}(m_{\rho}) \simeq -16 \alpha^2$.

Muonic hydrogen.

 $\Delta E_{HF} \simeq -0.153 meV (Pachucki : -0.145)$

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{4}(-0.15)meV = -0.0375meV$$

Hydrogen. By fixing the scale $\nu = m_{\rho}$ we obtain the following number for the total sum in the SU(2) case:

 $E_{
m HF, logarithms}(m_
ho) = -0.031
m MHz$,

which accounts for approximately 2/3 of the difference between theory (pure QED) and experiment.

 $E_{\rm HF}(QED) - E_{\rm HF}(exp) = -0.046$ MHz.

What is left gives the expected size of the counterterm. Experimentally what we have is $c_{4,NR}^{\rho l} = -47.7 \alpha^2$ and $c_{4,R}^{\rho l}(m_{\rho}) \simeq c_{4,R}^{\rho}(m_{\rho}) \simeq -16 \alpha^2$.

Muonic hydrogen.

 $\Delta E_{HF} \simeq -0.153 meV$ (Pachucki : -0.145)

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{4}(-0.15)meV = -0.0375meV$$