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Lattice - a **nonperturbative, gauge-invariant regulator** for QCD

- **Nielson-Ninomiya theorem** ⇒ chirally symmetric quarks missing, but can discretise quarks by trading-off some symmetries.

- In finite volume, $V = L^4$, finite d.o.f and path-integral is large but finite integral.

- **Wick rotation, analytic continuation** $t \rightarrow i\tau$, $-i\frac{S}{\hbar} \rightarrow \frac{i}{\hbar} S$

- Enables importance sampling ie Monte Carlo

- Lose direct access to **dynamical** properties of the theory like decay widths.
From 2001 [Ukawa, 2001: the “Berlin Wall”]

\[ C_{\text{flops}} \propto \left( \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{\rho}} \right)^{-6} \times L^5 \times a^{-7} \]

to 2009 [Giusti, 2006]

\[ C_{\text{flops}} \propto \left( \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{\rho}} \right)^{-2} \times L^5 \times a^{-7} \]

- dramatic improvements in scaling with quark mass [Hasenbusch ’01, Lüscher ’03,04].
- With fall in cost of CPU cores, simulations at physical quark masses possible.
Dynamical simulations with $N_f = 2$ or $2 + 1$

Large volumes, $L \geq 3\text{fm} \Rightarrow O(1\%)$ on $m_\pi$.

Light quark masses, now close to or at $m_\pi$. 

Lattice spacing, continuum extrapolations or scaling ($a \leq 0.05\text{fm}$).
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Plan
- spectroscopy: methods, challenges and solutions
- results: light and charm meson spectroscopy
- resonances: challenges and possible solutions
- recent results for light meson resonances
Spectroscopy
Spectroscopy - making measurements

Energy of a (colorless) QCD state extracted from a two-point function in Euclidean time, \( C(t) = \langle \phi(t) | \phi^\dagger(0) \rangle \).

- Inserting a complete set of states, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} C(t) = Z e^{-E_0 t} \).
- Observing the exponential fall of \( C(t) \) at large \( t \), the energy can be measured.
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- Inserting a complete set of states, $\lim_{t \to \infty} C(t) = Z e^{-E_0 t}$.
- Observing the exponential fall of $C(t)$ at large $t$, the energy can be measured.

**Excited state** energies from a matrix of correlators: $C_{ij}(t) = \langle \phi_i(t)|\phi_j^\dagger(0)\rangle$.

Solving a generalised eigenvalue problem $C(t_1)\mathbf{v} = \lambda C(t_0)\mathbf{v}$ gives

$$\lim_{(t_1-t_0) \to \infty} \lambda_n = e^{-E_n(t_1-t_0)}.$$
Lattice operators are **bilinears** with path-ordered products between quark and anti-quark fields; different offsets, connecting paths and spin contractions give different projections into lattice symmetry channels.

- Need ops with good overlap onto low-lying spectrum
- Good idea to smooth fields spatially before measuring: **smearing**
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  - Distillation [Hadron Spectrum Collab.]
  - Reduce the size of space of fields (on a time-slice) preserving important features.
  - **all elements** of the (reduced) quark propagator can be computed: allows for many operators, **disconnected diagrams** and **multi-hadron** operators.
  - combined with stochastic methods to improve volume scaling.
The “naive” spectroscopy of heavy and light mesons
As well as control of usual lattice systematics
\((a \to 0, L \to \infty, m_\pi \text{ realistic})\) need
- statistical precision at % percent level
- reliable spin identification
- heavy quark methods
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\((a \to 0, L \to \infty, m_q \sim m_\pi)\) need

- statistical precision at percent level
  - to include multi-hadrons and study resonances

- reliable spin identification
- heavy quark methods
statistical precision at percent level

- "distillation" - a new approach to simulating correlators. Particularly good for spectroscopy.
- Enables precision determination of disconnected diagrams, crucial for isoscalar spectroscopy.

large bases of interpolating operators now feasible, for better determination of excited states via variational method.
The “naive” spectroscopy of heavy and light mesons
As well as control of usual lattice systematics
\((a \to 0, L \to \infty, m_\pi \text{ realistic})\) need

- statistical precision at % percent level
- reliable spin identification
  - understanding symmetries and connection between lattice and continuum
  - designing operators with overlap onto \(^{j_{PC}}\) of interest.

- heavy quark methods
Reliable spin identification

- Continuum: states classified by irreps \( (J^P) \) of \( O(3) \). The lattice breaks \( O(3) \to O_h \).
- \( O_h \) has 10 irreps: \( \{A_1^{(g,u)}, A_2^{(g,u)}, E^{(g,u)}, T_1^{(g,u)}, T_2^{(g,u)} \} \)
- Continuum spin assignment then by subduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( J )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_1 )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_2 )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Design good operators: start from continuum, “latticize” \( D_{latt} \) for \( D \) continuum operators.
- These lattice operators subduced from \( J \) should have good overlap with states of continuum spin \( J \). Study overlaps \( (Z) \).
overlaps for $J^{--}$
16$^3$ lattice
$m_\pi \approx 700$ MeV.
The “naive” spectroscopy of heavy and light mesons
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($a \to 0, L \to \infty, m_\pi$ realistic) need

- statistical precision at % percent level
- reliable spin identification
- heavy quark methods
\( \mathcal{O}(a m_Q) \) errors are significant for charm and large for bottom. These sectors require particular methods.

**Relativistic actions**
- **Isotropic** \((a_s = a_t)\): needs very fine lattices. Working well for charm, extended to (nearly) bottom [arXiv:1010.3848].
- **Anisotropic** \((a_s \neq a_t)\): reduce relevant temporal \(a_t m_Q\) errors. Works well for charm (see later).

**Effective Theories**
- NRQCD: \(m_c\) not heavy enough? Good for bottomonium.
- Fermilab: works well but difficult to improve. Also works for bottomonium. [See talk by Mohler]

In general, \( \mathcal{O}(a m_Q) \) can be controlled and methods have been shown to agree.
Results
Results: Light Isovector Spectrum

Hadron Spectrum Collaboration, 2010
Recent isovector exotics compared with older results. Note the improvement in precision.
Results: Light Isoscalars

Hadron Spectrum Collaboration, 2011

- $16^3$ lattice ($\sim 2\text{fm}$), $m_\pi \approx 400\text{MeV}$
- Green/black bars - flavour mixing: note PS and axial. $\omega - \rho = 21(5)\text{ MeV}$
- Made possible by distillation.
Charmonium spectroscopy

Liu et al, Hadron Spectrum Collab. (Preliminary)

- Preliminary result; precision is < 1\% on S-waves.
- Ordering of states correct.
- Exotic (hybrids) determined.
Resonances
In quenched QCD all states are stable, not true in dynamical QCD - measure resonances.

**First Problem: A No-Go Theorem**

Maini-Testa $\Rightarrow$ matrix elements measured in Euclidean field theory do not contain information on strong decay widths.

**Solutions:**

- Lüscher ['86], changes to energy spectrum in a finite box as size of box changes $\Rightarrow$ information about widths (elastic region).
- Extension by Rummukainen & Gottlieb ['95].
- Alternative: Bernard et al['08], use binning algorithm to measure widths.
Resonances on a lattice

- On a lattice, extent $L$, with periodic b.c., momenta are: $p = \frac{2\pi}{L} (n_x, n_y, n_z)$, $n_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, L - 1\}$.
- Energy spectrum a set of **discrete** levels, classified by $p$

\[
E = \sqrt{m^2 + \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 N^2}, \quad N^2 = n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2.
\]

- Interactions modify the finite-volume energy spectrum.
- $E$ as a function of $L$ - avoided level crossings.
- Lüscher’s method relates spectrum in a finite box to scattering phase shift and so to resonance properties (in the elastic region).
The problem: resolve shifts in masses away from non-interacting values.
Follow-on problems:

- Naively, expect to see effects of multi-hadron states (with just $q\bar{q}$ operators).
- No effect observed so multi-hadron operators must be included.
- Notoriously difficult, due to statistical noise.
- Evidence that a large basis of these operators needed.
- In addition multiple volumes and/or momenta needed.
- Statistical precision to see energy shifts.

This is a difficult problem but it is being tackled!
A good test-ground: $l=2$ $\pi\pi$ scattering

Some results from 2010,11 only
A good test-ground: $I=2$ $\pi\pi$ scattering

Some results from 2010,11 only
ETMC’10, $\chi$-extrap $\pi\pi$ scattering

- $270 \text{ MeV} \leq m_\pi \leq 485 \text{ MeV}$.
- $m_\pi a^{I=2}_\pi\pi = -0.04385(28)(28)$
$I=2$ $\pi\pi$ scattering

HadSpec’10, $\pi\pi$ scattering

- $400 \leq m_\pi \leq 500$ MeV, multiple volumes, large operator basis.
- Little quark mass dependence (agreeing with other studies).
I=1 $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$ Resonance

Lang et al, arXiv.1105.5636

Observations:

- large basis of interpolating operators required.
- “distillation” improves all signals - particularly meson-meson signals.
ETMC: from fit to effective range formula: 
\[ m_\rho = 0.850(35) \text{GeV}, \Gamma_\rho = 0.166(49) \text{GeV}. \]

Lang et al: \[ g_{\rho \pi \pi} = 5.13(20), m_\rho = 792(7)(8). \]

little pion mass dependence in \( g_{\rho \pi \pi} \).

[ETMC’10, Feng et al] Encouraging recent results
Spectroscopy

- Dynamical simulations are here: large volumes, fine lattices, light quarks.
- Precision analysis of isoscalar and isovector spectra, including exotic and crypto-exotic states possible.

Expect to see more plots like those I have shown!
Summary and Prospects

Spectroscopy
- **Dynamical simulations** are here: large volumes, fine lattices, light quarks.
- Precision analysis of isoscalar and isovector spectra, including exotic and crypto-exotic states possible.

  Expect to see more plots like those I have shown!

Resonances
- Until recently, practically impossible.
- New tools in place to extract resonance information and early studies are promising.
- Expect to see many more results in the next 5 years.
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**Resonances**
- Until recently, practically impossible.
- New tools in place to extract resonance information and early studies are promising.
- Expect to see many more results in the next 5 years.

**Entering a Golden Age of lattice spectroscopy??**