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## 'parameters' of a lattice computation

$\bullet$discretised charm \& light quark actions

- various varieties available (clover, Fermilab scheme, domain-wall, overlap, staggered, HISQ, ...)
- lattice spacing $a$ (might be differing $a_{s}, a_{t}$ ) - $a \rightarrow 0$ desired
- certain actions designed to speed this approach, e.g. domain-wall fermions: $X(a)=X(0)+O\left(a^{2}\right)$
- current lowest $\sim 0.06 \mathrm{fm}$ - perform extrapolations
- mass of light \& strange quarks 'in the sea', $m_{q, s}-m_{q} \rightarrow m_{q}^{\text {phys }} \sim 0$ desired
- dynamical lattices, current lowest $m_{\pi} \sim 200 \mathrm{MeV}$
- quenched lattices neglect these quarks altogether
volume of spatial lattice box, $L^{3}-L \rightarrow \infty$ desired
- sensitivity to this depends upon the states under study
- inclusion of disconnected diagrams (OZI)
- usually just connected diagrams - effects probably small


## which quantities can be computed?

"easy" \& approaching maturity:

- spectrum of states below open charm decay threshold
- leptonic decay constantsrelatively "easy" but only recently begun:
- radiative transitions between charmonium states
- two-photon decays of charmonium states"hard":
- hadronic decays of charmonia
- accurate determination of state masses above threshold when they can decay


## lightest five states

:
have been studied the most
relatively easy to extract

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{f} \mathcal{O}_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{N} \frac{\langle 0| \mathcal{O}_{f}|N\rangle\langle N| \mathcal{O}_{i}|0\rangle}{2 m_{N}} e^{-m_{N} t}
$$

- use simple interpolators
- can get masses and leptonic decay constants
relative ease of computation means can devote effort to dealing with lattice systematics many groups have worked on this - no time to summarise them all
- a single recent example: Phys.Rev.D75:054502,2007 (HPQCD \& UKQCD)
- highly improved action (small effect in extrapolating $a \rightarrow 0$ )
- fine dynamical lattice $a \sim 0.09 \mathrm{fm}, m_{\pi} \sim 250 \mathrm{MeV}$
- decay constant analysis is underway (C.Davies private communication)


## higher spectrum

$\bullet$
higher spectrum results not at the same level of 'minimised' lattice systematics need larger set of interpolating fields (to get spin $\geq 2$ and exotics)

- e.g. derivative based operators

```
立\Gamma\psi
```

recent study in quenched lattice QCD

- somewhat improved Clover action
- anisotropic lattice action $a_{s}=3 a_{t}$
- establish if sophisticated analysis method can extract multiple excited states from lattice correlators


## excited states

- 

an example of the difficulty in analysisthe charmonium vector channel below and close to threshold:

3770

3686
near deg. states are tough to fit
a
a cubic lattice
$37703^{--}$
3686

3097

$$
T_{1}^{--}=(1,3,4 \ldots)^{--}
$$need a reliable excited state extraction procedure

- variational method utilises the orthogonality of states


## excited states

first results are promising
arXiv:0707.4162v1 [hep-lat] 27 Jul 2007
Charmonium excited state spectrum in lattice QCD


## $P C=--$

## [quenched \& a $=0$ 0]
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## $P C=++$

## [quenched \& a $=0$ 0]




## PC = +-

## [quenched \& a $=0$ 0]



## $P C=-+$

## [quenched \& a $=0$ 0]


naive analysis puts
states in lowest spin

other lattice studies claim a $\mathrm{I}^{-+}$near 4300 MeV

## $P C=-+$

[quenched \& a $=0$ 0]

equally plausible to assign the lightest state to be non-exotic $4^{-+}$
exotic

then the exotic $\mathrm{I}^{-+}$is heavier ( $>4600 \mathrm{MeV}$ )

## radiative transitions

- 

real photon transitions $\left(Q^{2}=0\right)$
lattice method will yield transition form-factors (at multiple $Q^{2}$ )
will extrapolate back to $Q^{2}=0$
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## radiative transitions

$\bullet$
extract from three-point functions involving the vector current

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(t_{f}, t ; \vec{p}, \vec{q}\right)= & \sum_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}} e^{-i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} e^{i \vec{q} \cdot \vec{y}}\left\langle\varphi_{f}\left(\vec{x}, t_{f}\right) j^{\mu}(\vec{y}, t) \varphi(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle \\
\sim \sum_{n, m} e^{-E_{f_{n}}\left(t_{f}-t\right)} & \langle 0| \varphi_{f}(0)\left|f_{n}(\vec{p})\right\rangle \\
& \times\left\langle f_{n}(\vec{p})\right| j^{\mu}(0)\left|i_{m}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})\right\rangle \\
& \times\left\langle i_{m}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})\right| \varphi_{i}(0)|0\rangle e^{-E_{i_{m}} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

overlaps and energies come from the spectrum analysis (two-point functions)matrix element related to the decay width

- e.g. $\mathrm{J} / \psi \rightarrow \eta_{c} \gamma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\eta_{c}\left(\vec{p}^{\prime}\right)\right| j^{\mu}(0)|\psi(\vec{p}, r)\rangle=\frac{2 V\left(Q^{2}\right)}{m_{\eta_{c}}+m_{\psi}} \epsilon^{\mu \alpha \beta \gamma} p_{\alpha}^{\prime} p_{\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma}(\vec{p}, r) \\
& \Gamma\left(\psi \rightarrow \eta_{c} \gamma\right)=\alpha_{\mathrm{em}} \frac{|\vec{q}|^{3}}{\left(m_{\eta_{c}}+m_{\psi}\right)^{2}} \frac{64}{27}|\hat{V}(0)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $J / \Psi \rightarrow \eta_{c} Y$ transition

statistically most precise channel, but very sensitive to the hyperfine splitting which is not correct on this quenched lattice ( $\delta m_{\text {lat. }} \approx 80 \mathrm{MeV}, \delta m_{\text {expt. }} \approx 117 \mathrm{MeV}$ )

the Crystal Ball experimental value needs confirmation ${ }^{Q^{2}\left(G e V^{2}\right)}$
all eyes turn to Matt Shepherd \& Ryan Mitchell at CLEO

## $X_{c 0} \rightarrow J / \Psi \gamma E I$ transition



## | P $\rightarrow$ IS transitions

fit form inspired by potential models with spin-dependent corrections

$$
E_{1}\left(Q^{2}\right)=E_{1}(0)\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{Q^{2}}{16 \beta^{2}}}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{c 0} \rightarrow J / \psi \gamma_{E 1} \\
& \beta=542(35) \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \rho=1.08(13) \mathrm{GeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{c 1} \rightarrow J / \psi \gamma_{E 1} \\
& \beta=555(113) \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \rho=1.65(59) \mathrm{GeV}
\end{aligned}
$$


simplest quark model has all $\beta$ equal and $\rho\left(X_{c 0}\right)=2 \beta, \quad \rho\left(X_{c l}\right)=\sqrt{ } 2 \cdot \rho\left(X_{c 0}\right), \quad \rho\left(h_{c}\right) \rightarrow \infty$

## two-photon decays

this is non-trivial in Euclidean lattice QCD

- the photon is not an eigenstate of QCD
- how do we 'make' one on the lattice
- solution is to realise that it is a suitable sum of QCD eigenstates
- like a 'vector dominance' picture
- exactly expressed in the LSZ reduction of field theoryexplained carefully in Ji \& Jung PRL86, 208 \& Dudek \& Edwards PRL97, 17200 I
$\left\langle\gamma\left(q_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \gamma\left(q_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right) \mid M(p)\right\rangle=$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\lim _{\substack{q_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow q_{1} \\
q_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow q_{2}}} \epsilon_{\mu}^{*}\left(q_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \epsilon_{\nu}^{*}\left(q_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right) q_{1}^{\prime 2} q_{2}^{\prime 2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i q_{1}^{\prime} \cdot y+i q_{2}^{\prime} \cdot x}\langle 0| T\left\{A^{\mu}(y) A^{\nu}(x)\right\}|M(p)\rangle \\
\rightarrow e^{2} \epsilon_{\mu}^{(1) *} \epsilon_{\nu}^{(2) *} \int d^{4} y e^{-i q_{1} \cdot y}\langle 0| T\left\{j^{\mu}(0) j^{\nu}(y)\right\}|M(p)\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

- the 'extra' integral becomes a sum of a correlator over timeslices on the lattice


## two-photon decays

- integrand is peaked and can be summed
- result is the form-factor for $\eta_{c} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^{*}$
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## What can lattice do for the onia?

:a lot, in principle but it is a long way behind established techniques like potential models many lattice groups calculate charmonium spectrabut not all are primarily interested in charmonium physics

- use 'precise' comparison with the lower part of the spectrum to'set the charm quark mass for D-meson flavor physics
smaller number of groups trying to compute quantities beyond the spectrum
new techniques take time to get working
- will initially not use "the best lattice systematics"
- US lattice groups have to beg for computing time every year
- decided by a committee of lattice QCD theorists
- explicit support from experimentalists is always helpful
- if you think we're computing the right quantities and want better calculations, please cite us


## Manke \& Liao

8
earlier study with similar operatorsless sophisticated analysis
somewhat heavier $\mathrm{I}^{-+}$reported


## lattice technique

fairly straightforward application of three-point correlators

- similar to pion, proton form-factor, $\mathrm{N} \leftrightarrow \Delta$... calculations
- compute three-point functions with sequential-source technology
- completely specify the sink (operator \& momentum)
- can insert any momentum

- obtain correlators at various values of photon $Q^{2}$


## radiative transitions

$:$
usually expressed in terms of multipoles covariant expressions can be derived

- e.g. $\mathrm{X} \subset 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi \gamma$
$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\chi_{c 0}\left(\vec{p}_{\chi}\right)\right| V^{\mu}(0)\left|\psi\left(\vec{p}_{\psi}, r\right)\right\rangle=\Omega^{-1}\left(Q^{2}\right) & \left(E_{1}\left(Q^{2}\right)\left[\Omega\left(Q^{2}\right) \epsilon^{\mu}\left(\vec{p}_{\psi}, r\right)-\epsilon\left(\vec{p}_{\psi}, r\right) \cdot p_{\chi}\left(p_{\chi} \cdot p_{\psi} p_{\psi}^{\mu}-m_{\psi}^{2} p_{\chi}^{\mu}\right)\right]\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{C_{1}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{Q^{2}}} m_{\psi} \epsilon\left(\vec{p}_{\psi}, r\right) \cdot p_{\chi}\left[p_{\chi} \cdot p_{\psi}\left(p_{\chi}+p_{\psi}\right)^{\mu}-m_{\chi}^{2} p_{\psi}^{\mu}-m_{\psi}^{2} p_{\chi}^{\mu}\right]\right)\end{aligned}$
- the multipole form-factors can be obtained from the three-point functions as an overconstrained linear problem
- need the E's and Z's from two point function fits
- deals with all the data at a given $Q^{2}$ simultaneously - in principle can simultaneously extract excited state transitions

$$
\Gamma\left(p_{f}, p_{i} ; t\right)=\sum_{n} P\left(p_{f}, p_{i} ; t\right) \cdot K_{n}\left(p_{f}, p_{i}\right) \cdot f_{n}\left(Q^{2}\right)
$$

$$
P=\frac{Z_{f} Z_{i}}{4 E_{f} E_{i}} e^{-E_{f} t_{f}} e^{-\left(E_{i}-E_{f}\right) t}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma(a ; t) \\
\Gamma(b ; t) \\
\Gamma(c ; t)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P(a ; t) K_{1}(a) & P(a ; t) K_{2}(a) \\
P(b ; t) K_{1}(b) & P(b ; t) K_{2}(b) \\
P(c ; t) K_{1}(c) & P(c ; t) K_{2}(c)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## first results

!
quenched, anisotropic lattice
$a_{s}=0.1 \mathrm{fm}, \xi=3.0,12^{3} \times 48$
domain wall fermions ( $L_{5}=16$ )

- charm quark mass tuning is not perfect (5\% low)ground state to ground state transitions only
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## $X_{c ı} \rightarrow J / \Psi \gamma$ transition

$\bullet$
derived the covariant multipole decomposition

$$
\left\langle A\left(\vec{p}_{A}, r_{A}\right)\right| j^{\mu}(0)\left|V\left(\vec{p}_{V}, r_{V}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{i}{4 \sqrt{2} \Omega\left(Q^{2}\right)} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(p_{A}-p_{V}\right)_{\sigma} \times
$$

$\times E_{1}\left(Q^{2}\right)\left(p_{A}+p_{V}\right)_{\rho}\left(2 m_{A}\left[\epsilon^{*}\left(\overrightarrow{p_{A}}, r_{A}\right) \cdot p_{V}\right] \epsilon_{\nu}\left(\overrightarrow{p_{V}}, r_{V}\right)+2 m_{V}\left[\epsilon\left(\vec{p}_{V}, r_{V}\right) \cdot p_{A}\right] \epsilon_{\nu}^{*}\left(\vec{p}_{A}, r_{A}\right)\right)$
$+M_{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)\left(p_{A}+p_{V}\right)_{\rho}\left(2 m_{A}\left[\epsilon^{*}\left(\overrightarrow{p_{A}}, r_{A}\right) \cdot p_{V}\right] \epsilon_{\nu}\left(\overrightarrow{p_{V}}, r_{V}\right)-2 m_{V}\left[\epsilon\left(\overrightarrow{p_{V}}, r_{V}\right) \cdot p_{A}\right] \epsilon_{\nu}^{*}\left(\vec{p}_{A}, r_{A}\right)\right)$
$+\frac{C 1\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{q^{2}}}\left(-4 \Omega\left(Q^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\nu}^{*}\left(\vec{p}_{A}, r_{A}\right) \epsilon_{\rho}\left(\vec{p}_{V}, r_{V}\right)\right.$
$\left.\left.+\left(p_{A}+p_{V}\right)_{\rho}\left[\left(m_{A}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}+q^{2}\right)\left[\epsilon^{*}\left(\vec{p}_{A}, r_{A}\right) \cdot p_{V}\right] \epsilon_{\nu}\left(\vec{p}_{V}, r_{V}\right)+\left(m_{A}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}-q^{2}\right)\left[\epsilon\left(\vec{p}_{V}, r_{V}\right) \cdot p_{A}\right] \epsilon_{\nu}^{*}\left(\overrightarrow{p_{A}}, r_{A}\right)\right]\right)\right]$.

- $E_{l}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ - electric dipole - experimentally measured at $Q^{2}=0$$M_{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ - magnetic quadrupole - experimentally measured (via photon angular dependence) at $Q^{2}=0$$C_{l}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ - longitudinal - goes to zero at $Q^{2}=0$this lattice $\delta m\left(X_{c l}-J / \Psi\right)$ close to experiment, so small phase-space ambiguity


## $X_{c l} \rightarrow J / \Psi \gamma$ transition

no $Q^{2}<0$ points owing to kinematical structure of matrix element
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