Recent results on Vus from KLOE, KTeV and NA48

Venelin Kozhuharov

University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski" / JINR Dubna

Heavy Quarks and Leptons 2006

19.10.2006



- CKM matrix
- Extraction of Vus
- Results
- Conclusion

CKM matrix

 Connects the weak interactions eigenstates with the quark flavour eigenstates

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Vud & Vus & Vub \\ Vcd & Vcs & Vcb \\ Vtd & Vts & Vtb \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

- The oldest known element: Vus Cabibbo angle
- In the absence of new physics (fourth generation) the matrix is unitary

CKM matrix: Unitarity

- First raw: $|Vud|^2 + |Vus|^2 + |Vub|^2 = 1 + \delta$
- PDG 2004 data
 - Vud = 0.9738(5)
 from super-allowed 0+ -> 0+ nuclear transitions
 - Vus = 0.2195(25) from KI3 decays
 - Vub = 0.00367(47) negligible contribution to the unitarity relatio

 $\delta = (3.5 \pm 1.5)^* 10^{-3}$

with uncertainty from Vus of 0.0010

• More information desirable

Determination of Vus: KI3



- $Br(Ke3(\gamma))$ the experimentally measured branching
- $-\tau_{\kappa}$ kaon lifetime
- C²=1 for K₁ and C²=1/2 for K[±]
- I_k mode and form-factor dependent phase space integrals
- $f_{+}^{\kappa_{\pi}}(0)$ form factor value at t=0
- = S_{EW}^{-1} , δ_{K}^{-1} , δ_{SU2}^{-1} = short- and long- distance radiative corrections and SU2 breaking corrections

Determination of Vus

Ratio of kaon and pion leptonic decays



where

- $f\pi$ and f_{κ} are the pion and the kaon decay constants
- δ_{EM} = 0.0070±0.0035 arrising from the radiative corrections
- Hyperon semileptonic decays
 - Analogous to the kaon semileptonic decays
 - Discussed in details in the talk of Rainer Wanke



 The interplay between three experiments in the last years contributed to the measurement of Vus and the understanding of the CKM unitarity



<u>Kaon lifetime</u>

- KL lifetime two new measurements by KLOE
 - Measurement using $K_{I} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ decays
 - Measurement using all main decay modes



PDG2004	51.5±0.4
KLOE KL branchings	50.72±0.37
KLOE – 3pi0	50.92±0.30



<u>Kaon lifetime</u>

- KS lifetime:
 - Most precise measurements by NA48 and KTeV already existing in 2004
 - Using the PDG value $\tau_s = 0.8958(5) * 10^{-10} s$

• K+- lifetime



Discrepancies between the different measurements

Preliminary result by KLOE presented at Moriond-2006: $\tau_{K\pm} = (1.2336\pm0.0078)*10^{-8}s$ waiting for a final result

Using the PDG result

Form factor measurements

- Parametrizations: $f_{+(t)} = f_{+(0)} (1 + \lambda' \frac{t}{m_{\pi}^2} + \frac{\lambda''}{2} \frac{t}{m_{\pi}^2}) = f_{+(0)} (\frac{M_V^2}{M_W^2 t})$
- Using 5.6*10⁶ events NA48 has performed a measurement of the KLe3 form-factors

Data – MC comparison for λ +=0.0288 and no quadratic term



- The analysis doesn't show evidence for the presence of quadratic term
- This result was in contradiction with the measurement of KTeV

Form factor measurements

The phase space integrals depend on the parametrization of ulletthe form-factors

KLe3 form factors	λ'+	λ "+	Mass the pole [MeV]
NA48 – 2004	0.0280±0.0024	0.0004 ± 0.0009	859 ± 18
KTeV – 2004	0.02167±0.0020	0.0029 ± 0.0008	881±7.1
KLOE – 2006	0.0255±0.0018	0.0014 ± 0.0008	870 ± 9.2



The recent measurements from KLOE are closer to the NA48 results

Phase space integrals

- The phase space integrals depend on the parametrization of the form-factors. Different values for the form factors give different values for the phase space integrals for K⁰e3
 - Using new calculations by KTeV collaboration diminish the model dependency:

 $I_{K0e3} = 0.10262(32)$

- Between the pole parametrization from KLOE ($I_{K0e3} = 0.1032(2)$) and linear from NA48 ($I_{K0e3} = 0.1034(6)$) and quadratic from KTeV ($I_{K0e3} = 0.1023(7)$
- K⁰µ3: using the KTeV quadratic form-factor parametrization $I_{K0\mu3} = 0.06777(53)$
- K[±]e3: using ISTRA form factor measurements I_{K±e3} = 0.1061(8)

Theoretical inputs

• First estimate of f+(0) done by Leutwyler and Roos in 84 χ PT calculation

 $f_{+}^{K_{0}\pi_{-}}(0) = (0.961 \pm 0.008)$ $f_{+}^{K_{+}\pi_{0}}(0) = 1.022 * f_{+}^{K_{0}\pi_{-}}(0)$

- New estimation within χ PT at the order of p⁶ give higher values (J. Bijnens, P. Talavera and V.Cirigliano) f_{+}^{K0\pi-}(0) = (0.974 \pm 0.012)
- Latice QCD result is consistent with the "classical" estimation $f_{+}^{K0\pi^{+}}(0) = (0.960 \pm 0.009)$
- More information on that topic is definitely desirable
- S_{EW}=1.023 Short distance EW corrections calculated by Marciano&Sirlin
- $\delta_{su2} = 0.046 \pm 0.004 by Cirigliano et.al and Leutwyler and Roos$

NA48: KLe3 branching fraction

Method: normalizing to all two track events



NA48/2: K[±]e3 branching fraction

- Measuring $Br(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} ev)/Br(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0})$
- Preliminary result:

Br(K[±]
$$\rightarrow \pi^0 e_V$$
) = (5.14 ± 0.02_{stat} ± 0.06_{syst})%

Confirms the deviation from PDG observed by BNL



<u>Preliminary result for $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu \nu$ </u>

 $Br(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu \nu) = (3.462 \pm 0.071)\%$

Final results on the $Br(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} ev)$ and $Br(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu v)$ expected soon

K₁3 Branching Fractions: KTeV

• Measure all six largest decay modes in terms of five branching fractions:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma_{K\mu3}/\Gamma_{Ke3} \equiv \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu \right) / \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu \right) \\ & \Gamma_{+-0}/\Gamma_{Ke3} \equiv \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0} \right) / \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu \right) \\ & \Gamma_{000}/\Gamma_{Ke3} \equiv \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0} \right) / \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu \right) \\ & \Gamma_{+-}/\Gamma_{Ke3} \equiv \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \right) / \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu \right) \\ & \Gamma_{00}/\Gamma_{000} \equiv \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0} \right) / \Gamma \left(\mathsf{K}_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0} \right) \end{split}$$

 Using the constraint that the remaining width is only 0.03% to convert into branchings

 $BR(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu) = 0.4067 \pm 0.0011$

 $BR(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu) = 0.2701 \pm 0.0009$

K₁3 Branching Fractions: KTeV

Data – MC comparisons



KI3 Branching Fractions: KLOE

Measured all major KL decay modes



 $BR(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi e\nu) = 0.4007 \pm 0.0015$ $BR(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu) = 0.2698 \pm 0.0015$



 $BR(K_{s} \rightarrow \pi ev) = (7.046 \pm 0.091) * 10^{-2}$

Preliminary results for the charged semileptonic decays

$$BR(K^{\pm} \to \pi^{0}ev) = (5.047 \pm 0.043)*10^{-2}$$
$$BR(K^{\pm} \to \pi^{0}\mu v) = (3.310 \pm 0.048)*10^{-2}$$

Kaon semileptonic decays: Summary

Experiment	<u>Decay</u>	<u>Result</u>	
KLOE	K _L e3	0.4007 ± 0.0015	K _L lifetime
	Κ _ι μ3	0.2698 ± 0.0015	$(5.10\pm0.02) * 10^{-8}$ s
	K _s e3	(7.046 ± 0.091)*10 ⁻²	V lifatima
	K⁺e3	$(5.047 \pm 0.043)^{*}10^{-2}$	$\int_{S} 1100000000000000000000000000000000000$
	K⁺µ3	$(3.310 \pm 0.048)^{*}10^{-2}$	(0.0950 ± 0.0005) 10 8
KTeV	K _L e3	0.4067 ± 0.0011	K [±] lifetime
	Κ _ι μ3	0.2701 ± 0.0009	$(1.2385\pm0.0024) * 10^{-8}s$
NA48	K _L e3	0.4022 ± 0.0031	
	K⁺e3	(5.14 ± 0.06)*10 ⁻²	
	K⁺µ3	(3.462 ± 0.071)*10 ⁻²	

Putting the things together





Vus from kaon semileptonic decays



 $|Vus|^2 + |Vud|^2 = 0.9989 \pm 0.0010$

Vus from Kµ2 decay

• New result from KLOE for the $Br(K\mu 2)$

 $Br(K\mu 2(\gamma)) = 0.6366 \pm 0.0009_{stat} \pm 0.0015_{syst}$

Latice calculations give

 $f_{\rm K} / f_{\pi} = 1.198(3)(^{+16}_{-5})$ (MILC Coll. PoS (LAT 2005) 025,2005)

- $|Vus| / |Vud| = 0.2294 \pm 0.0026$
- $|Vus| = 0.2234 \pm 0.0026$ consistent with the results from K13





- NA48, KTeV and KLOE experiments continue to provide a valuable data
- Vus has been obtained using two different methods giving consistent values

 $|Vus| = 0.2245 \pm 0.0015$

- The value of Vus is consistent with unitarity of CKM matrix
- However more clarification on the KI3 form factors desirable
- Further improvement on the Vus measurement depends on the theory