Science outreach on NIF: possibilities for astrophysics experiments

Presentation to the SABER workshop, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, March 15-16, 2006



Bruce A. Remington Group Leader, HED Program Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

We are implementing a plan for university use of NIF





BAR_SBER_talk.ppt;2

Intro

Three university teams are starting to prepare for NIF shots in unique regimes of HED physics



Astrophysics - hydrodynamics



Planetary physics - EOS



Nonlinear optical physics - LPI



Paul Drake, PI, U. of Mich. David Arnett, U. of Arizona, Adam Frank, U. of Rochester, Tomek Plewa, U. of Chicago, Todd Ditmire, U. Texas-Austin LLNL hydrodynamics team

Raymond Jeanloz, PI, UC Berkeley Thomas Duffy, Princeton U. Russell Hemley, Carnegie Inst. Yogendra Gupta, Wash. State U. Paul Loubeyre, U. Pierre & Marie Curie, and CEA LLNL EOS team Chan Joshi, PI, UCLA Warren Mori, UCLA Christoph Niemann, UCLA NIF Prof. Bedros Afeyan, Polymath David Montgomery, LANL Andrew Schmitt, NRL LLNL LPI team

Astrophysics Supernova experiments on NIF will address the core penetration discrepancy in SN1987A





- Does He shell breakup allow core spikes to escape?
- Are differences in 3D vs 2D spike velocities important?
- How do 3D perturbations on multiple interfaces interact?
- How does the initial perturbation spectrum affect the late-time evolution?

[Courtesy of R. Paul Drake et al.]

Astrophysics

The SN team is designing a divergent RT experiment for NIF to address these SN issues





- Mass-scaled to SN with representative Core/He, He/H, and H/ISM interfaces
- Designed to address issues of: multi-interface interaction, divergence, initial conditions, 3D vs 2D, turbulent vs non-turbulent instability evolution, code validation
- Can be scaled up in size, energy, and complexity, as NIF evolves

Astrophysics IF has already demonstrated nonlinear, shock driven, 3D hydrodynamics experiments









[Brent Blue et al., PRL 94, 095005 (2005); PoP 12, 056313(2005)]

Planetary phys.

High pressure experiments on NIF will address key questions regarding the internal structure of Jupiter





Key Questions:

- Equation of state near the planetary isentropes
- Location of the insulating-conducting transition
- Melt line at high pressure
- Existence of a plasma phase transition (PPT)
- Does He and H₂ phase separate in Jupiter/Saturn



[Courtesy of Raymond Jeanloz et al.]

Planetary phys.

Coupling NIF with DAC targets will enable key measurements of planetary interior states





- Pre-compression is the only way to study dense He+H₂ mixtures
- The PPT accessed with pre-compressions > 1 GPa
- Melt curve maximum accessed with pre-compressions ~ 30 GPa
- Ramp wave compression may allow planetary core conditions to be accessed

[Courtesy of Raymond Jeanloz et al.]

Planetary phys.

The NIF VISAR diagnostic and pulse shape have been demonstrated for possible shock EOS experiments





[Courtesy of Raymond Jeanloz et al.]

BAR_SBER_talk.ppt;9

Nonlin. opt'l

Nonlinear interactions of intense laser beams in large scale plasmas is a scientific challenge of considerable importance





[Courtesy of Andy Schmitt & **Bedros Afeyan**

 Filamentation strongly affects the initiation and evolution of SRS and SBS backscatter, as well as beam pointing

Nonlin. opt'l NIF will allow experiments probing the interaction of multiple beams in large scale plasmas over a wide range of (n_e,T_e)

Early time:

driver beams

driver

beams

explode foil



- HYDRA simul's show that high plasma temperatures ($T_e = 3-6$ keV) and densities ($n_e = 0.1$ critical) can be achieved
- Crossing beams will allow non-resonant effects on SRS backscatter to be studied with good speckle statistics
- NIF's versatile pulse-shaping will greatly expand the design space for exploding foil targets



Nonlin. opt'l

The FABs and NBI diagnostics have already measured angularly resolved scattered energy with high precision on NIF



800

600

400

200



1.2 kJ SBS out side lenses 153 J in lenses

130 J SRS out side lenses38 J SRS in lenses

(High temperature hohlraum target experiment)

[Glenzer et al., Nucl. Fusion 44, S185 (2004)]

[Courtesy of Chan Joshi et al.]





• Test out new ideas, develop new techniques, on smaller facilities, such as Janus, Trident, Titan, Vulcan, Helen, Cornell X-pinch, Nevada Terawatt Facility, Magpie, ...

Example: Collins, Jeanloz et al., laser-DAC experiments on Vulcan

• Promising ideas move to major HED facilities, such as Omega, Z/ZR, Saturn, LIL, ... for demonstration on "big machines":

Examples of Omega / NLUF:

"Optical Mixing Controlled Stimulated Scattering Instabilities," Bedros B. Afeyan et al.

"Recreating Planetary Core Conditions on Omega," Raymond Jeanloz et al.

"Experimental Astrophysics on the Omega Laser," R. Paul Drake et al.

- Those experiments requiring high energy "conclusion points" then propose to NIF
- Informal coordination between the facilities appears possible

BAR_SBER_talk.ppt;14

HED laboratory astrophysics allows unique, scaled testing of models of some of the most extreme conditions in the universe

- Stellar evolution: opacities (eg., Fe) relevant to stellar envelopes; Cepheid variables; sellar evolution models; OPAL opacities
- Planetary interiors: EOS of relevant materials (H₂, H-He, H₂0, Fe) under relevant conditions; planetary structure - and planetary formation - models sensitive to these EOS data
- Core-collapse supernovae: scaled hydrodynamics demonstrated; turbulent hydrodynamics within reach; aspects of the "standard model" being tested
- Supernova remnants: scaled tests of shock processing of the ISM; scalable radiative shocks within reach
- Protostellar jets: relevant high-M-# hydrodynamic jets; scalable radiative jets, radiative MHD jets; collimation quite robust in strongly cooled jets
- Black hole/neutron star accretion disks: scaled photoionized plasmas within reach
- Our goal: to be *citius, altius, fortius, sapientius* for laboratory astrophysics [Roger Blandford, keynote address, HEDLA-04]













