SUSY WG Summary Report: Tools and Experimental Aspects



2005 International Linear Collider Physics and Detector Workshop and Second ILC Accelerator Workshop Snowmass, Colorado, August 14-27, 2005

> Alexei Safonov (UC Davis)



ILC Physics: Ingredients of Success

- SUSY "navigation" tools
 - Navigate in the enormous SUSY parameter space, account for existing low energy and cosmological constrains
 - Cross-sections, SUSY mass spectra,...
- Precision MC event generators
 - Optimize analyses and probe sensitivity
- Detector simulation
 - Provide realistic picture of the detector performance
- Tools to interpret new discoveries
 - Not enough to measure masses and couplings, our goal is understanding the complete structure of SUSY

SUSY "Navigation" Tools

- SUSY mass spectra calculations:
 - Several tools available on the market
 - Isajet (Baer, Paige, Protopopescu, Tata)
 - SuSpect (Djouadi, Kneur, Moultaka)
 - SoftSUSY (Allanach)
 - <u>SPheno</u> (Porod)
 - Ideally, differences are a ~ measure of theoretical uncertainty
- Tools to check compatibility of a given SUSY model with the existing data:
 - Dark Matter constraints, (g-2), BR's, EW data:
 - Neutdriver, DarkSUSY, <u>micrOMEGAs</u>, <u>Isatools</u>
 - Many private codes: SSARD (Olive), Drees, Roszkowski ...
- Importance of standardized interface (LHA)

Mass Spectra Calculators (see talk by W. Porod for details)

- Masses:
 - SoftSusy, SUSPECT
 have same structure as
 SPheno
 - Differences are due to approximations in calculation of gauge and¹²⁰ Yukawa couplings and SUSY masses
 - ISAJET: different strategy: decoupling of SUSY parameters at different scales
- Decays: excellent agreement with ISAJET and SDECAY



Mass Spectra Calculators

- Compare @ SUSY focus point region:
 - <u>http://cern.ch/kraml/comparison/</u>

m0 = 3000 m12 = 400 A0 = 0 tan(be) = 30 sgn(mu) = 1 mt = 175 mb = 4.214 alphas(MZ) = 0.1172

Sparticle	Isajet	Softsusy	Spheno	Suspect	(max-min)	diff[%]
nt_1	133.95	168.69	170.17	168.75	36.22	22.58
nt_2	184.62	313.11	328.49	312.40	143.87	50.54
nt_3	190.95	424.85	584.81	421.49	393.86	97.12
nt_4	362.67	452.42	596.05	449.88	233.38	50.16
ch_1	168.47	312.61	328.63	311.87	160.16	57.12
ch_2	354.16	452.33	597.12	449.77	242.96	52.44
omega	2.47e-02	8.96e+00	2.66e+01	8.56e+00	2.65e+01	240.65

Mass Spectra Calculators

- Differences on the previous slide cannot be interpreted as uncertainty
 - Large difference in relic density is a derivative of differences in spectra
- *Baer & Porod:* "Differences are due to different approaches of running RGE's"

- Work in progress to resolve this

• While there is a need to understand these differences in better detail, one important conclusion:

"Always specify soft SUSY breaking parameters at the electroweak scale"

Calculations of DM Density

(see talks by A. Belyaev, G. Belanger for details)

- Strong evidence for dark matter
- CMB (WMAP) gives precise information on the amount of dark matter
- Most attractive explanation for dark matter: new weakly interacting particle, for example those present in R-parity conserving SUSY model
- WMAP measurement strongly constrains models of cold dark matter in particular supersymmetric models
- Need for a precise and accurate computation of the relic density of dark matter
- New developments:
 - Isatools (new package), MicrOmega v2.0 (beyond MSSM)

Dark Matter: New Developments (see talks by G. Belanger, A. Belyaev for details)

- MicroOmega v2.0:
 - Calculation of the DM relic density in any generic model given spectra and couplings
 - NMSSM example fully implemented
 - UED and Warped Xtra-Dim are under development
 - Next step tools for direct DM observation
 - Pair of DM particles annihilate, products signal for dark matter
 - Positrons from neutralino annihilation in the galactic halo, photons from neutralino annihilation in center of galaxy, neutrinos from neutralino in sun
 - Module being finalized: photons
 - "hard" photons from loop processes
 - Continuum from pair of neutalinos into b,t,W,Z,h...
- IsaSUSY new tool for Isajet:

- Calculations of relic density, muon (g-2), BF(b \rightarrow s γ , Bs $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ Bd $\rightarrow \tau\tau$),

MC Event Generators: Old School (see talk by H. Baer for details)

- Popular Multi-purpose MC generators:
 - Isajet (Baer, Paige)
 - Herwig (Marchesini, Webber)
 - (S)Pythia (Sjostrand, Mrenna)
- Different fragmentation/hadronization models provide important complementarities
- Most $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes incorporated for ep/ee/pp collisions
- Many improvements, e.g. proper handling of tau polarizations, beam/brem-strahlung in Isajet
- Next challenge: combine NLO ME with Parton Showering algorithms
 - Need to avoid double counting
 - Selected successes, but no consistent framework

MC Event Generators: New Generation

(see talk by J. Reuter for details)

- Precision measurements require precision tools:
 - Spins of new particles, mass measurements, couplings
 - Radiative corrections, off-shell contributions, spin correlations
 - SM and SUSY backgrounds: in general no factorization for signal/background
- "General purpose" generators offer limited help
- New generators solve many of these obstacles:
 - and the old ones like CompHEP/CalcHEP package
 - Helas/(S)Madgraph/MadEvent K. Hagiwara, F. Maltoni, T. Plehn, D. Rainwater, T. Stelzer
 - A Q wHiZard/O'Mega W. Kilian, T. Ohl, J. Reuter
 - Amegic++/Sherpa
 - T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, T. Laubrich, S. Schumann, C. Semmling, J. Winter

Detector Issues

(see talks by M. Battaglia, Z. Zhang, S. Hillert for details)

- Detector coverage
 - Missing PT measurement (cracks, forward region)
 - Veto gamma-gamma background (far forward calorimeter)
- Lepton-ID
 - Reconstruction of low momentum tracks
 - Electron/muon identification
 - Tau identification (VTX tag?)
 - Full reconstruction of tau decays for detailed stau study
- Jet reconstruction
 - Jet energy resolution with PFA
 - b-tag and c-tag capability
 - Jet charge determination

Real needs can only be understood by doing simulation-based analysis using representative benchmark points

Selected Detector Issues

(see talk by Z. Znang for details)

• Stau co-annihilation region (favored by WMAP) Benchmark point D from Battaglia et al, hep-ph/0306219:

 $[M_{1/2}=525GeV, m_0=101GeV, \tan\beta=10, \mu<0, m_{\chi}=212GeV, m_{s\tau}=217GeV, \Omega_{\rm DM}h^2=0.09]$

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow stau^+ stau^- \rightarrow \chi^0 \tau^+ \chi^0 \tau^-$ • Very soft taus in the final state $-\Delta m \sim 5 \text{ GeV}$



- Enormous backgrounds $ee \rightarrow ee \tau \tau$
- Can be effectively suppressed only by tagging spectator e (very forward electron)



Selected Detector Issues (see talk by S. Hillert for details)

 In some cases, knowledge of the charge of a jet can significantly improve sensitivity





- Algorithm selects displaced tracks assigned to the tagged b-jet to calculate charge of the b-jet
 - Significant dependence on the position of the closest silicon layer (and thus the beampipe radius)
- Similar algorithm for c-jets work in progress
 - A. Safonov "SUSY Summary Part II" SUSY WG report, August 27



Detector Study Benchmarks

- LCC and Detector benchmarks have been proposed
- SUSY WG has discussed a set of BP for detector studies
 - Better suited for synergy studies (LHC/ILC/cosmology/EW)
 - Pragmatic approach: reinterpretation for points already studied (e.g. SPS)
- Final choice to be determined in the coming weeks
- Full GEANT simulation important, but should start with simplified MC studies (not very different from e.g. fast MC)
- Already have people interested in this work:
 - J. Proulx and Colorado group, A. Belyaev, A. Birkedal, G. Pauletta, ...
- Need more people. Please volunteer!

Summary

- ILC SUSY Perspective:
 - ILC will play a decisive role in understanding and interpreting expected LHC discoveries
 - ILC is a unique machine to understand the nature of dark matter
- Tools/Phenomenology many important developments:
 - New and improved tools in traditional MC packages
 - New generation of MC generators (spin, off-shell contributions etc.)
 - Techniques for interpreting LHC/ILC data are gaining pace
- Study of SUSY benchmark points is a critical issue:
 - Some already have been worked out (e.g. SPA1a)
 - Time to close the circle for others
 - These have an outmost importance for <u>detector design</u>

Many Thanks to...

- The organizers of this Workshop for creating this excellent opportunity
 - Special thanks to U. Nauenberg, M. Oreglia, M. Peskin for their support and help
- All people who have contributed to the work of the SUSY WG
 - Special thanks to H. Baer, G. Belanger, W. Porod, P. Zerwas and many others for working with us on the benchmark points
- Other conveners of the SUSY WG for the great collaborative spirit and their hard work
 - S. Belyaev, J. Kalinowski, S.Y. Choi, K. Kawagoe