KURIKI Masao

Positron Source Assessment Guideline

KURIKI Masao (KEK)

- Assessment framework
- Scoping
- Obligations
- Summary





Assessment Framework

- ▲ Three systems were presented in this WS/WG.
- Among them, we have to decide the base line and option(s) if necessary.
- ▲ First of all, we have to agree in the basic structure of the assessment.
- ▲ We have to decide our scope : what we decide, what we assess.

KURIKI Masao

WG3a Flow Chart



KURIKI Masao

Assessment Flow Chart



4



SDRs



- SDR(System Design Report) describe alternative systems.
- They were already presented in this WG. They might be modified through the discussion.
- ▲ SDRs provide materials to write the draft report. SDRs are then Draft of Draft.

KURIKI Masao

Scoping



- ▲ In scoping, what we decide and assess, is determined.
- Scoping will be done in this talk including our discussion.



Draft Report

- Draft report includes the description of the systems, related experimental and theoretical efforts, and scopes.
- All proposed system are assessed in each item which is determined by the scoping.
- Draft report summarizes the result of this initial phase of the assessment, but no conclusion.
- The draft report is initiated by the conveners in the next week, and finalized by including the people's opinions and study results.



Final Report

- Final Report describes everything what we did in this assessment.
- In the final report, a synthetic decision is made for the base line and option(s) by summarizing the results of the analytic studies and the people's opinions.
- ▲ This report is really finalized after several iterations of people's discussions.
- ▲ A part of this report is going to be BCD.

Scoping

- ▲ What we decide:
 - A baseline design of ILC positron source.
 - Option(s) if a system was superior to others and the reliability is likely to be confirmed in future.
- ▲ What we assess: items
 - Risk: Possibility that the system does not work by some reason. Assess for each case.
 - Property: Ability which the system can achieve. Assess all systems.

Open Issue 1

- ▲ L-band warm structure 1ms operation : U , LC and Cv.
- ▲ Target damage : Cv.
- ▲ Radiation damage on target : U,LC
- ▲ Thermal load of the capture section: Cv.
- ▲ *Damage by the operation failure : U* (MPS)
- ▲ Damage or failure by the beam break up : U
- ▲ Degrade the electron beam quality: U
- Positron Stacking in DR : LC

CV: Conventional **U**: Undulator **LC**: Laser Compton

Open issue 2

- A e beam stability in Compton Ring: LC
- ▲ Vacuum pumping : U
- ▲ Stability of integration of optical cavity : LC
- ▲ Radiation loss, heat load in DR : LC
- ▲ Fast Kicker operation with large kick angle for DR injection : U-and Cv-(DR)
- ▲ Mechanical failure on the rotation target: Cv and U

Property Items 1

- ▲ Cost
- Reliability : Frequency of accidental operation failure.
- ▲ Availability : Fraction of the working time to the operation time. Recovering time, failure time, etc.
- ▲ Stability : How stable can the system provide e+.
- Maintainability : How long, how frequent, how difficult.



Property Items 2

- Commission time: How long to work.
- Operability : How difficult to operate. Fail-safe. Consequence for and from other system.
- Energy flexibility
- Redundancy: Can the system work when a part of the system is in trouble?
- ▲ What else?

Obligations 1

- Spokes-person (?) of each systems have obligations to answer all items.
- The results will be summarized by the conveners to make the draft reports.
- The item which can not be answered soon, is analyzed by the people's opinion anyhow.
- ▲ The conveners will make the draft report.
- ▲ The draft report have to be opened for the people.



Obligations 2

- The opinion will be collected by the conveners with an enough period.
- The conveners draft the final report after this process.
- Draft of the final report have to be opened for the people.
- The report is finalized during this discussion period by the conveners.

KURIKI Masao



Summary

- ▲ A frame work of the ILC positron source assessment is proposed.
- Four steps approach : SDR, Scoping, Draft report, and Final Report.
- For the scoping, risk items and property items are presented. Including our discussion, the scoping for the assessment will be done in this WG.
- ▲ BCD will be finished in this December.



Questions

- ▲ Is the assessment framework consistent to GDE process, since I am afraid of that we are fired.
- In the meantime, the spokesperson and the convener are partly overlapped. Do we need to form a different panel for this process?