

Higher Loops: Summary and Prospects

Impression and observations

George Sterman, Stony Brook

My perspective in the light of work with

Nikolaos Kidonakis Carola F. Berger, Tibor Kucs

Maria-Elena Tejeda-Yeomans & S. Mert Aybat

- Why so many loops?
- How we get away with perturbation theory in QCD
- Themes of this Loopfest
- What resummation says about singularities
- Concluding comments

No figures: please imagine loops as necessary

- Why so many loops?

- Coupling to the decoupled

All New Physics is embedded in Standard Model observables but only through values of observable parameters: M_W , α_s , etc. Effect of massive ($M_{\text{new}} \gg E_{\text{ext}}$) states is local

- Discovering the quantum mechanical stories

But final states are generally indistinguishable from standard model processes event by event.

At high enough energies ($E_{\text{ext}} \geq M_{\text{new}}$) these effects become nonlocal; producing deviations from Standard Model predictions

But only by precision in rates & distributions of Standard Model and New Physics signals can the nonlocality be quantified and New Physics discovered.

- **How we get away with perturbative QCD**

- **The problem for perturbation theory**

1. Confinement

$$\int e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[\phi_a(x) \dots] | 0 \rangle$$

**has no $q^2 = m^2$ pole for ϕ_a that
transforms nontrivially under color (confinement)**

2. The pole at $p^2 = m_\pi^2$

$$\int e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[\pi(x) \dots] | 0 \rangle$$

is not accessible to perturbation theory (χ SB etc., etc.)

- And yet we use infrared safety & asymptotic freedom:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^2 \hat{\sigma}_{\text{SD}}(Q^2, \mu^2, \alpha_s(\mu)) &= \sum_n c_n(Q^2/\mu^2) \alpha_s^n(\mu) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^p) \\
 &= \sum_n c_n(1) \alpha_s^n(Q) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^p)
 \end{aligned}$$

- What are we really calculating? PT for color singlet operators

– $\int e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[J(x)J(0) \dots] | 0 \rangle$ for color singlet currents

e^+e^- total, sum rules etc. “no scale” (Dixon)

- Another class of color singlet matrix elements:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int dx_0 \int d\hat{n} f(\hat{n}) e^{-iq \cdot y} \langle 0 | J(0) T[\hat{n}_i \Theta_{0i}(x_0, R\hat{n}) J(y)] | 0 \rangle$$

With Θ_{0i} the energy momentum tensor

- These are what we really calculate

“Weight” $f(\hat{n})$ introduces no new dimensional scale

Short-distance dominated if all $d^k f / d\hat{n}^k$ bounded

Individual final states have IR divergences, but these cancel in sum over collinear splitting/merging and soft parton emission because they respect energy flow

We regularize these divergences dimensionally (typically) and “pretend” to calculate the long-distance enhancements only to cancel them in infrared safe quantities

It is this intermediate step that makes the calculations tough, and is part [not all] of why higher-order calculations are hard!

The goals of experiment are remarkably similar – to control late stage interactions in calorimeters. J. Repond

- **Jet, event shape, energy flow observables**
(Tkachov 95, Korchemsky, Oderda, GS 96)
- **Light quarks ($m \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$): hadronization respects energy flow**
- **Parton-hadron duality**
- **Were it not for light quarks all of QCD would be NRQCD**
- **Analogies to calculations:**
 - * **Energy flow expectations \Leftrightarrow calorimetric measurements**
 - * **Event generators \Leftrightarrow multi-particle cross sections**

- But sometimes want to introduce new scales
say $(1 - T)Q$, mass of narrow jets in e^+e^- annihilation
- And anyway the formation of initial-state hadrons
is never short-distance . . .

- **Generalization: factorization**

$$Q^2 \sigma_{\text{phys}}(Q, m) = \omega_{\text{SD}}(Q/\mu, \alpha_s(\mu)) \otimes f_{\text{LD}}(\mu, m) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^p)$$

- μ = factorization scale; m = IR scale (m may be perturbative)
- New physics in ω_{SD} ; f_{LD} “universal”
- Deep-inelastic ($p = 2$), $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q} \dots$
- Exclusive decays: $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$
- Exclusive limits: $e^+e^- \rightarrow JJ$ as $m_J \rightarrow 0$

- Whenever there is factorization, there is evolution

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln \sigma_{\text{phys}}(Q, m)$$

$$\mu \frac{d \ln f}{d\mu} = -P(\alpha_s(\mu)) = -\mu \frac{d \ln \omega}{d\mu}$$

- Wherever there is evolution there is resummation

$$\ln \sigma_{\text{phys}}(Q, m) = \exp \left\{ \int_q^Q \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} P(\alpha_s(\mu')) \right\}$$

- Infrared safety & factorization proofs:

- (1) ω_{SD} incoherent with long-distance dynamics
- (2) Mutual incoherence when $v_{\text{rel}} = c$:
Jet-jet factorization Ward identities.
- (3) Wide-angle soft radiation sees only total color flow:
jet-soft factorization Ward identities.
- (4) Dimensionless coupling and renormalizability
 \Leftrightarrow no worse than logarithmic divergence in the IR:
fractional power suppression \Rightarrow finiteness

- **Summary for e^+e^- : factorization into universal jets + soft**

$$\sigma = \prod_{\text{jets } j} J_j(p_j) S_{\{j\}}$$

we'll come back to this

- Themes of this Loopfest

- A. Bringing new physics to the foreground in precision measurements matched with precision theory**

- Intrinsic theoretical uncertainties in the Standard Model can be smaller than those of extensions like SUSY. Why wait for experiment?
 - Venturing to higher loops in extensions of the Standard Model requires consistent treatment of renormalization in addition to calculational power.

- **Two loop Yukawa corrections in MSSM:**
 M_W and weak mixing. G. Weiglein, S. Heinemeyer
- **The high price of giving up custodial $SU(2)$**
in extensions of the Standard Model. T. Krupovnickas
- **Fermionic and bosonic corrections to weak mixing**
in the Standard Model. M. Awramik
- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ **corrections to $d\Gamma/dx$ for μ decay.** K. Melnikov
- **Exploration of EW corrections and uncertainties**
in M_W . U. Baur

- In QCD special requirements of $t\bar{t}$ near threshold resummations in α_s/v and $\alpha_s \ln v$: advances to NNLL/ ν NRQCD A. Hoang
- NNLO and NNLL in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$. $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ in coefficients and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ in anomalous dimensions. U. Haisch
- Qualitative advances of a few years ago are today's commonplace tools (requiring uncommon skill to use)
(approximate) Quote of the workshop: “Only a few diagrams, about 300.”
- The exploitation of advances in computing power

B. The background to New Physics: QCD corrections

analytic and numerical tracks

– Taming NNLO cross sections: how to use infrared safety?

* Subtractions and antennae:

Implementing soft-jet factorization

Organized into the number of “unresolved” partons

$$\sigma^{\text{NNLO}} = \int_{n+2} \left(d\sigma_{n+2}^{(0)} - d\alpha_{n+2}^{(0)} - d\gamma_{n+2}^{(0)} + d\beta_{n+2}^{(0)} \right) + \dots$$

$\alpha^{(0)}$, $\gamma^{(0)}$ **single and double-particle subtractions**

$\gamma^{(0)}$ **eliminates double counting** W. Kilgore, T. Gehrmann

Explicit NNLO subtractions for 3-jet cross sections in e^+e^- organized around color connections (antennae)

T. Gehrmann, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder

* Sector decomposition

F. Petriello

Utilize logarithmic bounds on singularities

$$PS = \prod_i \int d\lambda_i \lambda_i^{a_i \varepsilon} (1 - \lambda)^{b_i \varepsilon}$$

Chosen such that $|M|^2 \sim 1/\lambda_i$, to develop Laurent series:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{-\varepsilon} \delta(\lambda) + \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \right]_+ + \dots$$

**Transparent implementation of experimental cuts
consistent with infrared safety** Petriello, Melnikov

Another exploitation of computing capability

- * **Similar themes in GRACE evaluation of phase space integrals toward NLO QCD generator. Y. Kurihara**
- * **Semi-numerical calculations for virtual corrections to Higgs plus jets in heavy-top effective theory Laurent expansion (again). G. Zanderighi**

C. Advances at tree and NLO

What it looks like to one outsider: Degree of difficulty.

$$\text{Difficulty} = C \times E \exp [L/(1 + \mathcal{N})]$$

with E = number of external lines, L = number of loops
 \mathcal{N} = number of supersymmetries

- Progress in QED scattering generators. S. Yost, A. Lorca
- Multipurpose automated computation
D. Rainwater, K. Yoshimasa, A. Lorca
- Matching parton showers to NLO P. Skands, Z. Nagy

- **Recursive trees and the new analytic continuation: spinors, tree and loops. L. Dixon**

$$(k^\mu \sigma_\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

- * **Continuation of a story from the previous Loopfest**
- * **The newest features come from “on-shell analytic continuation”**

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - z\lambda_n$$

- * **Recursion in tree diagrams**
- * **Progress toward recursion at NLO**
- * **Ultimate role of twistor space not settled**

- **What resummation says about virtual corrections**
 - **Context: Breakthroughs in multiscale NNLO matrix elements, anomalous dimensions and amplitudes**
(Tausk, Smirnov, Anastasiou, Glover, Oleari Tejeda-Yeomans, Bern, De Freitas, Dixon, Gehrmann, Remiddi . . .)
 - **Progress in the resummation of logarithmic corrections to all orders in perturbation theory**
 - **Challenge of cross sections: especially with realistic cuts**
 - **Synergy between the two in this context?**
 - **Resummation is based on jet-soft-jet factorization with simplified color structure.**

- **The structure of elastic amplitudes in dimensional regularization**
 - **Partonic processes**

$$f \quad : \quad f_A(\ell_A, r_A) + f_B(\ell_B, r_B) \rightarrow f_1(p_1, r_1) + f_2(p_2, r_2) + \dots$$

$$f' \quad : \quad V(Q) \rightarrow f_1(p_1, r_1) + f_2(p_2, r_2) + \dots$$

- **Color tensor**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\{r_i\}}^{[f]} \left(\{\wp_j\}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) &= \mathcal{M}_L^{[f]} \left(\{\wp_j\}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) (c_L)_{\{r_i\}} \\ &\equiv \left| \mathcal{M}^{[f]} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- **Recursion relations in infrared structure**

(Catani 98, Tejeda-Yeomans GS (03), Bern Dixon Kosower (05))

- **Color tensor factorization**

$$\mathcal{M}_L^{[f]} \left(\wp_i, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = J^{[f]} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \\ \times S_{LI}^{[f]} \left(\wp_i, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) h_I^{[f]} \left(\wp_i, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right)$$

- **The factors . . .**

- An infrared safe coefficient h_I for each color tensor I
- Coherent virtual soft gluon exchange function S_{LI} :
interpolates short to long distance color tensors
- Product of “jets” collinear to external lines: color diagonal

- **The jet functions:**

$$J^{[f]} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \equiv \prod_i J_{(\text{virt})}^{[f_i]} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)$$

– **Definitions form** $1_{\text{singlet}} \leftrightarrow 2$: $J^{[i]} = J^{[\bar{i}]} = \sqrt{M^{[i\bar{i} \rightarrow 1]}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{[i\bar{i} \rightarrow 1]} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{-Q^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left[\mathcal{K}^{[i]}(\alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \mathcal{G}^{[i]} \left(-1, \bar{\alpha}_s \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\xi^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon, \right) \epsilon \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\xi^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2} \gamma_K^{[i]} \left(\bar{\alpha}_s \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- **Derived from factorization**
(Mueller 79, Collins-Soper, Sen 80)
- **Compare to fixed-order by re-expansion of α_s in D dimensions**
(Magnea-GS 91)
- **Anomalous dimensions \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{G} , $\gamma_K \leftrightarrow A$ available to 2, 2, 3 loops**
(Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt, Gehrmann, 2005)

- **The soft functions**

$$\mathbf{S}^{[f]} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \text{P exp} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{-Q^2} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{[f]} \left(\bar{\alpha}_s \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right) \right]$$

- **From evolution equation:** $\frac{d}{d \ln Q} S_{LI} = -\Gamma_{LJ}^{[f]} S_{JI}$
(Botts-GS 85, Kidonakis, Oderda-GS 98)
- **LL in soft \rightarrow NNLL overall:**
- **“The fifth form factor”** (Dokshitzer and Marchesini 08/05)
Relation of $t \rightarrow u$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$?

- What we know; what we need to know
 - Γ_S known at 1 loop, “available” at 2
 - **For γ_K to α_s^{n+1} , \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{G} , Γ_S to α_s^n : $1/\epsilon^P$, $P > 1$. $m \rightarrow m'$**
 - **For $1/\epsilon$ need only Sudakov form factor and Γ_S to α_s^{n+1}**
 - **Color evolution is entirely in the soft function. Could indicate simplifications in subtraction color structure.**
 - **Reproduces ϵ structure of QCD $2 \rightarrow 2$ amplitudes**
 - **A recent surprise, motivated by study of SYM and heroic calculation of 3 loop planar diagrams . . .**

- Recursive infrared structure of $2 \rightarrow 2$ at 3 loops

(Tejeda Yeomans GS (03), Bern, Dixon, Smirnov (05) [Maximal SYM])

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}^{[f(3)]}\rangle &= \boldsymbol{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}^{[f(2)]}\rangle + \boldsymbol{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}^{[f(1)]}\rangle \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{F}^{[f(3)]}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}^{[f(0)]}\rangle + |\mathcal{M}_{UV}^{[f(3)]}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

– where for example . . .

– **The coefficient of $|\mathcal{M}^{[f(0)]}\rangle$**

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}^{[f(3)]}(\epsilon) = & -\frac{1}{3} \left[\mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \right]^3 - \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \\
& - \left(\frac{\beta_0}{4\epsilon} \right)^2 \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(3\epsilon) + \left(\frac{\beta_0}{4\epsilon} \right) \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \right]^2 - \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(K + \frac{\beta_0}{2\epsilon} \right) \left[2\mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(3\epsilon) - \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(2\epsilon) \right] \\
& \left. + \mathbf{L}^{[f(2)]}(3\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}^{[f(2)]}(2\epsilon) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}^{[f(3)]}(3\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

– **All F's, L's on the right are combinations of γ_K to α_s^3 , \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{G} , Γ_S to α_s^2 , and $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$**

- **Concluding Comments**

- **Perturbative quantum field theory is vibrant, opportunistic and inspires total dedication. There seems no other way to get things right.**
- **The capabilities of experiment and theory are well matched and mutually inspiring.**
- **The field was advanced qualitatively by 2-loop computations and three-loop anomalous dimensions, and applications are still being found.**

- **Amazing (to me at least) advance in analytic results within the previous year,**
- **As well as in the power of numerical approaches.**
- **There is further potential for applications of resummation whose power is greatly enhanced by exact 2-loop results.**
- **Is it possible to combine the nominal flexibility of sector decomposition with physically-motivated subtraction formalism that makes use of the universality in final-state evolution?**

- The somewhat coarser resolutions and backgrounds at the LHC may paradoxically provide the time to fully realize the potential of techniques that are now being developed and reach fruition at a future (but not too far future) ILC