Test beam for muon detector





Marcello Piccolo SNOWMASS, August 2004

Anything peculiar for µ-detectors?

- The μ -system is part of an integrated calorimetric device.
- Should one shoot for a dedicated beam time ?
 - Answers from test beam run:
 - 1. Muon identification efficiency
 - 2. Hadron misidentification probability
 - 3. Energy leakage measurement.

#1 and #2 might give us info on performances of the system, however, full calorimetry would yield different answers (...and more relevant)

Anything peculiar for μ-detectors? (cont.)

- Should one have the cals in front of the muon identifier one could see many features:
 - Calorimetric capabilities
 - \square µ-identification with single µ
 - \square µ-identification in jets
 - Just superimposing single μ with single hadrons.

Detector specific questions

- Can be probably answered either by means of *non organized* efforts.
- Cosmic ray test stands and or (small) prototypes exposed to available beams could provide the sought out answers.
- Specific questions related to (quasi) full scale prototypes might even obtained in the *non organized* mode.

Proposed Detectors and specific R&D

- Scintillator (extruded) strips
 - Light yield vs. coordinate
 - Light yield vs. time (different conditions)
 - Timing and amplitude stability (vs. strip length)
 - Calorimetric read-out
- Gas Detectors
- Gas mixes studies
 - Life tests
 - Neutron sensitivity
- Local rate capability
 - Different regimes (bears also on first question)
 - Electrodes geometry
- Calorimetric readout
 - Here too geometry plays an important role.

Paris 2004 ALC Muon Detector Test Beam Plans (an example of organized effort, courtesy of G. Fisk)

Set-up: 7 scintillator planes 2.5m (H) X 5m (W) (3-u, 3-v, 1-x); ~ 600 strips 4.1 cm (W) X 1 cm (T); Read-out both ends of the 43 - 3.5m long strips (86 channels) and one end of 21 + 21 next longest strips for a total of 128 channels per plane. 4" Fe between planes. 7 planes => 896 channels previously tested with cosmic rays.

Objectives: Muons – Check timing, pedestals, pulse height, etc. for minimum ionizing particles. Measure efficiencies, (u,v) tracking, multiple hit capability, etc.

Hadrons: Measure calorimetry capabilities with other calorimeters upstream (utility as a shower tail-catcher).

Beam Conditions: E = few – 100 GeV; e, π , p, μ . Beam rate < 10⁶ Hz.

DAQ: FE will be custom development with FPGA logic and digitization, using CAMAC and LI NUX software debugged in cosmic ray running.

Dates: Earliest is probably late 2005.

Where: Fermilab - Mtest.

Marcello Piccolo

A first completely organized effort

- The DESY FermiLab NIU/NICADD groups broke grounds on tail catchers/µ-detectors
- Now within CALICE there is a muon device in the making.
- The design of the radiator is practically frozen.
- Detectors (sci-strips) are being built
- Electronics is being developed in the framework of the CALICE standard.

Goals for TCMT Prototype (court. from Jerry Blazey)

- Study tail end of hadronic shower and validate simulations
- Prototype detector for generic LCD
 - Promote both eflow and muon identification
 - Correct for leakage
 - Understand and address impact of coil
 - Control fake rates



Vitals for TCMT prototype (court. from Jerry Blazey

Mechanical Structure/Absorber

- "Fine" section (8 layers)
 - 2 cm thick steel
- "Coarse" section (8 layers)
 - 10 cm thick steel

16 Cassettes:

- Scintillator Strips
 - 5mm thick
 - 5cm wide strips
 - Tyvek/VM2000 wrapping
 - Alternating x-y orientation
- Readout
 - WLS Fiber
 - SiPM photo detection
 - Common readout with HCAL

Dimensions:

- Length (along beam) 142 cm
- Height 109 cm

Weight ~10 tons



Design complete Construction Starting Soon Thanks to Fermilab

Conclusions

- Test beams for μ-system should be seen as a concerted effort:
 - Muon detector should be considered a part of the calorimetric system for the detector.
- Apart from detector specific answers, that will be obtained in a *non organized* way, my opinion is that the calorimeter for the LC detector should be designed and tested as a single system.
- Some flexibility in the radiator design might allow to test different solutions both for segmentation and type of detectors.
- Target date might well be 2006.