Galaxies in the early Universe, Reionization and the History of Star Formation

Andrew Bunker

Exeter & IoA, Cambridge (UK)

Texas@Stanford, 14 Dec 2004

HUBBLE ULTRA DEEP VIEW







Reionization

At high-redshift, the Lyman- α forest can absorb most of the flux below $\lambda_{rf} = 1216$ Å. Indications from z=6.3SDSS QSO that Universe may be optically thick at z~6 (see talk by Fan). BUT confusing messages from WMAP CMB satellite: reionization z~10-30? (Kogut et al. 2003)





Kodaira et al. (2003) z=6.58 Ly-alpha galaxy (narrow-band)



Also: Hu et al. (2002) z=6.56, lensed by Abell 370 cluster Both use narrow-band filter in lowbackground region between sky lines, and follow-up spectra



Pello et al. (2004) z~10 lensed galaxy? Weatherly & Warren (2004) did not confirm emission line in data Bremer et al. (2004) could not confirm detection in new near-IR imaging Similar fate met previous high-z Lyalpha galaxy at z=6.6 (Chen et al. 1999)



The z~5 "Barrier"

"Hubble Deep Field" (Williams et al. 1996) contained only one galaxy confirmed to be at z > 5.5(Weymann et al 1998). Small field of view and choice of filters with WFPC2. CCDs inefficient at ~0.9-1.0 micron, which is Lyman-alpha and rest-frame UV continuum at z~6. -Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) on HST offered SDSS filters and good sensitivity at long wavelengths, coupled with better spectrographs on the ground (DEIMOS on Keck, and nod&shuffle technique with GMOS on Gemini to beat sky lines)

HUBBLE SPACE TELESCOPE

ഥ LO Pushing to higher ശ് ഗ ഥ 0.4 П Π redshift- Finding Ň N N ž Lyman break galaxies ž at z~6 with F775W 0.3 HST/ACS: Elizabeth 5 z=6 galaxy Stanway's PhD, using 😨 unsmi *i*-drops (Stanway, 0.2 Bunker & McMahon 2003); also GOODS F850LP team (Dickinson et al., Giavalisco et al. 0.1 2004) and Bouwens group (UCSC) and 0.0 Yan & Windhorst 7000 5000 6000 8000 9000 10000 (2004) $\lambda^{(obs)}$ / Å





By selecting on rest-frame UV, get inventory of ionizing photons from star colour formation. Stanway, Bunker Ñ & McMahon (2003 MNRAS) = selected z-drops 5.6<z<7 - but large luminosity bias to lower z. Contamination by stars and low-z ellipticals.



10-m Kecks

ESO VLTS

<image>

hoto @Neelon Crawford/Polar Fine Arts

The Star Formation History of the Univese

I-drops in the Chandra Deep Field South with HST/ACS Elizabeth Stanway, Andrew Bunker, Richard McMahon 2003 (MNRAS)





The Star Formation @z~6 with HST imaging and Keck & Gemini spectra Select i'-z'>1.5 and z'>25.6 (AB mags) with GOODS Corresponds to 15M_sun/yr at z=5.9 (luminosity-weighted centre of selection window) - prone to dust this is ~1L* of the star-forming Lyman break population at z~3-4 (Steidel et al.)

Survey about 200sq. arcmin (200,000 Mpc³)
each in 2 different GOODS fields, HDFN-N & CDFS-S
Numbers consistent: about 6 in each (after removing M/L/T dwarf low-mass stars, major contaminant)
Spectroscopic confirmation of 4 of these: see Lyman-α in emission in some (but not all). Using Keck/Deimos (with Richard Ellis) and Gemini/GMOS (GLARE project, with Karl Glazebrook, Bob Abraham etc., nod&shuffle)

Is the Universe at z~6 really forming fewer stars than at z~3?

-We only probe bright end of luminosity function: ~1L*(UV) at z~3, equivalent to 15M_sun/yr

- We try to make a fair comparison: impose exactly same selection at lower redshifts

- It seems clear that the Universe at z~6 was very different from z~3: if no evolution, would *predict 6x as many bright star forming galaxies at z~6 than we see!*

- Other groups make a correction for the faint galaxies they don't see. Depends crucially on the faint end slope of the luminosity function (α ~-1.1 locally, α =-1.6@z~3) - Need recent Ultra Deep Field to address total star formation, but we had proved *strong evolution*.







After era probed by WMAP the Universe enters the socalled "dark ages" prior to formation of first stars

Hydrogen is then re-ionized by the newlyformed stars

When did this happen?

What did it?



 $\frac{Implications for Reionization}{\dot{\rho}_{\rm SFR} \approx 0.013 f_{\rm esc}^{-1} \left(\frac{1+z}{6}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\Omega_b h_{50}^2}{0.08}\right)^2 C_{30} M_{\odot} \, {\rm yr}^{-1} \, {\rm Mpc}^{-3}}$

From Madau, Haardt & Rees (1999) -amount of star formation required to ionize Universe $(C_{30}$ is a clumping factor).

This assumes escape fraction=1 (i.e. all ionzing photons make it out of the galaxies)

Our UDF data has star formation at z=6 which is 3x less than that required! AGN cannot do the job.

We go down to $1M_sun/yr$ - but might be steep α (lots of low luminosity sources - forming globulars?)

Ways out of the Puzzle

- Cosmic variance
- Star formation at even earlier epochs to reionize *Universe* (*z*>>6)?
- Change the physics: different recipe for star formation (Initial mass function)?
- Even fainter galaxies than we can reach with the UDF?

100 Mpc 10 Mae 14.5 billion 14 billion years ago z = 1000years ago DAZLE - Dark Ages 'z' Lyman-alpha Explorer (IoA now 7 = 0Cambridge - Richard McMahon, Ian Parry; AAO -Joss Bland-Hawthorne

JAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE – successor to Hubble (2010)