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Photogrammetric System

- DCS460 and DCS660 cameras
- AICON software packages DPA-Win and 3D Studio
- Retro-reflective targets
- Carbon fibre scale bars




## CMS - Yoke End Cap

## 6 Yoke End Caps

$\rightarrow 12$ photogrammetric validations in Japan after preassembly

- Image acquisition: Japan, Evaluation: CERN
- Diameter: 14 m
- Thickness: 0.6 m or 0.25 m


Photogrammetric Project

- 108 Reference holes equipped
- Spherical targets for connection
- 24 Distance observations
- 12 Carbon fibre scale bars, length 1.4 m
- 12 Tape measurements, length 5 m-14 m
- 90 Images
- 3 hours


Decreasing Quality

## Problem: Decreasing quality with conventional calibration model

## Possible reason: Instability of interior orientation

- Mechanical influences by the user
- Hand-held shots
- Scaffolding, lifting device
- Effects of gravity
- Heating of the camera

Movement of Principle Point

## Test: Evaluation of movements of the principle point

- Circular object
- Diameter: 2 m
- Depth: 0.5 m
- Camera in front of wheel
- Rotated by 15 degrees around optical axis
$\rightarrow 24$ Images


Results

- Principle point not stable
- Movement elliptical
- Amplitude
- $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in x -direction
- $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in y -direction
$\rightarrow$ Effects of gravity
- Deformation camera body
- Movement of CCD sensor



## First Conclusions

Possible solution: calculate principle distance / principle point for each image

BUT for real objects:

- Lack of depth
- Unfavourable distribution of points
- Insufficient number of points
$\rightarrow$ Weak equation system


## $\rightarrow$ I mproved mathematical model for camera calibration required

## Extended Calibration Model

- Conventional calibration model extended by Institute of Applied Photogrammetry, University of Oldenburg (Germany)
- AlCON 3D Systems GmbH, Braunschweig (Germany)
- CERN


## Image-Variant Interior Orientation

## I mage-variant interior orientation

- Parameters introduced as observed unknowns
- A priori accuracy defined by user
$\rightarrow$ No weak equation system
$\rightarrow$ Smearing effects caused by correlations minimized


## Radial-symmetric distortion A1, A2, A3

## Finite Elements Correction Grid

## Finite elements correction grid

- Correction of
- Tangential-asymmetric distortion
- Affinity and shearing
- Sensor unflatness
- Raster-wise correction grid
- Correction as plane vector for each grid point
- Curvature constraints as pseudo observations
- A priori accuracy defined by user
$\rightarrow$ No weak equation system

Results - Interior Accuracy

- Enhancement of relative precision ~ 30 \%

| Calibration model | Conventional | Extended |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| RMS $_{\mathbf{X Y Z}}$ ( mm) | 0.18 | 0.12 |
| Relative precision <br> by interior accuracy | $1: 80000$ | $1: 110000$ |
| Sigma 0 a posteriori | 0.8 | 0.5 |

## Results - Variation of Interior Orientation

- A priori accuracy interior orientation: $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Variation of principle distance:
$200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Variation of principle point:
$140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in x -direction $90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in y -direction


- Raster-width of $2.35 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow 13: 9$
- A priori accuracy curvature constraints: $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Maximum length of correction vector: $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Tangential-asymmetric distortion



## Results - Exterior Accuracy

- 1 Long distance information for scale definition
- Remaining distance observation as external control
$\rightarrow 12$ Carbon fibre scale bars ( $<0.02 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \sigma$ )
$\rightarrow 12$ Long distance information ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \sigma$ )


|  | DPA-Win | FiBun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range | 4.56 mm | 0.85 mm |
| Stdev | 0.99 mm | 0.17 mm |

Distance Observation

- FiBun - Extended calibration model
-DPA-Win - Conventional calibration model


## Conclusions

## Project CMS Yoke End Cap, J apan

Extended calibration model
$\rightarrow$ Interior and exterior accuracy enhanced
$\rightarrow$ Possible careless handling by untrained operator

## Evaluation for different projects

Camera careful handled by photogrammetric experts Extended calibration model
$\rightarrow$ No clear conclusion possible
$\rightarrow$ Further investigation how results improve significantly
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