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#### Abstract

Applications of Cartan's equivalence method to symmetries of differential equations are considered. The examples include interrelations between the nonlinear acoustics equations, and the solutions of equivalence problems for the classes of linear parabolic equations and nonlinear wave equations.


## Introduction

In this work we consider applications of Élie Cartan's structure theory of Lie pseudo-groups to symmetry groups of differential equations. This approach allows to solve equivalence problems for classes of differential equations and to find contact transformations mapping equivalent equations into each other. Also, it allows to obtain all differential invariants of symmetry groups for differential equations without analysis of over-determined systems of partial differential equations.

Definition $1([\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{1 3}])$. A pseudo-group $\mathcal{G}$ on a manifold $M$ is a collection of local diffeomorphisms of $M$, which is closed under composition when defined, contains an identity and is closed under inverse. A Lie pseudo-group is a pseudo-group whose diffeomorphisms are local analytic solutions of an involutive system of partial differential equations.
Example 1. The pseudo-group of conformal transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ consists of local mappings $(x, y) \mapsto(X, Y)$ satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann equations $X_{x}=Y_{y}, X_{y}=-Y_{x}$.

É. Cartan developed an approach to study Lie pseudo-groups by means of exterior differential forms. He showed that for every Lie pseudo-group $\mathcal{G}$ acting on a manifold $M$ there exists a finitedimensional Lie group $H$ and a set of 1 -forms $\Omega=\left\{\omega^{1}, \ldots, \omega^{s}\right\}$ on a direct product $M \times H$ such that a transformation $\Delta: M \rightarrow M$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if the forms $\Omega$ are invariant under a lift $\hat{\Delta}: M \times H \rightarrow M \times H$. The forms $\Omega$ are called a moving coframe or tautological forms for the pseudo-group $\mathcal{G}$.
Example 1 (continued). For the pseudo-group of conformal transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ we can take the moving coframe $\omega^{1}=a d x-b d y, \omega^{2}=b d x+a d y$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times H$, where $H$ is a Lie group of non-degenerate matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & -b \\ b & a\end{array}\right)$.

Taking differentials of the tautological forms, we obtain Cartan's structure equations for the pseudo-group

$$
d \omega^{i}=A_{\alpha k}^{i} \eta^{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{k}+T_{j k}^{i} \omega^{j} \wedge \omega^{k}
$$

where $A_{\alpha k}^{i}$, as usual, are constants, the torsion coefficients $T_{j k}^{i}$ are invariants of the pseudo-group, and $\eta^{\alpha}$ are linear combinations of Maurer-Cartan forms for the group $H$ and the forms $\Omega$. Cartan gave an explicit, practical test for involutivity of moving coframes. The test deals with ranks of matrices constructed from $A_{\alpha k}^{i}$. For non-involutive coframes Cartan's prolongation procedure allows us to obtain an involutive coframe after a finite number of extensions of the group $H$.

Example 1 (continued). The structure equations for the pseudo-group of conformal transformations are $d \omega^{1}=\pi_{1} \wedge \omega^{1}-\pi^{2} \wedge \omega^{2}, d \omega^{2}=\pi_{2} \wedge \omega^{1}+\pi^{1} \wedge \omega^{2}$, where $\pi_{1}=(a d a+b d b)\left(a^{2}+\right.$ $\left.b^{2}\right)^{-1}+t_{1} \omega^{1}+t_{2} \omega^{2}, \pi_{2}=(-b d a+a d b)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{-1}-t_{2} \omega^{1}+t_{1} \omega^{2}$, while $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are free parameters.

Full details of Cartan's method can be found in [1-3,17].

## 1 Contact transformations

Using Cartan's method, we can find a moving coframe for the pseudo-group $\operatorname{Cont}\left(J^{1}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ of contact transformations on a bundle $J^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ of first-order jets of sections of $\mathcal{E}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In the local coordinates $\left(x^{i}, u^{\alpha}, p_{i}^{\alpha}\right), i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \alpha \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, a contact transformation $\Delta: J^{1}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow J^{1}(\mathcal{E}), \Delta:\left(x^{i}, u^{\alpha}, p_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \mapsto\left(\bar{x}^{i}, \bar{u}^{\alpha}, \bar{p}_{i}^{\alpha}\right)$, is defined by the requirement to map the contact forms $\vartheta^{\alpha}=d u^{\alpha}-p_{i}^{\alpha} d x^{i}$ into linear combinations of the contact forms: $\Delta^{*} \bar{\vartheta}^{\alpha}=$ $d \bar{u}^{\alpha}-\bar{p}_{i}^{\alpha} d \bar{x}^{i}=\zeta_{\beta}^{\alpha}(x, u, p) \vartheta^{\beta}$ for appropriate functions $\zeta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ on $J^{1}(\mathcal{E})$.

As it is shown in [14], we can take the following moving coframe for $\operatorname{Cont}\left(J^{1}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{\alpha}=a_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left(d u^{\beta}-p_{j}^{\beta} d x^{j}\right), \quad \Xi^{i}=c_{\beta}^{i} \Theta^{\beta}+b_{j}^{i} d x^{j}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{\alpha}=f_{i \beta}^{\alpha} \Theta^{\beta}+g_{i j}^{\alpha} \Xi^{j}+a_{\beta}^{\alpha} B_{i}^{j} d p_{j}^{\beta} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These forms are defined on $J^{1}(\mathcal{E}) \times H$, where $H$ is the Lie group of block lower triangular matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\
c_{\gamma}^{i} a_{\beta}^{\gamma} & b_{j}^{i} & 0 \\
\left(f_{i \gamma}^{\alpha}+g_{i k}^{\alpha} c_{\gamma}^{k}\right) a_{\beta}^{\gamma} & g_{i k}^{\alpha} b_{j}^{k} & a_{\beta}^{\alpha} B_{i}^{j}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and the parameters $a_{\beta}^{\alpha}, b_{j}^{i}, c_{\beta}^{i}, f_{i \beta}^{\alpha}$, and $g_{i j}^{\alpha}$ obey the requirements $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{\beta}^{\alpha}\right) \neq 0, \operatorname{det}\left(b_{j}^{i}\right) \neq 0$, $b_{k}^{i} B_{j}^{k}=\delta_{j}^{i}$, and $g_{i j}^{\alpha}=g_{j i}^{\alpha}$. It is easy to verify directly that $\Delta$ is a contact transformation whenever (1) is invariant under an action of a lift of $\Delta$ on $J^{1}(\mathcal{E}) \times H$. The structure equations for the lifted coframe (1) have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \Theta^{\alpha}=\Phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \Theta^{\beta}+\Xi^{k} \wedge \Sigma_{k}^{\alpha}, \quad d \Xi^{i}=\Psi_{k}^{i} \wedge \Xi^{k}+\Pi_{\gamma}^{i} \wedge \Theta^{\gamma},  \tag{2}\\
& d \Sigma_{i}^{\alpha}=\Phi_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \wedge \Sigma_{i}^{\gamma}-\Psi_{i}^{k} \wedge \Sigma_{k}^{\alpha}+\Lambda_{i \beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \Theta^{\beta}+\Upsilon_{i j}^{\alpha} \wedge \Xi^{j},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \Psi_{k}^{i}, \Pi_{\gamma}^{i}, \Lambda_{i \beta}^{\alpha}$, and $\Upsilon_{i j}^{\alpha}$ are appropriate 1-forms on $J^{1}(\mathcal{E}) \times H$. From these equations it follows that the moving coframe (1) is involutive. The structure equations (2) remain unchanged if we make the following change of the forms $\Phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \Psi_{k}^{i}, \Pi_{\gamma}^{i}, \Lambda_{i \beta}^{\alpha}$, and $\Upsilon_{i j}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mapsto \Phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}+K_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} \Theta^{\gamma}, \quad \Psi_{k}^{i} \mapsto \Psi_{k}^{i}+L_{k j}^{i} \Xi^{j}+M_{k \gamma}^{i} \Theta^{\gamma}, \\
& \Pi_{\gamma}^{i} \mapsto \Pi_{\gamma}^{i}+M_{k \gamma}^{i} \Xi^{k}+N_{\gamma \epsilon}^{i} \Theta^{\epsilon}, \\
& \Lambda_{i \beta}^{\alpha} \mapsto \Lambda_{i \beta}^{\alpha}+P_{i \beta \gamma}^{\alpha} \Theta^{\gamma}+Q_{i \beta k}^{\alpha} \Xi^{k}+K_{\gamma \beta}^{\alpha} \Sigma_{i}^{\gamma}-M_{i \beta}^{k} \Sigma_{k}^{\alpha}, \\
& \Upsilon_{i j}^{\alpha} \mapsto \Upsilon_{i j}^{\alpha}+Q_{i \beta j}^{\alpha} \Theta^{\beta}+R_{i j k}^{\alpha} \Xi^{k}-L_{i j}^{k} \Sigma_{k}^{\alpha}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}, L_{k j}^{i}, M_{k \gamma}^{i}, N_{\gamma \epsilon}^{i}, P_{i \beta \gamma}^{\alpha}, Q_{i \beta k}^{\alpha}$, and $R_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ are arbitrary functions on $J^{1}(\mathcal{E}) \times H$ satisfying the symmetry conditions $K_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}=K_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}, L_{k j}^{i}=L_{j k}^{i}, N_{\gamma \epsilon}^{i}=N_{\epsilon \gamma}^{i}, P_{i \beta \gamma}^{\alpha}=P_{i \gamma \beta}^{\alpha}, Q_{i \beta k}^{\alpha}=Q_{k \beta i}^{\alpha}$, $R_{i j k}^{\alpha}=R_{i k j}^{\alpha}=R_{j i k}^{\alpha}$.

Another approach to construction of 1-forms characterizing contact transformations is presented in [18].

## 2 Symmetries of differential equations

Symmetry groups of differential equations are sub-groups of pseudo-groups of contact transformations. Therefore Cartan's method of equivalence is applicable to finding tautological forms for these symmetry groups. We briefly outline this approach, $[4,14]$.

Every partial differential equation (PDE) can be transformed into an equivalent PDE of the first order by adding appropriate new variables, [20, th. 3.3.1], [6, § 17.4]. Therefore we take a PDE $\mathcal{R}$ of the first order. $\mathcal{R}$ is a sub-bundle in $J^{1}(\mathcal{E})$. Let $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{R})$ be the group of contact symmetries for $\mathcal{R}$. It consists of all the contact transformations on $J^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ mapping $\mathcal{R}$ to itself. Let $\iota: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow J^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ be an embedding. The tautological forms of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{R})$ are restrictions of the lifted coframe (1) on $\mathcal{R}$ : $\theta^{\alpha}=\iota^{*} \Theta^{\alpha}, \xi^{i}=\iota^{*} \Xi^{i}$, and $\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}=\iota^{*} \Sigma_{i}^{\alpha}$ (for brevity we identify the map $\iota \times i d: \mathcal{R} \times H \rightarrow J^{1}(\mathcal{E}) \times H$ with $\left.\iota: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow J^{1}(\mathcal{E})\right)$. The forms $\theta^{\alpha}, \xi^{i}$, and $\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}$ have some linear dependencies, i.e., there exists a non-trivial set of functions $S_{\alpha}, T_{i}$, and $U_{\alpha}^{i}$ on $\mathcal{R} \times H$ such that $S_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha}+T_{i} \xi^{i}+U_{\alpha}^{i} \sigma_{i}^{\alpha} \equiv 0$. These functions are lifted invariants of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{R})$. Setting them equal to appropriate constants allows us to specify some parameters $a_{\beta}^{\alpha}, b_{j}^{i}, c_{\beta}^{i}, f_{i \beta}^{\alpha}$, and $g_{i j}^{\alpha}$ of the group $H$ as functions of the coordinates on $\mathcal{R}$ and the other group parameters.

After these normalizations, some restrictions of the forms $\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}=\iota^{*} \Phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \psi_{k}^{i}=\iota^{*} \Psi_{k}^{i}$, and $\pi_{\beta}^{i}=\iota^{*} \Pi_{\beta}^{i}$, or some their linear combinations, become semi-basic, i.e., they do not include the differentials of the parameters of $H$. From (3), we have the following statements: (i) if $\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ is semi-basic, then its coefficients at $\sigma_{j}^{\gamma}$ and $\xi^{j}$ are lifted invariants of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{R})$; (ii) if $\psi_{k}^{i}$ or $\pi_{\beta}^{i}$ are semi-basic, then their coefficients at $\sigma_{j}^{\gamma}$ are lifted invariants of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{R})$. Setting these invariants equal to some constants, we get specifications of some more parameters of $H$ as functions of the coordinates on $\mathcal{R}$ and the other group parameters.

More lifted invariants can appear as essential torsion coefficients in the reduced structure equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \theta^{\alpha} & =\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}+\xi^{k} \wedge \sigma_{k}^{\alpha}, \quad d \xi^{i}=\psi_{k}^{i} \wedge \xi^{k}+\pi_{\gamma}^{i} \wedge \theta^{\gamma}, \\
d \sigma_{i}^{\alpha} & =\phi_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \wedge \sigma_{i}^{\gamma}-\psi_{i}^{k} \wedge \sigma_{k}^{\alpha}+\lambda_{i \beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}+v_{i j}^{\alpha} \wedge \xi^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After normalizing these invariants and repeating the process, two outputs are possible. In the first case, the reduced lifted coframe appears to be involutive. Then this coframe is the desired set of tautological forms for $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{R})$. In the second case, when the reduced lifted coframe does not satisfy Cartan's test, we should use the procedure of prolongation, [17, ch. 12].

Another approach to find structure equations, but not tautological forms, for symmetry groups of PDEs is given in $[12,13]$.

## 3 Interrelations between the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation and the short wave gas dynamics equation

Consider two equations: the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation, [23, ch. 3, § 5.3], [21], in the potential form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=u_{y}, \quad v_{y}=u_{t}+u u_{x}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the short wave gas dynamics equation, $[6, \S 23.4],[5]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=u_{y}, \quad v_{y}=u_{t}+(u+x) u_{x}+k u \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moving coframe method gives the following structure equations for the symmetry pseudogroup of (4):

$$
\begin{align*}
d \theta^{1}= & \eta_{1} \wedge \theta^{1}+\xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+\xi^{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}, \\
d \theta^{2}= & \frac{3}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \theta^{1}+\eta_{3} \wedge \theta^{1}+\xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{2}+\xi^{2} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \xi^{1}= & \eta_{2} \wedge \xi^{1}, \\
d \xi^{2}= & -\eta_{1} \wedge \xi^{1}+\eta_{1} \wedge \xi^{2}+\eta_{2} \wedge \xi^{2}-\eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{3}-\theta^{1} \wedge \xi^{1}, \\
d \xi^{3}= & \frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \xi^{3}+\eta_{2} \wedge \xi^{3}-2 \eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{1}, \\
d \sigma_{1}^{1}= & \eta_{1} \wedge\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma_{2}^{1}\right)-\eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+2 \eta_{3} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\eta_{4} \wedge \xi^{3}+\eta_{5} \wedge \xi^{2}+\eta_{6} \wedge \xi^{1}-\theta^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \sigma_{2}^{1}= & -\eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\eta_{5} \wedge \xi^{1}, \\
d \sigma_{3}^{1}= & \frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}-\eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\eta_{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\eta_{4} \wedge \xi^{1}+\eta_{5} \wedge \xi^{3}, \\
d \sigma_{1}^{2}= & \eta_{1} \wedge\left(\sigma_{3}^{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)-\eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{2}+\eta_{3} \wedge\left(3 \sigma_{1}^{1}+2 \sigma_{2}^{1}\right)+\eta_{4} \wedge \xi^{2}+\eta_{5} \wedge \xi^{3}+\eta_{6} \wedge \xi^{3} \\
& +\eta_{7} \wedge \xi^{1}-3 \theta^{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{1}= & 2 \xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{2}= & -3 \xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1},  \tag{6}\\
d \eta_{3}= & \frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{3}+\xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{4}= & \pi_{1} \wedge \xi^{1}+\pi_{2} \wedge \xi^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{4}-2 \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{4}+3 \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{5}-3 \sigma_{2}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{5}= & \pi_{2} \wedge \xi^{1}-2 \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{5}, \\
d \eta_{6}= & \pi_{1} \wedge \xi^{3}+\pi_{2} \wedge \xi^{2}+\pi_{3} \wedge \xi^{1}+2 \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{5}+\eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{6}-2 \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{6}+4 \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{4}+6 \sigma_{1}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{7}= & \pi_{1} \wedge \xi^{2}+\pi_{2} \wedge \xi^{3}+\pi_{3} \wedge \xi^{3}+\pi_{4} \wedge \xi^{1}+2 \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{4}+\frac{3}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{7}-2 \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{7}+4 \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{5} \\
& +5 \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{6}-\eta_{4} \wedge \theta^{1}+3 \eta_{5} \wedge \theta^{2}+3 \sigma_{1}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}-9 \sigma_{2}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The structure of symmetry pseudo-group of the equation (5) depends on the value of the parameter $k$. Particularly, if $k=-2$ or $k=-\frac{1}{2}$, the pseudo-group has exactly the same structure equations (6) but with different 1-forms $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}, \sigma_{1}^{1}, \sigma_{2}^{1}, \sigma_{3}^{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{7}$, $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{4}$. Therefore, in the cases $k=-2$ or $k=-\frac{1}{2}$ there exist transformations mapping equation (5) into equation (4).

For the other values of $k$ the structure equations for the symmetry pseudo-group of equation (5) have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \theta^{1}=\eta_{1} \wedge \theta^{1}+\xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+\xi^{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1} \\
& d \theta^{2}=\frac{3}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \theta^{1}+\eta_{2} \wedge \theta^{1}+\xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{2}+\xi^{2} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1} \\
& d \xi^{1}=0 \\
& d \xi^{2}=\eta_{1} \wedge\left(\xi^{2}-\xi^{1}\right)-\eta_{2} \wedge \xi^{3}-\theta^{1} \wedge \xi^{1}+E \xi^{1} \wedge \xi^{2} \\
& d \xi^{3}=\frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \xi^{3}-2 \eta_{2} \wedge \xi^{1}+E \xi^{1} \wedge \xi^{3} \\
& d \sigma_{1}^{1}=\eta_{1} \wedge\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma_{2}^{1}\right)+2 \eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{3}+\eta_{4} \wedge \xi^{1}-\theta^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+E \xi^{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
& d \sigma_{2}^{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \sigma_{3}^{1}= & \frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{1}-E \xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+E \xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \sigma_{1}^{2}= & \eta_{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\frac{3}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{2}+3 \eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+2 \eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{2}+\eta_{4} \wedge \xi^{3}+\eta_{5} \wedge \xi^{1} \\
& +\frac{1}{9} \theta_{1} \wedge \xi^{3}-3 \theta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+E \xi^{3} \wedge\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma_{2}^{1}\right), \\
d \eta_{1}= & 2 \xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{2}= & \frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2}-\frac{1}{9} \xi^{1} \wedge \xi^{3}+\xi^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+\xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{3}= & \pi_{1} \wedge \xi^{1}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{3}-3 E \eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\left(\frac{1}{9}-2 E^{2}\right) \xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}-3 \sigma_{2}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}, \\
d \eta_{4}= & \pi_{1} \wedge \xi^{3}+\pi_{2} \wedge \xi^{1}+\eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{4}-E \eta_{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+4 \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{3}+2 E \eta_{2} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}-E \eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{3} \\
& -E \theta^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}-E^{2} \xi^{2} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+\frac{2}{9} \xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+3 \sigma_{1}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1} \\
d \eta_{5}= & \pi_{1} \wedge \xi^{2}+\pi_{2} \wedge \xi^{3}+\pi_{3} \wedge \xi^{1}+2 \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{3}+\frac{3}{2} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{5}+E \eta_{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}+5 \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{4} \\
& +\frac{2}{9} \eta_{2} \wedge \theta^{1}-2 E \eta_{2} \wedge\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma_{2}^{1}\right)-\eta_{3} \wedge \theta^{1}-E \eta_{3} \wedge \xi^{2}-2 E \eta_{4} \wedge \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{9} E \theta^{1} \wedge \xi^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{4}{9}-E^{2}\right) \xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{1}+\left(\frac{2}{9}-E^{2}\right) \xi^{3} \wedge \sigma_{2}^{1}+3 \sigma_{1}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{3}^{1}-6 \sigma_{2}^{1} \wedge \sigma_{1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E=\frac{1}{3}\left(9 u_{x}+4 k+5\right)\left(2 k^{2}+5 k+2\right)^{-1 / 2}$. This function is an invariant of the pseudogroup. Since $d E=3 \sigma_{2}^{1}$, it follows that all the derived invariants are constants, and the classifying manifold of the pseudo-group, i.e., the manifold parameterized by differential invariants, is a line. Thus for every two equations (5) such that $(k+2)\left(k+2^{-1}\right) \neq 0$ the classifying manifolds of their symmetry pseudo-groups (locally) overlap. So these equations are equivalent under a contact transformation, [17, th. 15.12]. For example, we can take $k=0$ for one of the equations.

The knowledge of invariant 1 -forms defining the symmetry pseudo-groups allows us to find the transformations explicitly.

Theorem 1. If $k=-2$, then the transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{t}=t, \quad \tilde{x}=\exp \left(\frac{4}{3} t\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{6} y^{2}\right), \quad \tilde{y}=\exp \left(\frac{2}{3} t\right) y, \\
& \tilde{u}=\exp \left(\frac{4}{3} t\right)\left(u+\frac{1}{3} x-\frac{1}{18} y^{2}\right), \quad \tilde{v}=\mathrm{e}^{2 t}\left(v-\frac{1}{3} y u-\frac{2}{9} x y+\frac{2}{81} y^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

maps equation (4) into equation (5) written in the tilded variables. In the case $k=-\frac{1}{2}$ the transformation between (4) and (5) has the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{t}=t, \quad \tilde{x}=\exp \left(\frac{2}{3} t\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{12} y^{2}\right), \quad \tilde{y}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{3} t\right) y, \\
\tilde{u}=\exp \left(\frac{2}{3} t\right)\left(u-\frac{1}{3} x-\frac{1}{18} y^{2}\right), \quad \tilde{v}=\mathrm{e}^{t}\left(v-\frac{1}{6} y u-\frac{1}{18} x y+\frac{1}{324} y^{3}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{t}=\frac{(3 \lambda+1)(3 \lambda+2)}{2(2 \lambda+1)} t, \quad \tilde{x}=\frac{(2 \lambda+1) \mathrm{e}^{2 \lambda t}}{2(3 \lambda+1)(3 \lambda+2)}\left(4 x+\lambda^{2} y\right), \quad \tilde{y}=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t} y, \\
& \tilde{u}=\frac{(2 \lambda+1) \mathrm{e}^{2 \lambda t}}{2(3 \lambda+1)^{2}(3 \lambda+2)^{2}}\left(8(2 \lambda+1) u-\lambda(\lambda+1)\left(4 x-(5 \lambda+2) y^{2}\right)\right), \\
& \tilde{v}=\frac{(2 \lambda+1)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{3 \lambda t}}{(3 \lambda+1)^{3}(3 \lambda+2)^{2}}\left(24 v-12 \lambda(2 \lambda+1)(u+(\lambda+1) x)-\lambda^{2}(\lambda+1)(5 \lambda+2) y^{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{2}{9}\left(\left((k+2)\left(k+2^{-1}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}+k-1\right)$, maps equation (5) with $k \notin\left\{-2,-2^{-1}\right\}$ into this equation with $k=0$ (written in tilded variables).

## 4 Equivalence of linear parabolic equations

The similar but more complicate analysis gives the solution of the contact equivalence problem for the class of linear parabolic equations (LPEs), [11, V.3, p. 492-523], [19],

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=T(t, x) u_{t}+X(t, x) u_{x}+U(t, x) u \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

All these equations have infinite-dimensional symmetry pseudo-groups, whose structure depends on the coefficients of equations. For simplicity of notation we consider the following normal form $u_{x x}=u_{t}+H(t, x) u$, while the results are valid for the original equations as well. The solution of the equivalence problem in terms of the coefficients of equations (7) is given in [15].

Define the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & -\left(H_{x x x}\right)^{1 / 5}, \quad J_{1}=-\frac{2}{5} H_{x x x x}\left(H_{x x x}\right)^{-6 / 5}, \\
J_{2}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 I\left(J_{1, x} J_{1, t x}-J_{1, t} J_{1, x x}\right)-2 I_{t} J_{1, x}^{2}+I^{2} J_{1} J_{1, t} J_{1, x}\right) I^{-3} J_{1, x}^{-1}, \\
J_{3}= & -\frac{1}{8}\left(I^{4} J_{1}^{2} J_{1, t}^{2}-4 I I_{t t} J_{1, x}^{2}-8 I^{2} J_{1, x}^{2} H_{x x}+2 I^{3} J_{1, x} J_{1, t}^{2}+8 I_{t}^{2} J_{1, x}^{2}-2 I^{3} J_{1} J_{1, t} J_{1, t x}\right. \\
& \left.-2 I^{2} J_{1} I_{t} J_{1, t} J_{1, x}+2 I^{3} J_{1} J_{1, x} J_{1, t t}-2 I^{5} J_{1} J_{2} J_{1, t} J_{1, x}\right) I^{-6} J_{1, x}^{-2}, \\
J_{4}= & \left(2 I I_{t t} J_{1, x}-4 I^{6} J_{3} J_{1, x}+4 I^{2} J_{1, x} H_{x x}-I^{3} J_{1, t}+2 I^{3} J_{1} J_{1, x} H_{x}-4 I_{t}^{2} J_{1, x}\right) I^{-6} J_{1}^{-1} J_{1, x}^{-1}, \\
L_{0}= & \left(2 I^{2} H_{x x}+I^{3} J_{1} H_{x}+I I_{t t}-2 I_{t}^{2}\right) I^{-2} J_{1, t}^{-1}, \quad L_{1}=\left(L_{0, x}-I_{t}\right) I^{-3}, \\
L_{2}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 I L_{0, t}+I J_{1} L_{0}^{2}+4 I^{2} H_{x}-2 I^{3} L_{0} L_{1}-4 L_{0} I_{t}\right) I^{-5}, \\
M_{0}= & -2 M_{1, t}\left(3 J_{1} M_{1}+2\right)^{-2}, \quad M_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I I_{t t}+2 I H_{x x}+I^{3} J_{1} H_{x}-2 I_{t}^{2}\right) I^{-6}, \\
M_{2}= & \left(M_{0, x}-I_{t}\right) I^{-3}, \quad M_{3}=\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I M_{0, t}-2 I^{3} M_{0} M_{2}-4 M_{0} I_{t}+I J_{1} M_{0}^{2}+4 I^{2} H_{x}\right) I^{-5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have
Theorem 2. The class of LPEs is divided into the five subclasses $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{5}$ invariant under an action of the pseudo-group of contact transformations:
$\mathcal{P}_{1}$ consists of all LPEs such that $I=0$;
$\mathcal{P}_{2}$ consists of all LPES such that $I \neq 0$ and $J_{1 x} \neq 0$;
$\mathcal{P}_{3}$ consists of all LPEs such that $I \neq 0, J_{1 x}=0$, and $J_{1 t} \neq 0$;
$\mathcal{P}_{4}$ consists of all LPEs such that $I \neq 0, J_{1}=m=$ const, and $3 m M_{1} \neq-2$;
$\mathcal{P}_{5}$ consists of all LPEs such that $I \neq 0, J_{1}=m=$ const, and $3 m M_{1}=-2$.
Every equation from the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is equivalent to the linear heat equation $u_{x x}=u_{t}$.
Two equations from $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ are locally equivalent to each other if and only if they have the same functional dependencies among the differential invariants $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{4}$, and their invariant derivatives.

Two equations from $\mathcal{P}_{3}$ are locally equivalent to each other if and only if they have the same functional dependencies among the differential invariants $J_{1}, L_{1}, L_{2}$, and their invariant derivatives.

Two equations from $\mathcal{P}_{4}$ are locally equivalent to each other if and only if they have the same functional dependencies among the differential invariants $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$, and their invariant derivatives.

Every equation from the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{5}$ is locally equivalent to the equation $u_{x x}=u_{t}+\tilde{m} x^{-2} u$ provided $\tilde{m}=-4 /\left(3 m^{5}\right)$.

The expression of the function $I$ in terms of the coefficients of equations (7) and the necessary and sufficient condition for equivalence to the linear heat equation are found in [10]. Some particular cases of invariants - so-called Laplace type invariants - are found in [9]. Numerous examples of equations ( 7 ) from the first subclass are given in $[10,22]$.

## 5 Equivalence of nonlinear wave equations

We outline the solution of the contact equivalence problem for the following class of nonlinear wave equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=a(x, u) v_{x}, \quad v_{t}=b(x, u) u_{x} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is shown in [8], these equations are equivalent to the class of equations $w_{t t}=f\left(x, w_{x}\right) w_{x x}+$ $g\left(x, w_{x}\right)$ studied in [7]. In [8], the symmetry classification for systems (8) is given in the finitedimensional cases. In [16], the moving coframe method is applied in both finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional cases. The results are gathered in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Every system from the class of nonlinear wave equations (8) is equivalent under a contact transformation to a system from one of the five invariant subclasses $\mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{2}, \mathcal{Q}_{3}, \mathcal{Q}_{4}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{5}$ :
$\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ consists of all systems (8) such that $(b / a)_{x} \neq 0,(a b)_{u} \neq 0$, and $b^{-1}(b / a)_{x}(b a)_{u} \neq$ const;
$\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ consists of all systems $u_{t}=a(x, u) v_{x}, v_{t}=a(x, u) u_{x}$ such that $(\ln a)_{x u} \neq 0$;
$\mathcal{Q}_{3}$ consists of all systems $u_{t}=a(u) v_{x}, v_{t}=a(u) u_{x}$ such that

$$
N_{1}=a\left(a_{u}^{2} a_{u u}+a a_{u u}^{2}-a a_{u} a_{u u u}\right) a_{u}^{-4} \neq \text { const; }
$$

$\mathcal{Q}_{4}$ consists of all systems $u_{t}=a(u) v_{x}, v_{t}=a(u) u_{x}$ such that $N_{1}=$ const;
$\mathcal{Q}_{5}$ consists of the system $u_{t}=v_{x}, v_{t}=u_{x}$.
For systems from subclasses $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ the symmetry groups are finite-dimensional, and their dimensions are between 2 and 6 .

For systems from subclasses $\mathcal{Q}_{3}, \mathcal{Q}_{4}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{5}$ the symmetry pseudo-groups are infinite-dimensional. The equations from $\mathcal{Q}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{4}$ are linearizable by a hodograph transformation. Two equations from $\mathcal{Q}_{3}$ are equivalent under a contact transformation iff they have the same functional dependencies among the differential invariants $N_{1}, N_{2}=2 N_{1, u}^{-1}\left(a_{u u} a_{u}^{-1}-a_{u} a^{-1}\right)+$ $\left(N_{1, u}^{-1}\right)_{u}$, and $N_{3}=\left(4 a^{2} N_{1, u}^{2} a_{u}^{-2}-1\right) N_{2}+4 N_{1, u}^{-1}\left(a^{2} N_{1, u} a_{u}^{-2}\right)_{u}$.

Two systems from the subclass $\mathcal{Q}_{4}$ are equivalent if and only if they have the same constant value of the invariant $N_{1}$.
[1] Cartan É., Les sous-groupes des groupes continus de transformations, Euvres Complètes, Part II, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1953, V.2, 719-856.
[2] Cartan É., La structure des groupes infinis, (Euvres Complètes, Part II, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1953, V.2, 1335-1384.
[3] Cartan É., Les problèmes d'équivalence, Euvres Complètes, Part II, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1953, V.2, 1311-1334.
[4] Fels M. and Olver P.J., Moving coframes. I. A practical algorithm, Acta. Appl. Math., 1998, V.51, 161-213.
[5] Harrison B.K., Differential form symmetry analysis of two equations cited by Fushchych, in Proceedings of Second International Conference "Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics" (7-13 July, 1997, Kyiv), Editors M.I. Shkil, A.G. Nikitin and V.M. Boyko, Kyiv, Insitute of Mathematics, 1997, V.1, 21-33.
[6] Ibragimov N.H., Transformation groups applied to mathematical physics, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1985.
[7] Ibragimov N.H., Torrisi M. and Valenti A., Preliminary group classification of equations $v_{t t}=f\left(x, v_{x}\right) v_{x x}+$ $g\left(x, v_{x}\right)$, J. Math. Phys., 1991, V.32, 2988-2995.
[8] Ibragimov N.H. and Khabirov S.V., Contact transformation group classification of nonlinear wave equations, Nonlinear Dynamics, 2000, V.22, 61-71.
[9] Ibragimov N.H., Laplace type invariants for parabolic equations, Nonlinear Dynamics, 2002, V.28, 125-133.
[10] Johnpillai I.K. and Mahomed F.M., Singular invariant equation for the (1+1) Fokker-Planck equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 2001, V.34, 11033-11051.
[11] Lie S., Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Vols. 1-6, Leipzig, B.G. Teubner, 1922-1937.
[12] Lisle I.G., Reid G.J. and Boulton A., Algorithmic determination of structure of infinite Lie pseudogroups of symmetries of PDEs, in Proc. ISSAC'95, New York, ACM Press, 1995.
[13] Lisle I.G. and Reid G.J., Geometry and structure of Lie pseudogroups from infinitesimal defining equations, J. Symb. Comp., 1998, V.26, 355-379.
[14] Morozov O.I., Moving coframes and symmetries of differential equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 2002, V.35, 2965-2977.
[15] Morozov O.I., Contact equivalence problem for linear parabolic equations, maph-ph/0304045.
[16] Morozov O.I., Contact equivalence problem for nonlinear wave equations, math-ph/0306007.
[17] Olver P.J., Equivalence, invariants, and symmetry, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
[18] Olver P.J., The canonical contact form, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 2002, V.37, 267-285.
[19] Ovsiannikov L.V., Group analysis of differential equations, New York, Academic Press, 1982.
[20] Pommaret J.F., Systems of partial differential equations and Lie pseudogroups, New York, Gordon and Breach, 1978.
[21] Sharomet N., Symmetries, invariant solutions and conservation laws of nonlinear acoustics equation, in Symmetry of Partial Differential Equations (Conservation Laws - Applications - Algorithms), Dordrecht, Kluwer, 1989, 83-120.
[22] Stohny V., Symmetry properties and exact solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., 1997, V.4, N 1-2, 132-136.
[23] Vinogradov A.M. and Krasil'shchik I.S. (Editors), Symmetries and conservation laws of equations of mathematical physics, Moscow, Factorial, 1999 (in Russian).

