

# On Symmetries in (Anti)Causal (Non)Abelian Quantum Theories

Frieder KLEEFELD

CFIF, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

E-mail: kleeefeld@cfif.ist.utl.pt

(Anti)causal boundary conditions being imposed on the (seemingly) Hermitian Quantum Theory (HQT) as described in standard textbooks lead to an (Anti)Causal Quantum Theory ((A)CQT) with an indefinite metric (see e.g. [1–6]). Therefore, an (anti)causal neutral scalar field is not Hermitian, as one (anti)causal neutral scalar field consists of a non-Hermitian linear combination of two (Hermitian) acausal fields. Fundamental symmetries in (A)CQT are addressed. The quantum theoretical (transition) probability and antiparticle concepts are revised. Imaginary parts of cross sections and refraction indices are related.

## 1 Introduction to the idea of (anti)causal fields

Textbooks (e.g. [7]) on Quantum Field Theory (QFT) declare the neutral scalar Klein–Gordon (KG) field to be a *Hermitian (shadow [8, 10]) field*  $\varphi(x) = \varphi^+(x)$  with real mass  $m = m^*$  and Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^0(x) = \frac{1}{2} ((\partial\varphi(x))^2 - m^2\varphi(x)^2)$ . Note that  $\varphi(x)$  is representing *one* (real) field-theoretical degree of freedom! Its equation of motion is  $(\partial^2 + m^2)\varphi(x) = 0$  yielding – strictly speaking – a principal value propagator [10]  $P \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2}$ . Causality is typically enforced *afterwards* by the use of *causal* or *anticausal* Feynman propagators  $i/(p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon)$  (causal),  $i/(p^2 - m^2 - i\varepsilon)$  (anticausal) corresponding – strictly speaking – to the *causal KG equation*  $(\partial^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon)\phi(x) = 0$  or *anticausal KG equation*  $(\partial^2 + m^2 + i\varepsilon)\phi^+(x) = 0$ , respectively [8, 9, 1–3]. The *causal KG field*  $\phi(x) = (\varphi_1(x) + i\varphi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$  and the *anticausal KG field*  $\phi^+(x) = (\varphi_1(x) - i\varphi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$  are *non-Hermitian* and represented by *two Hermitian shadow fields*  $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi_j^+(x)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) yielding *two* (real) field-theoretical degrees of freedom. I.e. imposing causal boundary conditions on Quantum Theory (QT) leads (already at zero temperature) to a *doubling* of degrees of freedom like in Thermal Field Theory [11] or Open Quantum Systems [12]. The non-Hermitian nature of QT should not surprise<sup>1</sup>, but be taken into account!

<sup>1</sup>In-fields and out-fields fulfil same *causal* KG equations:  $(\partial^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon)\phi_{\text{in}}(x) = 0$ ,  $(\partial^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon)\phi_{\text{out}}(x) = 0$ . Hence, the Hilbert space of out-states is not obtained from the Hilbert space of in-states by Hermitian conjugation. Therefore we claim that in Quantum Mechanics (QM) “bra’s” (in our notation:  $\langle \dots |$ ) are not obtained by Hermitian conjugation from “ket’s” (in our notation:  $|\dots\rangle = \langle \dots |^+$ )! An unexpected result in (A)CQT is obtained when considering the causal/anticausal KG, Dirac and Schrödinger equations for a complex mass  $M = m - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma \simeq -i\varepsilon$ . In deriving the standard continuity equations as described in text books, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KG:} & \quad \partial_\mu[\phi^+(x)\partial^\mu\phi(x) - \phi(x)\partial^\mu\phi^+(x)] = 2i\varepsilon\phi^+(x)\phi(x), \\ \text{Dirac:} & \quad \partial_\mu[i\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\psi(x)] = -2i\varepsilon\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x), \\ \text{Schrödinger:} & \quad i\partial_t[\psi^+(x)\psi(x)] + \frac{1}{2m}\vec{\nabla}\cdot[\psi^+(x)\vec{\nabla}\psi(x) - \psi(x)\vec{\nabla}\psi^+(x)] \\ & \quad = \psi^+(x)[V(x) - V^+(x)]\psi(x) - \frac{i\varepsilon}{2m}[\psi^+(x)\vec{\nabla}^2\psi(x) + \psi(x)\vec{\nabla}^2\psi^+(x)], \end{aligned}$$

we have to observe that all these currents are not conserved due to the finite imaginary part of the mass (here  $-\varepsilon$ ) or non-Hermitian *causal potentials*  $V(x)$  being Laplace-transforms of *causal* propagators! The non-conservation of the Schrödinger current indicates a breakdown of the traditional probability interpretation of Schrödinger theory by Max Born in (A)CQT. Note that the “massless” causal Dirac equation  $(i\partial + i\varepsilon)\psi(x) = 0$  is not chiral invariant!

## 2 Introduction to the “Nakanishi model”

In the year 1972 N. Nakanishi [8, 9] investigated for curiosity the so-called “Complex-Ghost Relativistic Field Theory”, i.e. a theory for a KG field  $\phi(x)$  with complex mass  $M := m - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma$  (and the Hermitian conjugate field  $\phi^+(x)$ )<sup>2</sup>. In the following we want to introduce immediately isospin and to consider for convenience a set of  $N$  equal complex mass KG fields  $\phi_r(x)$  ( $r = 1, \dots, N$ ) (i.e. a charged “Nakanishi field” with isospin  $\frac{N-1}{2}$ ) described by the “Nakanishi Lagrangian”

$$\mathcal{L}_0(x) = \sum_r \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ((\partial\phi_r(x))^2 - M^2\phi_r(x)^2) + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial\phi_r^+(x))^2 - M^{*2}\phi_r^+(x)^2) \right\}.$$

The Lagrange equations of motion for the causal and anticausal “Nakanishi field”  $\phi_r(x)$  and  $\phi_r^+(x)$ , i.e.  $(\partial^2 + M^2)\phi_r(x) = 0$  and  $(\partial^2 + M^{*2})\phi_r^+(x) = 0$ , are solved by a Laplace-transform<sup>3</sup>. The “Nakanishi model” is quantized by claiming *Canonical equal-real-time commutation relations*<sup>4</sup>. The non-vanishing commutation relations in configuration space are ( $r, s = 1, \dots, N$ ):

$$[\phi_r(\vec{x}, t), \Pi_s(\vec{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\delta_{rs}, \quad [\phi_r^+(\vec{x}, t), \Pi_s^+(\vec{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\delta_{rs}.$$

The resulting non-vanishing momentum-space commutation relations are ( $r, s = 1, \dots, N$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} [a(\vec{p}, r), c^+(\vec{p}', s)] &= (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p})\delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}')\delta_{rs}, \\ [c(\vec{p}, r), a^+(\vec{p}', s)] &= (2\pi)^3 2\omega^*(\vec{p})\delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}')\delta_{rs}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hamilton operator is derived by a standard Legendre transform (see e.g. [3, 2])<sup>5</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \sum_r \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p})} \frac{1}{2} \omega(\vec{p}) (c^+(\vec{p}, r)a(\vec{p}, r) + a(\vec{p}, r)c^+(\vec{p}, r)) \\ &+ \sum_r \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega^*(\vec{p})} \frac{1}{2} \omega^*(\vec{p}) (a^+(\vec{p}, r)c(\vec{p}, r) + c(\vec{p}, r)a^+(\vec{p}, r)). \end{aligned}$$

The “Nakanishi-KG propagator” is obtained by *real-time ordering* of causal KG fields [8, 3, 15]<sup>6</sup>:

$$\Delta_N(x - y)\delta_{rs} := -i\langle\langle 0|T[\phi_r(x)\phi_s(y)]|0\rangle\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip(x-y)}}{p^2 - M^2} \delta_{rs}. \quad (1)$$

The anticausal “Nakanishi-KG propagator” is obtained by Hermitian conjugation or by a vacuum expectation value of an *anti-real-time ordered* product of two anticausal fields.

<sup>2</sup>The formalism was later (1999-2000) independently rederived by the author (see e.g. [1-3]). N. Nakanishi called the non-Hermitian fields  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi^+(x)$  “Complex Ghosts”.

<sup>3</sup>The result decomposing in “positive” & “negative” complex frequencies (e.g.  $\phi_r(x) = \phi_r^{(+)}(x) + \phi_r^{(-)}(x)$ ) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_r(x) &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^3} “\delta(p^2 - M^2)” e^{-ip \cdot x} a(p, r) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p})} [a(\vec{p}, r)e^{-ipx} + c^+(\vec{p}, r)e^{ipx}] \Big|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}, \\ \phi_r^+(x) &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^3} “\delta(p^2 - M^{*2})” e^{ip^* \cdot x} a^+(p, r) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega^*(\vec{p})} [c(\vec{p}, r)e^{-ip^*x} + a^+(\vec{p}, r)e^{ip^*x}] \Big|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we defined  $a(\vec{p}) := a(p)|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}$  and  $c^+(\vec{p}) := a(-p)|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}$  on the basis of the complex “frequency”  $\omega(\vec{p}) := \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + M^2}$  ( $\omega(\vec{0}) := M$ ). The meaning of the symbolic delta-distribution “ $\delta(p^2 - M^2)$ ” for complex arguments has been illuminated by N. Nakanishi [13, 9]. Nowadays it may be embedded in the framework of (tempered) Ultradistributions [14].

<sup>4</sup>The standard Canonical conjugate momenta to the (anti)causal fields  $\phi_r(x)$  and  $\phi_r^+(x)$  are given by  $\Pi_r(x) := \delta\mathcal{L}_0(x)/\delta(\partial_0\phi_r(x)) \stackrel{!}{=} \partial_0\phi_r(x)$  and  $\Pi_r^+(x) := \delta\mathcal{L}_0(x)/\delta(\partial_0\phi_r^+(x)) \stackrel{!}{=} \partial_0\phi_r^+(x)$ .

<sup>5</sup> $c^+$  are *creation operators* of Bosonic *particles*, while  $a^+$  are *creation operators* of Bosonic *holes*.  $c$  are *annihilation operators* of Bosonic *holes*, while  $a$  are *annihilation operators* of Bosonic *particles*. The antiparticle/antihole concept will be sketched in Section 11. (Anti)particles propagate towards the future, (anti)holes towards the past.

<sup>6</sup>For intermediate states with complex mass these propagators lead to *Poincaré covariant* results. At each interaction vertex coupling to *intermediate* complex mass fields there holds *exact* 4-momentum conservation. Only if complex mass fields with finite  $\Gamma$  appeared as asymptotic states, then Poincaré covariance would be violated!

### 3 (Anti)causal quantum mechanics

The representation independent, time-dependent Schrödinger equation is  $i\partial_t|\psi(t)\rangle = H|\psi(t)\rangle$ . Its adjoint is given by  $-i\partial_t\langle\langle\psi(t)| = \langle\langle\psi(t)|H$ . In 1-dim. QM we consider the Hamilton operator of the (anti)causal Harmonic Oscillator [9, 2–6, 16] (see equation (2))  $H = H_C + H_A = \frac{1}{2}\omega[c^+, a]_{\pm} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^*[a^+, c]_{\pm} = \omega(c^+a \pm \frac{1}{2}) + \omega^*(a^+c \pm \frac{1}{2})$  ( $\pm$  for Bosons/Fermions<sup>7</sup>) with<sup>8</sup>

$$\begin{pmatrix} [c, c^+]_{\mp} & [c, a^+]_{\mp} \\ [a, c^+]_{\mp} & [a, a^+]_{\mp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{“indefinite metric”},$$

yielding  $[H_C, H_A] = 0^9$ . The right/left eigensystem of the Hamilton operator is found by diagonalizing the stationary Schrödinger equation  $(H - E)|\psi\rangle = 0$  & its adjoint  $\langle\langle\psi|(H - E) = 0$ . The resulting (normalized) right eigenstates  $|n, m\rangle$  and left eigenstates  $\langle\langle n, m|$  for the eigenvalues  $E_{n,m} = \omega(n \pm \frac{1}{2}) + \omega^*(m \pm \frac{1}{2})$  are given by  $|n, m\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!m!}}(c^+)^n(a^+)^m|0\rangle$  and  $\langle\langle n, m| := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}}\langle\langle 0|c^m a^n$  (Bosons:  $n, m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ; Fermions:  $n, m \in \{0, 1\}$ ). The (bi)orthogonal eigenstates are complete:  $\langle\langle n', m'|n, m\rangle = \delta_{n'n}\delta_{m'm}$ ,  $\sum_{n,m} |n, m\rangle\langle\langle n, m| = \mathbf{1}$ . In holomorphic representation (see e.g. [18]) the time-dependent Schrödinger equation and its adjoint are:

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t\langle\langle z, z^*|\psi(t)\rangle &= \int dz' dz'^* \langle\langle z, z^*|H|z', z'^*\rangle\langle\langle z', z'^*|\psi(t)\rangle, \\ -i\partial_t\langle\langle\psi(t)|z, z^*\rangle &= \int dz' dz'^* \langle\langle\psi(t)|z', z'^*\rangle\langle\langle z', z'^*|H|z, z^*\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The holomorphic representation of the Hamilton operator ( $H(z, z^*) = H_C(z) + H_A(z^*)$ ) is:

$$\begin{aligned} H(z', z'^*; z, z^*) &= \langle\langle z', z'^*|H|z, z^*\rangle = H(z, z^*)\langle\langle z', z'^*|z, z^*\rangle \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2M} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 z^2 - \frac{1}{2M^*} \frac{d^2}{dz'^2} + \frac{1}{2}M^*\omega^{*2} z'^2\right) \langle\langle z', z'^*|z, z^*\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting stationary Schrödinger equations in holomorphic representation are given by  $H(z, z^*)\langle\langle z, z^*|\psi\rangle = E\langle\langle z, z^*|\psi\rangle$ ,  $\langle\langle\psi|z, z^*H(z, z^*) = E\langle\langle\psi|z, z^*$ <sup>10</sup>. Note the non-trivial identities  $\int dz dz^* |z, z^*\rangle\langle\langle z, z^*| = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\langle\langle z, z^*|z', z'^*\rangle = \delta(z - z')\delta(z^* - z'^*)$ .

### 4 Lorentz transformations/covariance of (anti)causal systems

A Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu$  for a given metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is defined by  $\Lambda^\mu_\rho g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$ . Let  $n^\mu$  be a timelike unit 4-vector ( $n^2 = 1$ ) and  $\xi^\mu$  an arbitrary complex 4-vector with  $\xi^2 \neq 0$ . We want to construct [1–3] a Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi)$  relating the 4-vector  $\xi^\mu$  with its “restframe”, i.e.  $\xi^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi)n^\nu\sqrt{\xi^2}$  and  $n_\nu\sqrt{\xi^2} = \xi_\mu\Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi)$ . After defining the *inversion matrix*  $P^\mu_\nu :=$

<sup>7</sup>The Fermionic oscillator we tend to denote by  $H = \frac{1}{2}\omega\{d^+, b\} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^*\{b^+, d\}$  with  $\{b, d^+\} = 1$  etc.

<sup>8</sup>All other possible commutators (Bosons) or anticommutators (Fermions) of  $a, c, a^+$ , and  $c^+$  vanish!

<sup>9</sup>A “Hermitian” Hamilton operator quantized with a non-trivial (e.g. indefinite) metric is called *pseudo-Hermitian!* Pseudo-Hermiticity (& pseudo-unitarity) presently promoted [17] by M. Znojil and A. Mostafazadeh. Ideas go back to names like W. Pauli, P.A.M. Dirac, S.N. Gupta, K. Bleuler, and E.C.G. Sudarshan.

<sup>10</sup>The eigensolutions for the eigenvalues  $E_{n,m}$  are given by  $((M\omega)^{-1/2} = \text{complex oscillator length})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle z, z^*|n, m\rangle &= i^{n+m} \sqrt{\frac{|M\omega|}{2^{n+m}n!m!\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(M\omega z^2 + M^*\omega^* z'^2)\right) H_n(z\sqrt{M\omega}) H_m(z^*\sqrt{M^*\omega^*}), \\ \langle\langle n, m|z, z^*\rangle &= (-i)^{m+n} \sqrt{\frac{|M\omega|}{2^{m+n}m!n!\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(M^*\omega^* z'^2 + M\omega z^2)\right) H_m(z^*\sqrt{M^*\omega^*}) H_n(z\sqrt{M\omega}). \end{aligned}$$

$2n^\mu n_\nu - g^\mu_\nu$  we find two “symmetric” and two “asymmetric” matrices  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi)$  solving the defining equation  $\Lambda^\mu_\rho g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$ , i.e.  $(\xi \cdot n := \xi^\mu n_\mu)$ :

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi) = \pm \left\{ g^\mu_\nu - \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2}}{\sqrt{\xi^2 \mp \xi \cdot n}} \left[ n^\mu \mp \frac{\xi^\mu}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} \right] \left[ n_\nu \mp \frac{\xi_\nu}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} \right] \right\},$$

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi) = \pm \left\{ g^\mu_\rho - \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2}}{\sqrt{\xi^2 \mp \xi \cdot n}} \left[ n^\mu \mp \frac{\xi^\mu}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} \right] \left[ n_\rho \mp \frac{\xi_\rho}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} \right] \right\} P^\rho_\nu.$$

For the real Lorentz group the 4 solutions are related to the well known ortho-chronous/non-ortho-chronous proper/improper Lorentz transformations! Convince yourself that for the metric  $+, -, -, -$  one of the “asymmetric” Lorentz boosts is given by:

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi^0}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} & \frac{\vec{\xi}^T}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} \\ \frac{\vec{\xi}}{\sqrt{\xi^2}} & 1_3 + \frac{\vec{\xi}\vec{\xi}^T}{\sqrt{\xi^2}(\sqrt{\xi^2} + \xi^0)} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\nu(p)|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega(\vec{p})}{M} & \frac{\vec{p}^T}{M} \\ \frac{\vec{p}}{M} & 1_3 + \frac{\vec{p}\vec{p}^T}{M(M+\omega(\vec{p}))} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the right expression we chose  $\xi^\mu = p^\mu$  with  $p^2 = M^2$  and  $M = m - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}$ . Some properties of  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu(p)$  have already discussed in Refs. [1–3]<sup>11</sup>.

## 5 The (anti)causal Dirac theory

The causal Dirac equation and its relatives obtained by Hermitian conjugation and/or transposition are [1, 2, 4–6]  $(i \overrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - M)\psi_r(x) = 0$ ,  $(i \overrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - \bar{M})\psi_r^c(x) = 0$ ,  $\overline{\psi_r^c(x)}(-i \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - M) = 0$ , and  $\overline{\psi_r(x)}(-i \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - \bar{M}) = 0$  ( $M := m - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}$ ,  $\bar{M} := \gamma_0 M^+ \gamma_0$ ). Note that  $r = 1, \dots, N$  is an isospin index and  $\psi_r(x)$ ,  $\overline{\psi_r(x)}$ ,  $\psi_r^c(x) = C\gamma_0\psi_r^*(x)$ ,  $\overline{\psi_r^c(x)} = \psi_r^T(x)C$  are Grassmann fields. The underlying Lagrange density is given by [1, 2, 4–6] (see also [21]) ( $N = 1$  yields neutrinos!)

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi^0(x) = \sum_r \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{\psi_r^c(x)} \left( \frac{1}{2} i \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - M \right) \psi_r(x) + \overline{\psi_r(x)} \left( \frac{1}{2} i \overrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - \bar{M} \right) \psi_r^c(x) \right).$$

4-spinors  $u(p, s) \equiv v(-p, s)$  in complex 4-momentum space ( $s = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ ) are introduced by the defining equation  $(\not{p} - \sqrt{p^2})u(p, s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{u^c(p, s)}(-\not{p} - \sqrt{p^2}) = 0$ . The spinors are normalized according to  $\text{sgn}[\text{Re}(p^0)] \sum_s u(p, s)\overline{v^c(p, s)} = \not{p} + \sqrt{p^2}$  for  $\text{Re}[p^0] \neq 0$ . Equations of motions are solved by a Laplace-transformation<sup>12</sup>. Note that the spinors obey the analyticity proper-

<sup>11</sup>G.P. Pron’ko [19] could of course argue that such a Lorentz boost between  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{p}'$  “... understood literally leads to nonsense because the transformed space components of the momentum become complex. ...” Certainly this argument is *only* true for complex mass fields with finite  $\Gamma$  being treated as *asymptotic states*. Yet – as argued in the context of equation (1) – for complex mass fields in *intermediate states* Poincaré invariance is completely restored! The crucial difference between traditional HQT and (A)CQT is that in HQT fields are claimed to be representations of the covering group of the real Lorentz group  $L_+^1$ , while in (A)CQT even asymptotic (anti)causal states are representations of the covering group of the complex Lorentz group  $L_+(C)$  (or, more generally, the covering group of the respective Poincaré group) [20].

<sup>12</sup>The result decomposing in “positive” & “negative” complex frequencies (e.g.  $\psi_r(x) = \psi_r^{(+)}(x) + \psi_r^{(-)}(x)$ ) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r(x) &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p})} [e^{-ip \cdot x} b_r(p, s) u(p, s) + e^{ip \cdot x} b_r(-p, s) v(p, s)] \Big|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}, \\ \psi_r^c(x) &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega^*(\vec{p})} [e^{ip^* \cdot x} b_r^+(p, s) u^c(p, s) + e^{-ip^* \cdot x} b_r^+(-p, s) v^c(p, s)] \Big|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}, \\ \overline{\psi_r(x)} &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega^*(\vec{p})} [e^{ip^* \cdot x} b_r^+(p, s) \overline{u}(p, s) + e^{-ip^* \cdot x} b_r^+(-p, s) \overline{v}(p, s)] \Big|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}, \\ \overline{\psi_r^c(x)} &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p})} [e^{-ip \cdot x} b_r(p, s) \overline{u^c}(p, s) + e^{ip \cdot x} b_r(-p, s) \overline{v^c}(p, s)] \Big|_{p^0=\omega(\vec{p})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $d_r^+(p, s) := b_r(-p, s)$ ,  $\{b_r(\vec{p}, s), d_r^+(\vec{p}', s')\} = (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p}) \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \delta_{ss'} \delta_{rr'}$ , ...

ty  $u^c(p, s) = u(-p^*, s) = v(p^*, s)$ . The (anti)causal Dirac equation is Lorentz covariant due to standard transformation properties of spinors and  $\gamma$ -matrices, i.e.  $u(p) = S(\Lambda(p))u(\sqrt{p^2}n)$  and  $S^{-1}(\Lambda(p))\gamma^\mu S(\Lambda(p)) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu(p)\gamma^\nu$ . The causal “Nakanishi–Dirac propagator” of a spin 1/2 Fermion is obtained by *standard Fermionic real-time ordering* of causal Dirac fields:

$$S_N(x - y)_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{rs} := -i\langle\langle 0|T[(\psi_r(x))_\alpha(\bar{\psi}_s^c(y))_\beta]|0\rangle\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip(x-y)}}{p^2 - M^2} (\not{p} + M)_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{rs}.$$

The anticausal “Nakanishi–Dirac propagator” is obtained by Hermitian conjugation or by a vacuum expectation value of a *anti-real-time ordered* product of two anticausal Dirac fields.

## 6 (Anti)causal massive and “massless” vector fields

With<sup>13</sup>  $\vec{e}^{(i)}(i = x, y, z)$  and  $\vec{e}^{(i)} \cdot \vec{e}^{(j)} = \delta^{ij}$  we define the polarization vectors  $\varepsilon^{\mu(i)}(p) := \Lambda^\mu_\nu(p)\varepsilon^{\nu(i)}(\sqrt{p^2}, \vec{0}) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu(p)(0, \vec{e}^{(i)})^\nu$ . In the chosen unitary gauge they obey  $p^\mu\varepsilon_\mu^{(i)}(p) = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon^{\mu(i)}(p)\varepsilon_\mu^{(j)}(p) = -\delta^{ij}$ , and  $\sum_i \varepsilon^{\mu(i)}(p)\varepsilon^{\nu(i)}(p) = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}$ . Based on these polarization vectors the Bosonic field operators for (anti)causal vector fields (respecting (anti)causal commutation relations) are easily introduced according to ( $r = 1, \dots, N =$  isospin index)

$$V_r^\mu(x) = \sum_j \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{p})} \varepsilon^{\mu(j)}(p) [e^{-ip \cdot x} a_r(p, j) + e^{ip \cdot x} a_r(-p, j)] \Big|_{p^0 = \omega(\vec{p})},$$

$$(V_r^\mu(x))^+ = \sum_j \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega^*(\vec{p})} \varepsilon^{\mu(j)}(p^*) [e^{ip^* \cdot x} a_r^+(p, j) + e^{-ip^* \cdot x} a_r^+(-p, j)] \Big|_{p^0 = \omega(\vec{p})}.$$

## 7 Norm conservation in QM, Klein–Gordon- and Dirac-theory

(Anti)causal KG/Dirac fields are decomposed into positive/negative complex frequency parts (e.g.  $\phi(x) = \phi^{(+)}(x) + \phi^{(-)}(x)$  and  $\psi(x) = \psi^{(+)}(x) + \psi^{(-)}(x)$ ). Subtraction of equations of motion for  $\phi^{(\pm)}(x)$ ,  $\psi^{(\pm)}$ ,  $\psi(x)$  and respective adjoints<sup>14</sup> yields the following continuity equations: KG:  $\partial_\mu[\phi^{(\mp)}(x)\partial^\mu\phi^{(\pm)}(x) - (\partial^\mu\phi^{(\mp)}(x))\phi^{(\pm)}(x)] = 0$ , Dirac:  $\partial_\mu[i\bar{\psi}^{(\mp)c}(x)\gamma^\mu\psi^{(\pm)}(x)] = 0$ , Schrödinger:  $i\partial_t[\tilde{\psi}(x)\psi(x)] + \frac{1}{2M}\vec{\nabla} \cdot [\tilde{\psi}(x)\vec{\nabla}\psi(x) - (\vec{\nabla}\tilde{\psi}(x))\psi(x)] = 0$ . Note that all currents are conserved and in general non-zero, even for the neutral KG field! The observed norm conservation for (anti)causal KG & Dirac fields and Schrödinger wavefunctions is related to the (complex) energy conservation and hence related to the probability conservation! We conclude that the Schrödinger norm is  $\int d^3x \tilde{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ , and *not*  $\int d^3x |\psi(x)|^2$ !

## 8 Charge conservation in QM, Klein–Gordon- and Dirac-theory

We will introduce simply charged (anti)causal systems according to the isospin concept. For the KG theory we define  $\phi_\pm(x) := (\phi_1(x) \pm i\phi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$ , for the Dirac theory we define  $\psi_\pm(x) := (\psi_1(x) \pm i\psi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$ , while for the Schrödinger theory we define  $\psi_\pm(x) := (\psi_1(x) \pm i\psi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_\pm(x) := (\tilde{\psi}_1(x) \pm i\tilde{\psi}_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$ <sup>15</sup>. Subtraction of causal equations of motion and the

<sup>13</sup>The problem of constructing (anti)causal vector fields is twofold. First one has to be aware that even a “massless” (anti)causal field has to be treated as if it were massive due to the at least infinitesimal imaginary part of its complex mass. That even non-Abelian massive vector fields can be treated consistently within QFT without relying on a Higgs mechanism has been shown by Jun-Chen Su in a renormalizable and unitary formalism [22]. Secondly, one has to be able to construct polarization vectors based on a boost of complex mass fields.

<sup>14</sup>KG:  $(\partial^2 + M^2)\phi^{(\pm)}(x) = 0$ ,  $\phi^{(\pm)}(x)(\overleftarrow{\partial}^2 + M^2) = 0$ ; Dirac:  $(i\not{\partial} - M)\psi^{(\pm)}(x) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\psi}^{(\pm)c}(x)(-i\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - M) = 0$ ; Schrödinger:  $i\partial_t\psi(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2M}\overleftarrow{\nabla}^2 + V(x)\right)\psi(x)$ ,  $-i\tilde{\psi}(x)\overleftarrow{\partial}_t = \tilde{\psi}(x)\left(-\frac{1}{2M}\overleftarrow{\nabla}^2 + V(x)\right)$ .

<sup>15</sup>The neutral theory would follow by setting either  $\phi_1(x)$  ( $\psi_1(x)$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}_1(x)$ ) or  $\phi_2(x)$  ( $\psi_2(x)$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}_2(x)$ ) equal to zero.

respective adjoints<sup>16</sup> leads to the following continuity equations reflecting charge conservation: KG:  $\partial_\mu[\phi_\mp(x)\partial^\mu\phi_\pm(x) - (\partial^\mu\phi_\mp(x))\phi_\pm(x)] = 0$ , Dirac:  $\partial_\mu[i\overline{\psi}_\mp(x)\gamma^\mu\psi_\pm(x)] = 0$ , Schrödinger:  $i\partial_t[\tilde{\psi}_\mp(x)\psi_\pm(x)] + \frac{1}{2M}\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}\cdot[\tilde{\psi}_\mp(x)\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}\psi_\pm(x) - (\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}\tilde{\psi}_\mp(x))\psi_\pm(x)] = 0$ . Note that currents and charges vanish for neutral KG and Dirac fields! The reason is a cancellation of underlying norm currents! The neutral Dirac field does *not* admit any *Abelian* gauge couplings due to  $[\overline{\psi^c}(x)\not{A}(x)\psi(x)]^T = -\overline{\psi^c}(x)\not{A}(x)\psi(x)$ ,  $[\overline{\psi^c}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x)\psi(x)]^T = -\overline{\psi^c}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x)\psi(x)$ . The concept of local (non)Abelian gauge invariance in (A)CQT is sketched in the footnote<sup>17</sup>.

## 9 Shadow fields – the Hermiticity content of the (anti)causal Klein–Gordon- and Dirac-theory

It is instructive to decompose an (A)CQT into its Hermitian components. Hermitian fields underlying non-Hermitian (anti)causal fields are here called “shadow fields” [8, 10]<sup>18</sup>. Consider e.g. (anti)causal Lagrangians of neutral (anti)causal spin 0 Bosons and and spin 1/2 Fermions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\phi^0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi(x))^2 - M^2(\phi(x))^2) + \frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi^+(x))^2 - M^{*2}(\phi^+(x))^2), \\ \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\psi^c}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - M\right)\psi(x) + \bar{\psi}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - \bar{M}\right)\psi^c(x)\right).\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

$\phi(x)$ ,  $\phi^+(x)$ ,  $\psi(x)$ ,  $\psi^c(x)$  are decomposed in Hermitian shadow fields  $\phi_{(1)}(x)$ ,  $\phi_{(2)}(x)$ ,  $\psi_{(1)}(x)$ ,  $\psi_{(2)}(x)$  by  $\phi(x) =: (\phi_{(1)}(x) + i\phi_{(2)}(x))/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\phi^+(x) =: (\phi_{(1)}(x) - i\phi_{(2)}(x))/\sqrt{2}$ , and  $\psi(x) =: (\psi_{(1)}(x) + i\psi_{(2)}(x))/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\psi^c(x) =: (\psi_{(1)}(x) - i\psi_{(2)}(x))/\sqrt{2}$ , yielding the decomposed Lagrangians

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\phi^0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi_{(1)}(x))^2 - \text{Re}[M^2](\phi_{(1)}(x))^2) - \frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi_{(2)}(x))^2 - \text{Re}[M^2](\phi_{(2)}(x))^2) \\ &\quad + \text{Im}[M^2]\phi_{(1)}(x)\phi_{(2)}(x), \\ \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{(1)}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - \text{Re}[M]\right)\psi_{(1)}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{(2)}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} - \text{Re}[M]\right)\psi_{(2)}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\text{Im}[M](\bar{\psi}_{(2)}(x)\psi_{(1)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{(1)}(x)\psi_{(2)}(x)).\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

Note that Bosonic & Fermionic shadow fields are described by *principal value propagators* and *interact* with each other. One shadow field has *positive* norm, one has *negative* norm. If one would remove the interaction term, one would introduce interactions between causal and anticausal fields (e.g.  $\phi(x)\phi^+(x)$ ) leading to a *violation of causality* and the *loss of analyticity* in QT.

## 10 Chiral symmetries in (anti)causal Dirac theory

**Chiral rotations of (anti)causal fields.** Consider the (anti)causal Lagrangian given by equation (2). Perform the following chiral rotation of (anti)causal fields:  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \exp(i\gamma_5\alpha)\psi(x)$ ,

<sup>16</sup>KG:  $(\partial^2 + M^2)\phi_\pm(x) = 0$ ,  $\phi_\pm(x)(\overleftarrow{\partial}^2 + M^2) = 0$ ; Dirac:  $(i\not{\partial} - M)\psi_\pm(x) = 0$ ,  $\overline{\psi}_\pm(x)(-i\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - M) = 0$ , Schrödinger:  $i\partial_t\psi_\pm(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2M}\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}^2 + V(x)\right)\psi_\pm(x)$ ,  $-i\overleftarrow{\partial}_t\bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\left(-\frac{1}{2M}\overleftrightarrow{\nabla}^2 + V(x)\right)$ .

<sup>17</sup>The Dirac Lagrangian with minimally coupled (non)Abelian gauge fields is given by the expression  $\mathcal{L}(x) = \overline{\psi}_\mp^c(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} + g\not{A}(x) - M\right)\psi_\mp(x) + \bar{\psi}_\mp(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} + g^*\gamma^\mu A_\mu^+(x) - \bar{M}\right)\psi_\mp^c(x)$  (with  $\psi_\pm(x) := (\psi_{(1)}(x) \pm i\psi_{(2)}(x))/\sqrt{2}$ ). It is invariant under the local gauge transformations  $g\not{A}' = g\not{A} + [\not{\partial}, \Lambda(x)]$ ,  $\psi'_\mp(x) = \exp(i\Lambda(x))\psi_\mp(x)$ ,  $\psi'_\pm(x) = \exp(-i(\Lambda(x))^T)\psi_\pm(x)$ . Non-Abelian case:  $A_\mu(x) = A_\mu^a(x)\lambda^a/2$  and  $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda^a(x)\lambda^a/2$ . *Non-Abelian* gauge fields admit minimal coupling even to neutral Fermions, if  $[A^\mu(x)]^T = -A^\mu(x)$ , as  $[\overline{\psi^c}(x)\not{A}(x)\psi(x)]^T = \overline{\psi^c}(x)\not{A}(x)\psi(x)$  and  $[\overline{\psi^c}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x)\psi(x)]^T = \overline{\psi^c}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x)\psi(x)$ .

<sup>18</sup>Note that E.C.G. Sudarshan (1972) used the term “shadow state” with a different meaning!

$\psi^c(x) \rightarrow \exp(i\gamma_5\alpha)\psi^c(x)$ . The resulting continuity-like equation is  $\partial_\mu[\overline{\psi^c}(x)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi(x)] \propto M$ . Of course there exist the respective Hermitian conjugate/transposed continuity-like equations!

**Chiral rotations of shadow fields.** Consider the (anti)causal Lagrangian equation (3). Perform the following chiral rotation of the shadow fields:  $\psi_{(1)}(x) \rightarrow \exp(i\gamma_5\alpha)\psi_{(1)}(x)$ ,  $\psi_{(2)}(x) \rightarrow \exp(-i\gamma_5\alpha)\psi_{(2)}(x)$ . The resulting continuity-like equation is  $\partial_\mu[\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi(x)] \propto \text{Re}[M]$ . Also here there exist the respective Hermitian conjugate/transposed continuity-like equations!

**Chiral symmetry for massive Fermions.** Define  $\psi_R(x) := P_R\psi(x)$ ,  $\psi_L(x) := P_L\psi(x)$ ,  $P_R := (1 + \gamma_5)/2$ ,  $P_L := (1 - \gamma_5)/2$ . Define also  $\chi_\pm(x) := (\psi_R(x) \pm i\psi_L(x))/\sqrt{2}$ . Consider the (anti)causal Lagrangian equation (2) in the new fields, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \overline{\psi_L^c}(x) \frac{i}{2} \overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} \psi_R(x) + \overline{\psi_R^c}(x) \frac{i}{2} \overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} \psi_L(x) - M(\overline{\psi_R^c}(x)\psi_R(x) + \overline{\psi_L^c}(x)\psi_L(x)) \right\} + \text{h.c.} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \overline{\chi_+^c}(x) \frac{1}{2} \overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} \chi_+(x) - \overline{\chi_-^c}(x) \frac{1}{2} \overleftrightarrow{\not{\partial}} \chi_-(x) - M(\overline{\chi_+^c}(x)\chi_-(x) + \overline{\chi_-^c}(x)\chi_+(x)) \right\} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Lagrangian is invariant under the chiral rotations  $\chi_\pm(x) \rightarrow \exp(\pm i\gamma_5\alpha)\chi_\pm(x)$  even for *arbitrary complex Fermion mass M*!

## 11 New antiparticle concept and the intrinsic parities of anti-Bosons and anti-Fermions

In (A)CQT antiparticles are isospin partners of particles<sup>19</sup>. This holds for Bosons and Fermions. It leads – like in the Bosonic case – to the fact that the anti-Fermions have the same intrinsic parity as the Fermions<sup>20</sup>. The components of Bosonic or Fermionic field operators characterized by phasefactors with “negative” (complex) frequency, i.e.  $\exp(-i\omega(\vec{k})t)$  and  $\exp(-i\omega^*(\vec{k})t)$ , are responsible for the annihilation of (anti)particles and respective (anti)holes, and not for their creation. The traditional identification of annihilation operators of negative frequency states with antiparticles gets lost for fields described by a complex mass with a finite imaginary part. In this situation traditional HQT – in contrast to (A)CQT – ceases to be applicable.

## 12 Conjugate $T$ -matrix $\overline{T}_{fi}$ , dual vacuum, transition probabilities and (anti)causal cross sections

As  $|\psi(x)|^2$  is not a probability density in (anti)causal Schrödinger theory,  $|T_{fi}|^2$  is *not* to be interpreted as a *transition probability* in (anti)causal scattering theory! In (anti)causal scattering theory we have instead to consider a quantity  $\overline{T}_{fi}T_{fi}$ , where  $\overline{T}_{fi}$  ( $\neq T_{fi}^+$ ) is called the *conjugate  $T$ -matrix*. The construction of the explicit analytical expression for the conjugate  $T$ -matrix  $\overline{T}_{fi}$  showed up to be a non-trivial task. For brevity we want to give here the final result without proof. We assume the causal  $T$ -matrix  $T_{fi}$  to be determined by the standard expression  $(2\pi)^4\delta^4(P_f - P_i)iT_{fi} = \langle\langle 0|\mathcal{A}(\vec{p}'_{N_f}) \cdots \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}'_1)T[\exp(iS_{\text{int}}) - 1](\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}_1))^+ \cdots (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}_{N_i}))^+|0\rangle_c$  with  $\mathcal{A}(\vec{p}'_j) \in \{a(\vec{p}'_j), b(\vec{p}'_j)\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}_j) \in \{c(\vec{p}_j), d(\vec{p}_j)\}$ . Call  $N_F$  the overall number of Fermionic operators in the initial and final state. Then the conjugate causal  $T$ -matrix  $\overline{T}_{if}$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^4\delta^4(P_f - P_i)(-i)\overline{T}_{if} \\ &= \langle\langle \overline{0}|\mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_{N_i}) \cdots \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_1)T[\exp(-iS_{\text{int}}) - 1](\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_1))^+ \cdots (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_{N_f}))^+|\overline{0}\rangle_c \end{aligned}$$

<sup>19</sup>Charged pions ( $\pi^+$ ,  $\pi^-$ ) are e.g. represented by the isospin combination  $\pi_\pm(x) = (\pi_1(x) \pm i\pi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$ , while the positron ( $e^+$ ) and electron ( $e^-$ ) are represented by  $e_\pm(x) = (e_1(x) \pm ie_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$ .  $\pi_1(x)$ ,  $\pi_2(x)$  or  $e_1(x)$ ,  $e_2(x)$  are non-Hermitian fields describing, respectively, pairs of causal neutral particles with equal complex mass.

<sup>20</sup>In spite of this feature (A)CQT reproduces exactly the high precision results of Quantum Electrodynamics.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\stackrel{!}{=} (-1)^{N_F(N_F-1)/2} \langle\langle \bar{0} | (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_{N_f}))^+ \cdots (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_1))^+ (T[\exp(-iS_{\text{int}}) - 1])^T \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_1) \cdots \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_{N_i}) | \bar{0} \rangle\rangle_c \\
&= (-1)^{N_F(N_F-1)/2} \langle\langle \bar{0} | (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_{N_f}))^+ \cdots (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_1))^+ \bar{T}[\exp(-iS_{\text{int}}^T) - 1] \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_1) \cdots \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_{N_i}) | \bar{0} \rangle\rangle_c \\
&\stackrel{!}{=} (-1)^{N_F(N_F-1)/2} \langle\langle \bar{0} | (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_{N_f}))^+ \cdots (\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_1))^+ \bar{T}[\exp(-iS_{\text{int}}) - 1] \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_1) \cdots \mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_{N_i}) | \bar{0} \rangle\rangle_c
\end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{A}(\vec{p}_j) \in \{a(\vec{p}_j), b(\vec{p}_j)\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\vec{p}'_j) \in \{c(\vec{p}'_j), d(\vec{p}'_j)\}$  and  $|\bar{0}\rangle$  (and  $\langle\langle \bar{0} |$ ) being the *dual vacuum* annihilating creation operators and creating annihilation operators. We used the useful transposition identity  $(T[\mathcal{O}(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(x_n)])^T = \bar{T}[(\mathcal{O}(x_1))^T \cdots (\mathcal{O}(x_n))^T]$  holding for Bosonic and Fermionic operators.  $\bar{T}_{f_i} T_{f_i}$  for a causal process (to be identified with the transition probability) and therefore also the respective causal cross section are not necessarily real numbers<sup>21</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT) of the *Ministério da Ciência e da Tecnologia (e do Ensino Superior)* of Portugal, under Grants no. PRAXIS XXI/BPD/20186/99, SFRH/BDP/9480/2002, and POCTI/FNU/49555/2002.

- [1] Kleefeld F., *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2003, V.660, 325; hep-ph/0211460.
- [2] Kleefeld F., *Few-Body Systems Suppl.*, 2003, V.15, 201; nucl-th/0212008.
- [3] Kleefeld F., van Beveren E. and Rupp G., *Nucl. Phys. A*, 2001, V.694, 470; hep-ph/0101247.
- [4] Kleefeld F., *Acta Phys. Polon. B*, 1999, V.30, 981; nucl-th/9806060.
- [5] Kleefeld F., in Proc. XIV ISHEPP 98 (17–22 August, 1998, Dubna), Editors A.M. Baldin, V.V. Burov, Dubna, JINR, 2000, Part 1, 69–77; nucl-th/9811032.
- [6] Kleefeld F., Doctoral Thesis, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, 1999.
- [7] Bjorken J.D. and Drell S.D., *Relativistic quantum fields*, McGraw-Hill, 1965.
- [8] Nakanishi N., *Phys. Rev. D*, 1972, V.5, 1968.
- [9] Nakanishi N., *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.*, 1972, V.51, 1.
- [10] Stapp H.P., *Nuovo Cim. A*, 1974, V.23, 357; *Phys. Rev. D*, 1976, V.13, 947.
- [11] Weldon H.A., *Nucl. Phys. B*, 1998, V.534, 467; hep-ph/9803478.
- [12] Romano R., Doctoral Thesis, University of Trieste, 2002; hep-ph/0306164.
- [13] Nakanishi N., *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 1958, V.19, 607.
- [14] Bollini C.G., Escobar T. and Rocca M.C., *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 1999, V.38, 2315; hep-th/9806014; Bollini C.G. and Rocca M.C., hep-th/0309271.
- [15] Bollini C.G. and Rocca M.C., *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 1998, V.37, 2877; hep-th/9807010.
- [16] Kossakowski A., *Open Sys. & Information Dyn.*, 2002, V.9, 1.
- [17] Znojil M., quant-ph/0309100; Mostafazadeh A., quant-ph/0308028.
- [18] Chruściński D., math-ph/0206009; Faddeev L.D. and Slavnov A.A., *Gauge fields. Introduction to quantum theory*, The Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., 1970.
- [19] Pron'ko G.P., *The relativistic Gamov vectors and Poincaré semigroup*, KEK-preprint 199707032.
- [20] Greenberg O.W., hep-ph/0309309.
- [21] Lee T.D. and Wick G.C., *Phys. Rev. D*, 1970, V.2, 1033.
- [22] Jun-Chen Su, hep-th/9805195, hep-th/9805192, hep-th/9805193, hep-th/9805194; *Nuovo Cim. B*, 2002, V.117, 203.

---

<sup>21</sup>Only if the underlying theory represented by a causal Lagrangian or causal Hamiltonian is probability conserving, i.e. non-absorptive,  $\bar{T}_{f_i} T_{f_i}$  and therefore also the causal cross-section will be quasi-real, i.e. infinitesimally close to a real number. Hence, for selective (so called “inelastic”) causal processes in probability non-conserving theories (e.g. open quantum systems) the respective causal cross-sections will develop a finite imaginary part. Within particle physics this new feature complements in a beautiful manner, what is well understood for a long time in theoretical optics, i.e. that the imaginary part of the refractive index of a material is related to its absorption coefficient. Similar arguments hold for anticausal processes and respective anticausal cross-sections.