

Masashi Hazumi (KEK)

An executive summary to explain 5W1H:

- What is Super KEKB?
- When will Super KEKB start ?
- Who are we ?
- Where do we stand ?
- Why do we need Super KEKB ?
- How is Super KEKB designed ?

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

What is Super KEKB?

- A high luminosity upgrade of the existing KEKB Collider and the Belle Detector.
- Target luminosity of 10³⁵ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (x 20 as large as the present level), which is quite feasible !
- **Physics programs complementary and competitive** to hadron experiments.
 - Beyond SM (e.g. SUSY flavor physics) **Clean Environment !**
 - **Final states with neutrals.** ___
 - Inclusive measurements.
 - Direct CP Violation in many modes.

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

When will Super KEKB start?

• Commissioning in 2006



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

When will Super KEKB start?



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Who are we? Where do we stand?

• "Expression of Interest" (EoI) with more than 200 people from 42 institutions.

K. Ale, N. Lie, T. Abe, T. Austari, T. Anaria, N. Akari, A. Akyona, N. Abari, M. Anarika, K. K. Akari, S. Banerjee, K. Bayle, A. M. Bakich, Y. Bar²⁸, P. K. Behera¹⁰, I. Bizjak¹³, A. Bondar¹, M. Bračko¹⁸, M. F. Browder⁷, P. Chang²⁹ B. G. Cheon³², Y. Choi³², Y. Doi⁸, A. Drutskoy¹², K. Ebihara⁸, E. Egawa⁸, S. Fidelman¹, V. Figes¹², K. Endo⁸, A. Enomoto⁸, F. Fzura⁸, J. W. Flanagan⁸, H. Fujil⁸, S. Fukuda⁸, H. Fukuma⁸, Y. Funakoshi⁸, N. Furukawa⁸, T. Furuya⁸, T. Gershon⁸, B. Golob^{17,15}, A. Gordon¹⁶, H. Guler⁷, J. Haba⁸, K. Hagiwara⁸, K. Hara²⁶ T. Hara⁸, T. Haruyama⁸, S. Hashimoto⁸, H. Hayashij²¹, M. Hazumi⁸, T. Higuchi³⁶ L. Hinz¹⁶ S. Hiramatsu⁸, H. Hisamatsu⁸, T. Honda⁸, H. Honma⁸, K. Hosoyama⁸, T. Iciri⁸, Y. Igarashi⁸, N. Iida⁸, T. Iijima⁸, H. Ikeda⁸, M. Ikeda⁸, S. Isagawa⁸, H. Ishii⁸ H. Ishino³⁷, R. Itoh⁸, Y. Iwasaki⁸, D. J. Jackson²⁶, H. K. Jang³¹, A. Kabe⁸, T. Kagyama⁸, K. Kakihara⁸, S. Kamada⁸, N. Kamikubota⁸, T. Kamitani⁸ K. Kanazawa⁸, J. H. Kang¹⁹, H. Katagiri⁸, N. Katayama⁸, S. Kato⁸, T. Katoh⁸ S. Kawabata⁸, H. Kawai³, T. Kawamoto⁸, T. Kawasaki²⁴, H. Kichimi⁸, M. Kikuchi⁸ E. Kikutani⁸, H. J. Kim⁴², T. H. Kim⁴², K. Kinoshita⁵, S. Kobayashi³⁷, H. Koiso⁸, Y. Kojima⁴, I. Komada⁴, P. Koppenburg¹⁶, S. Korpar^{16,13}, P. Križan^{17,15}, T. Kubo⁵, K. Kudo⁴, S. Kuma⁷⁷, T. Kurinoto⁴⁷, S. I. Kurolawa⁴, A. Kuznin¹, Y.-I. Kwon¹⁶, J. S. Lange⁶, J. S. Lee⁶, MacNaughton¹¹, G. Majumder⁴⁷, Y. Makida⁴, A. Manabe⁶ F. Mandi¹¹, M. Masuzawa⁸, T. Matsumoto⁸, S. Michizono⁸, T. Mimashi⁸, T. Mitsuhashi⁸, S. Mitsunobu⁸, K. Miyabayashi²¹, H. Mizuno⁸, G. R. Moloney¹⁹, K. Mori⁸, Y. Morita⁸, T. Morozumi⁶, T. Naito⁸, T. Nakadaira³⁶, H. Nakai⁸, H. Nakajima⁸, T. T. Nakamura⁸, H. Nakanishi⁸, E. Nakano²⁵, K. Nakao⁸, M. Nakao⁸, H. Nakayama⁸, H. Nakazawa⁴, J. W. Nam³², S. Nishida¹³, S. Noguchi²¹, T. Nozaki⁸, J. Odagiji⁸, Y. Okada⁸, Y. Ogawa⁸, K. Ohmi⁸, T. Ohshima²⁰, Y. Ohnishi⁸, S. Ohsawa⁸ Y. Ohsawa⁸, N. Ohuchi⁸, K. Oide⁸, S. Okuno¹⁴, S. I. Olsen⁷, M. Ono⁸, T. Oogoe⁸, H. Ozaki⁸, T. Ozaki⁸, H. Palka²¹, I. S. Peak³⁴, J.-P. Perroud¹⁶, M. Peters⁷, I., F. Piilonen⁴⁶, S. Recksiegel⁸ M. Rozanska⁷³, H. Sagawa⁸, H. Sakai⁸, Y. Sakai⁸, Y. Sakamoto⁸, A. Satpathy^{8,5}, K. Satoh⁸, M. Sato⁸, S. Schrenk⁶, K. Senyo²⁰, T. Shidara⁸, M. Shimamoto⁸, M. Shirai⁸, A. Shirakawa⁸, B. Shwartz¹, J. B. Singh⁷⁷, N. Soni⁷⁷, M. Starič¹³, M. Suetake⁸, Y. Suetsugu⁸, R. Sugahara⁸, K. Sumisawa⁸, T. Sumiyoshi⁸, T. Suwada⁸, K. Suzuki³, S. Suzuki⁴¹, S. Y. Suzuki⁸, T. Tajima⁸, F. Takasaki⁸, S. Takeda⁸, Y. Takeuchi⁸, K. Tamai⁸, J. Tanaka³⁶, M. Tanaka⁸, M. Tanaka²⁶, M. Tawada⁸, G. N. Taylor¹⁶, M. Tejima⁸, Y. Teramoto²⁶, M. Tobiyama⁸, T. Tomura³ K. Trabelsi⁷, T. Tsuboyama⁸, K. Tsuchiya⁸, T. Tsukamoto⁸, S. Uchara⁸, S. Uno⁸, Y. Ushiroda⁸, K. E. Varvell³⁴, J. G. Wang⁴⁰, Y. Watanabe³⁷, E. Won³¹, B. D. Yabsley⁸ Y. Yamada⁸, H. Yamamoto³⁵, M. Yamauchi⁸, Y. Yano⁸, M. Yokoyama³⁶, M. Yoshida⁸, S. Yoshimoto⁸, M. Yoshioka⁸, C. C. Zhang¹⁰, Z. Zhang³⁰, and F. Zimmermann²

> ¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novasibirsk ²CERN, SL Division, CH-1211 Geneva, 23 ⁴Chiba University, Chiba ⁴Chuba University, Tokyo ⁶University of Chacimant, Ciacimanti OH ⁶University of Fanali Henolulu HI ⁷University of Havaii, Henolulu HI ⁸High Emergy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba ¹⁰Institute of High Emergy Physics, Chinose: Academy of Sciences, Beijing ¹⁰Institute of High Emergy Physics, Chinose: Academy of Sciences, Beijing



EoI will be available as a hep-ex article soon.

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Why do we need Super KEKB?

- Ideal place to study flavor physics beyond the SM.
 - New amplitudes (mostly FCNC)
 - New CP violating phases
- Final states with neutrals ($\nu, \pi^0, \gamma, K_L, \phi$)
 - $B^{\theta} \rightarrow D\tau v, \, lv(\gamma), \, K^* vv$
 - $B^0 \rightarrow \phi Ks, \eta' Ks$ (for new CP violating phases)
 - $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \text{ (for precise measurement of } \sin 2\phi_{2})$ $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$
- Inclusive measurements
 - $b \rightarrow sl+l-, b \rightarrow s\gamma, b \rightarrow d\gamma$



- 3000fb⁻¹ enough for many cases. Important to achieve it as early as possible.
- If SUSY is discovered at LHC, our target will be clearer
 - SUSY flavor problem
 - SUSY CP problem

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Masashi Hazumi (KEK)



 $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$

90

60 50

Example: B \rightarrow *D* τv , Charged Higgs at tree level



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Example: Search for new CP-violating phases (ϕ_{NP} *)*

CP eigenstates at Belle as of July 2001



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Expected CP Reach for ϕ_{NP}

We can reach the level of hadronic uncertainties.

Hadronic uncertainties in new phase measurements — Grossman, Isidori, Worah (1998), London and Soni (1997)

Hadronic uncertainties in _____ sin2\u00f31 measurements



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Goals of Rare B Decay Program



EM/EW Penguin : $B \rightarrow K_x \gamma$, $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Sensitivity Comparisons

Table 2.1: Summary of the estimated precision of CP-violating amplitudes in the proper-time distributions at KEKB/Belle, Super KEKB and planned experiments at LHC. The column labeled by "NP-SM" is the maximum deviation from the SM prediction due to an effect of New Physics (NP). Items marked with "×" cannot be measured at the experiment in question. No information is available for the blank entries.

Decay mode	Theory		KEKB Super KEk		3 LHC		
	SM	NP-SM	$(0.3ab^{-1})$	$(3ab^{-1})$	LHCb	ATLAS	CMS
$J/\psi K_S$ etc.	$\sin 2\phi_1$	~ 0.1	0.049	0.016	0.014	0.021	0.025
ϕK_S	$\sin 2\phi_1$	~ 1	0.44	0.14			
$\eta' K_S$	$\sin 2\phi_1$	~ 1	0.24	0.076			
$\pi^+\pi^-$	$\sin 2\phi_2^{eff}$	-	0.19	0.060	0.056	0.10	0.17
$\pi^0\pi^0$ etc.	$\phi_2-\phi_2^{eff}$	-	20°	7°	×	×	x
$D^*\pi$	$\sin(2\phi_1+\phi_3)$		0.24	0.077			
$K_1\gamma$	$\sim m_s/m_b$	~ 0.6	0.77	0.24	x	×	×
$\rho\gamma + \omega\gamma$	$\sim m_d/m_b$	~ 0.6	0.42	0.13	×	×	x

Decay mode	Parameter	KEKB	Super KEKB	LHC		
		$(0.3ab^{-1})$	$(3ab^{-1})$	LHCb	ATLAS	CMS
DK	ϕ_3	14°	5°	19°		
$\pi(ho)\ell u$	$ V_{ub} $	4.3%	1.4%	×	×	×
inclusive lepton	$ V_{ub} $	2.6%	0.8%	×	×	×

How is Super KEKB designed ?

- Peak luminosity: 10^35 cm⁻²s⁻¹ (10^9 BB pairs/year)
 - Squeeze beam size at IP(βy^*) (6.5~7 \rightarrow 3 mm)
 - Squeeze bunch length $(5 \sim 6 \rightarrow 3 \text{ mm})$
 - Larger crossing angle

 $(2 \times 11 \rightarrow 2 \times 15 \text{ mrad})$

Evolution rather than Revolution

- Larger beam currents, overcoming photo-electron instability



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Injection Linac

- Two options to achieve 8GeV positrons
 C-band option 21 → 40 MV/m
 - Recirculation option



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Belle Detector Performance



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Detector upgrade issues

Component	Upgrade requirements
Vertex meas.	σ=100μm→30μm, smaller radius pixel?
Tracking	Probably OK
Calorimetry	Fake photons → shorter shaping time?
π/K separation	Various new ideas
μID	RPC \rightarrow wire chamber?
Electronics	Fully pipelined digitizer
DAQ	x20 throughput
Computing	x100 computing power

Present technologies are sufficient in most of the cases.

However, we prefer to improve the performance as much as possible.

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Vertex Measurements

- Better vertex resolution for background rejection
 - Beampipe radius of 1cm
 - Rad. Hard (~7 MRad/year)
 - Occupancy should be low enough.
- DSSDs not possible for the innermost layer → Pixel
- DSSDs should cover larger volume (in radius)
- Pixel candidates
 - Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS)
 - Hybrid pixel
 - CCD



Typical configuration (rø view)



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Particle Identification



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Summary

- Super KEKB is a major upgrade of the KEKB collider and the Belle detector.
- Target luminosity is 10^{35} cm⁻²s⁻¹, which is quite feasible.
- Major upgrade in 2006. The experiment will start in a timely manner.
- 3×10^9 BB pairs (3000 fb⁻¹) with 3 years of data taking.
- Unique B physics programs to study "beyond the SM"
 - Flavor problem and CP problem
- Leading faciliy for charm and tau physics
- Precision for bread-and-butter CPV measurements comparable to hadron experiments.
- EoI preparation just finished. Design work has just started. Your participation is very very welcome.



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

SUSY scenario



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Schedule – an example



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

KEKB's Special Features

- Small beam sizes ⇒ low beam currents
 - 4.5x10³³ with less than 1 Amp in each ring
- ± 11 mrad beam crossing angle



- No strong bending magnets near the IR
- Fewer spent particles into *Belle*
- Synchrotron X-rays easily handled

Particle Identification

- Clear K/ π separation is essential to distinguish decays.
 - DK/D π
 - $K\pi/\pi\pi/KK$ etc.
 - $K*\gamma/\rho\gamma$
- Belle use dE/dx + ToF + ACC
 - Wide momentum coverage
 - ACC: Aerogel Cherenkov
 - Combined into likelihood;

$$PID(K) = \frac{L(K)}{L(K) + L(\pi)} \sim 1 \text{ for } K$$
$$\sim 0 \text{ for } \pi$$

• Calibration with $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

Towards $|V_{ub}|: B^0 \rightarrow \pi l^+ \nu$

Important to check large $\sin 2\phi_1$

update 21.3 fb⁻¹



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

$\mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{s} \operatorname{Penguin} \implies \phi_1 \operatorname{or} \phi_{NP}$?

Large Br for η 'K, η K* (found by CLEO): confirmed

 $Br(B^{+} \rightarrow \phi K^{+}) = (11.2^{+2.2}_{-2.0} \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$ Br(B^{0} \rightarrow \phi K^{0}) = (8.9^{+3.4}_{-2.7} \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6} 21.3 fb^{-1} (update)

Time dependent CPV : ϕ_1 or New phase/Physics

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

New phases in $b \rightarrow s$ (d) penguins M. Ciuchini, et al. "Gold-plated modes" are ϕKs and $\eta'Ks$

TABLE II. CP phases for B decays. ϕ_{SM}^D denotes the decay phase in the SM; for each channel, when two amplitudes with different weak phases are present, one is given in the first row, the other in the last one, and the ratio of the two in the r_{SM} column. ϕ_{SUSY}^D denotes the phase of the SUSY amplitude, and the ratio of the SUSY to SM contributions is given in the r_{250} and r_{500} columns for the corresponding SUSY masses.

Incl.	Excl.	ϕ^D_{SM}	$ au_{ m SM}$	$\phi^D_{ m SUSY}$	τ_{250}	τ_{500}
$b \rightarrow c \overline{c} s$	$B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$	0		ϕ_{23}	0.03 - 0.1	0.008 - 0.04
$b \rightarrow s \overline{s} s$	$B \rightarrow \phi K_S$	0.	_	ϕ_{23}	0.4 - 0.7	0.09 - 0.2
$b \rightarrow u \overline{u} s$		Tree y		2750 STD 2		
	$B \rightarrow \pi^0 K_S$	<u>in</u>),	0.009 - 0.08	ϕ_{23}	0.4 - 0.7	0.09 - 0.2
$p \rightarrow d\overline{d}s$		Penguin 0				
$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$		0				
	$B \rightarrow D_{CP}^0 \pi^0$		0.02		8	100
$b \rightarrow u \overline{c} d$	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	Y				
	$B \rightarrow D^+ D^-$	Tree 0	0.03 - 0.3		0.007 - 0.02	0.002 - 0.006
$b \rightarrow c \overline{c} d$				ϕ_{13}		
	$B ightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$	Penguin β	0.04 - 0.3	143023	0.007 - 0.03	0.002 - 0.008
	$B \rightarrow \phi \pi^0$	Penguin β	<u> </u>		0.06 - 0.1	0.01 - 0.03
$b \rightarrow s \overline{s} d$	68 9207000	60760 17 80026165		ϕ_{13}		
	$B \rightarrow K^0 \overline{K}^0$	u-Penguin γ	0 - 0.07		0.08 - 0.2	0.02 - 0.06
$b \rightarrow u \overline{u} d$	$B ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	Tree γ	0.09 - 0.9	ϕ_{13}	0.02 = 0.8	0.005 - 0.2
$b \rightarrow d\overline{d}d$	$B \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	Penguin β	0.6 - 6	ϕ_{13}	0.06 - 0.4	0.02 - 0.1
	$B \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	Tree γ	0.2 - 0.4		0.04 = 0.1	0.01 - 0.03
$bd \rightarrow q\overline{q}$				ϕ_{13}		
	$B \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$	Penguin β	only β		0.01 = 0.03	0.003 - 0.006

K)

Clear Manifestation of New Physics !

 $Acp(J/\psi Ks) \neq Acp(Bcp with Penguin)$



CP eigenstates (or flavor non-specific states) with any other decay diagrams, such as the following;



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

 $B0 \rightarrow \eta' Ks$ (10.4fb⁻¹)



Origin of the large branching fraction is not understood yet. It may include contribution from new physics !

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

 $B^{\theta} \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{(*)-} \Longrightarrow \phi_{1}$

Full Reconstruction : better S/N

 $B0 \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{-}$ $B0 \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{*-}$ Confirm partial Recon.

Br=1.04 $\pm 0.38 \pm 0.22$ (x10⁻³)

Entries/(2 MeV/c²)

 \mathbf{a}

5.225

5.200

5.250



5.200

5.225

5.250

5.275

WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand

5.275

5.300

Mbc (GeV/c²)

Masashi Hazumi (KEK)

5.300

Mbc (GeV/c²)

EW Penguin : $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$

Awaited mode sensitive to SUSY after $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ (consistent to SM)

Exclusive mode: $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} l^+ l^ B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^- : < 3.0 \ (2.8 {}^{+2.9}_{-2.1}) E$ $B \rightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^- : 0.99 {}^{+0.39}_{-0.32} {}^{+0.13}_{-0.15} E$

 $\begin{array}{c} x10^{-4} \\ B \longrightarrow K^* e^+ e^- : < 5.1 \ (5.5 \ _{-3.0}^{+3.7}) \\ B \longrightarrow K e^+ e^- : < 1.2 \ (2.6 \ _{-2.0}^{+2.7}) \end{array}$



WIN02, 21-26 Jan., 2002, Christchurch, New Zealand